

## Introduction

This study originates from a social robot research project at Aalborg University with the aim of developing and implementing robots in a variety of contexts. This raises questions as to how social robots should behave and which variables are important when implementing a social robot in a public setting. Important variables can be elicited via user interaction and tested via scales. The study consists of two tests, one where variables are elicited and one where the scales are used to evaluate the experience the human robot interaction (HRI).

## Methods

Two tests were set up in Aalborg Airport (AAL) to investigate which variables are important for the HRI with a social robot and to develop scales based on them. Both tests were conducted on Danish Travellers who interacted with a *Double* robot shown on figure 1.

- **Subject recruitment** was done by the robot which approached potential subjects (travellers) and presented a wireframed interface asking if it may help with wayfinding. If the traveller accepted they were led towards their chosen destination until an experimenter stopped them. The *Double* robot was remotely controlled via a computer by a present researcher. This approach was similar in both tests and was done to provide a more ecological and undisturbed interaction between robot and subject.
- **Test 1:** Subjects were asked to participate in a semi-structured interview about their first impressions after the interaction while observational data was gathered during the interaction.
- **Test 2:** Subjects were asked to rate their interactions on the developed scales on a PC after their unsolicited interaction.




**Figure 1.** *Double's* front and profile.

# Subjective Experience of Interacting with a Social Robot at a Danish Airport

**Andreas Kornmaaler Hansen, Emil Bonnerup, Juliane Nilsson, Lucca Julie Nellesmann & Sara Nielsen**  
Psychology Engineering - 17gr782 - Fall 2017 - School of Information and Communication Technology  
Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark  
{akha14, ebonne14, jnils12, ljne14, snie14}@student.aau.dk


## Results - Elicitation of variables

From the first test an affinity diagram was made, see figure 2, where one of the 10 categories are shown.



**Figure 2.** The category, approach, from the developed affinity diagram.


24 variables were elicited, where 23 of them are used to evaluate the HRI and one is used as demographic information about subjects. All 24 variables are evaluated on a Visual Analogue Scales (VAS). The 23 used to evaluate the interaction is shown on figure 2.




**Figure 3.** The 23 VAS developed from the elicited variables.

The 24th variable has the scale question "How fond of technology are you?" and is evaluated on a unipolar VAS with anchor points: *Not at all fond* and *Extremely fond*.

## Results - Scale Testing



**Figure 3.** The three types of VAS developed from the elicited variables.



**Figure 3.** The three types of VAS developed from the elicited variables.

From the biplots, the first bulletpoint is variables that correlates positive and the second is variables that correlates negative when doing PCA related to heights:


- SQ10-SQ13, SQ12-SQ18, SQ14-SQ15, SQ8-SQ17
- SQ12-SQ21, SQ18-SQ21, SQ2-SQ9, SQ4-SQ9, SQ16-SQ19

The same apply when doing PCA related to distance:

- SQ1-SQ12, SQ7-SQ17, SQ10-SQ22, SQ8-SQ21
- SQ2-SQ9, SQ14-SQ16, SQ10-SQ13, SQ13-SQ22, SQ5-SQ21, SQ19-SQ20

The same apply when doing PCA related to direction:

- SQ8-SQ10, SQ9-SQ14, SQ5-SQ7
- SQ1-SQ12, SQ9-SQ10, SQ10-SQ14, SQ6-SQ23, SQ13-SQ21



**Figure 5.** The three types of VAS developed from the elicited variables.