MetaSEMAP Survey

Thank you for using MetaSEMAP to annotate the mapping file based on the given scenario and instructions. Your feedback is valuable in improving the usability of MetaSEMAP.

Please take a moment to share your feedback by rating the following 14 statements on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 means "Strongly Agree" and 7 means "Strongly Disagree."

After completing the ratings, please respond to the final two questions to provide insights on your experience and any suggestions for improving MetaSEMAP.

* Inc	dicates required question
1.	Please enter the unique code provided to you at the end of the annotation task *
2.	1. The MetaSEMAP tool provided error messages that clearly guided me * on how to resolve issues
	on now to resolve issues
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
	Stro Strongly Disagree
3.	*
	2. Whenever I made a mistake using MetaSEMAP, I could recover easily
	and quickly.
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
	Stro Strongly Disagree

	·
4.	3. MetaSEMAP is consistent in the way it presents information and choices.
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
	Stro Strongly Disagree
5.	
	4. MetaSEMAP provides all the functions I need for annotating mapping files.
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
	Stro Strongly Disagree
6.	*
	5. MetaSEMAP is easy to use for annotating mapping files.
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7
	Stro Strongly Disagree
7.	*
	6. It is easy to learn how to use MetaSEMAP for annotating mapping files.
	Mark only one oval.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Stro O O O Strongly Disagree

MetaSEMAP Survey 8. 7. I can imagine that most people would learn to use MetaSEMAP for annotating mapping files very quickly. Mark only one oval. 3 5 6 Strongly Disagree Stro (9. 8. I find MetaSEMAP unnecessarily complex for annotating mapping files. Mark only one oval. 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Disagree Stro (10. 9. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could effectively use MetaSEMAP for annotating mapping files. Mark only one oval. 3 4 5 Strongly Disagree Stro (11. 10. The various functions in MetaSEMAP are well-integrated for annotating mapping files. Mark only one oval.

12.

11. I thought there was too much inconsistency in Met	taSEMAP for
annotating mapping files.	

Mark only one oval.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Stro 🔘							Strongly Disagree

13.

12. I felt very confident using MetaSEMAP for annotating mapping files.

Mark only one oval.



14. **13. I found MetaSEMAP challenging to use for annotating mapping files.**

Mark only one oval.



15.14. Overall, I am satisfied with my experience using MetaSEMAP for annotating mapping files.

Mark only one oval.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Stro 🔘							Strongly Disagree

16.	Which metadata representation in MetaSEMAP did you find easiest to read and understand when annotating mapping files?							
	Mark only one oval.							
	Named Graph RDF-star							
17.	Do you have any additional comments or suggestions on how we can *improve the design of MetaSEMAP for annotating mapping files? Please share your thoughts below.							

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms