

Request #: 479 - CPD - Publication/Article

Mental Health Awareness and Advocacy (MHAA) for Youth: An evaluation of a college-based mental health literacy curriculum

Ty Aller [A01249235] - CPD Faculty

August 14, 2020

Background

I have submitted the article for publication and have had multiple reviewers critique the reporting of Cronbach's alpha not being sufficient levels on one of the measurements that we (you actually helped with) created.

I think that we need to report an omega vs a cronbachs alpha because we used IRT to create the scale. No one else on the article is familiar though and while I've read the articles stating this I just wanted to bounce the idea off of you to see what you thought.

Sample

N/A

Hypothesis

N/A

Progress

Everything is completed. The project write up is completed. I just want to understand how you would address the reviewers feedback based off of the past article we submitted.

Request

See above.

Timeline

Well... I have already resubmitted the article to another journal without fixing this issue due to timeline constraints. But I know I need to address it during the next round of edits regardless.