## 2.1.4 Sprint 3: Postmortem Exercise

During the next section of this program you will be required to build a web or mobile application that has a significant data component. This will, once again, involve working in teams. This postmortem exercise should act as an honest assessment of what went correct and incorrect during the project so that you are better equipped to handle next module's project.

In all honesty, this problem was relatively "easy" from an engineering perspective (and if you thought it was difficult, keep that in mind when applying for jobs). The hardest part of this project was how to operationalize the work: who does what, when.

In order to receive full credit on this assignment you need to convince me that you, as a team, got together and answered the questions. Anything short of an honest reflection of your process will result in a poor grade (as well as formatting and grammar).

Importantly, I expect there to be multiple opinions in the below and I expect that those opinions will be presented. Please answer, as a group, the following questions, it will be handy to have Sprint 0 available. This write up should be around two pages.

## 1. Distribution of Work

- (a) Looking back over the work done, what percentage of the work was done by each member?<sup>2</sup> If you need help answering this question, read over the code that you have written and assess who wrote it.
- (b) In Sprint 0, you outlined your process for making sure that work was distributed evenly. Did this process work?

## 2. Conflicts

(a) Did you have any conflicts? If so, please describe how they were resolved and if they aligned with the policy that you outlined in Sprint 0.

## 3. Outcomes

- (a) Did you work according to the schedule outlined in Sprint 0?
- (b) Did your code fail or pass each Sprint?
- (c) What went well with your process? What could have been improved? If your code did not succeed, why? If your code succeeded, what could your process have been improved to increase efficiency? How?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>FYI: I'm not going to believe this if you claim the number is close to or equal to  $\frac{100}{n}$ , where n is the number of people in your group.