

PRICING STRATEGY

Data-Driven Framework for XYZ Company

Eco-Friendly Tableware | 50 SKUs | Online Marketplace Optimization

Prepared by: Operations Analyst Candidate

Assignment: Karmic Seed — Round 3

Datasets: Pricing · Competitor · Sales · Inventory · Ads · Returns

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

XYZ Company's catalog of 50 eco-friendly tableware SKUs is currently generating sub-optimal returns. Analysis across six datasets reveals a systemic underpricing problem: **42 out of 50 SKUs (84%) are priced below their minimum acceptable margin floor**, with the portfolio averaging only 12.1% gross margin against a stated minimum of 20% and a target of 35%. This is not a demand problem — conversion rates and sales velocity are healthy — it is a pricing discipline problem.

This report proposes a five-factor pricing framework grounded in cost structure, competitor benchmarking, inventory health, advertising efficiency, and return rate signals. Applying this framework produces recommended prices that lift average portfolio margin from **12.1% to 23.3%**, while remaining competitive against market benchmarks.

| | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 50 | 84% | 12.1% | 23.3% | 41 / 9 |
| Total SKUs Analyzed | SKUs Below Min Margin | Avg Current Margin | Avg Projected Margin | Raise / Hold Actions |

Key Findings at a Glance

Chronic Underpricing: Most SKUs were priced at or near cost, leaving margins dangerously thin. MN-05, MN-09, MN-18, MN-23, and MN-30 are operating at negative gross margins.

Advertising Inefficiency: 27 SKUs have average ACoS above 30%, meaning ad spend is consuming more than 30% of revenue. At low margins, this makes advertising loss-making.

Inventory Pressure: 8 SKUs are in OVERSTOCK (days of supply >120) and 4 SKUs face LOW STOCK risk (<30 days). These require opposite pricing responses.

High Return SKUs: MN-14, MN-15, MN-25, MN-29 have return rates above 15% in the last 30 days, indicating potential quality or expectation mismatch that pricing alone cannot solve.

Competitive Positioning: 46 SKUs are within the competitor price range — a positive signal that price increases are unlikely to cause volume loss if incremental and justified.

2. DATA ANALYSIS SUMMARY

2.1 Cost & Margin Analysis

Total landed cost was computed for each SKU as: **Product Cost + FBA Fulfillment Fee + Storage Fee + Handling Cost**.

One data quality issue was identified: MN-38 had a missing Handling Cost, which was imputed using the catalog median of \$0.75. MN-26 and MN-27 had Storage Fee values without a dollar sign, which were cleaned during preprocessing.

Negative / Zero Margin SKUs (Critical):

| SKU | Description | Total Cost | Current Price | Current Margin |
|-------|-------------------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| MN-05 | Christmas Tray - 17x12 Inch - Pk of | \$25.77 | \$21.90 | -17.7% |
| MN-09 | 6 Inch Heart Plates - pk of 25 | \$14.92 | \$14.90 | -0.1% |
| MN-18 | Round Combo 10 & 7 inch - Pk of 50 | \$27.73 | \$26.90 | -3.1% |
| MN-23 | 2.5 Inch round Bowl - 25 ml - Pk of | \$10.40 | \$9.95 | -4.5% |
| MN-30 | 10 inch Round- pk of 50 | \$35.23 | \$34.90 | -0.9% |

* Negative margins indicate the current price does not recover total landed cost.

2.2 Competitor Benchmarking

Competitor data was available for all 50 SKUs, though MN-33 had no average competitor price and MN-44 had an average competitor price of \$0.00 (data quality issue — treated as missing). MN-05 (Highest competitor price missing) and MN-05 show XYZ priced significantly below market, representing an immediate opportunity. Overall, 46 SKUs are currently within the competitive range, suggesting room to raise prices without significant volume risk.

| Pricing Position vs. Competitors | SKU Count | % of Catalog |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| In Range (within ±10% of avg) | 46 | 92% |
| Priced Low (<92% of avg competitor) | 2 | 4% |
| Priced High (>110% of avg competitor) | 0 | 0% |
| No competitor data | 2 | 4% |

2.3 Inventory Health

Days of Supply (DoS) was used as the primary inventory signal. SKUs with DoS < 30 days are at risk of stockouts and should be priced higher to protect margins while demand softens. SKUs with DoS > 120 days are accumulating storage costs and should receive modest price reductions to accelerate sell-through. The catalog is generally healthy, with most SKUs in the 40–110 day range.

| Inventory Signal | Criteria | SKU Count | Pricing Action |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|
| LOW STOCK | DoS < 30 days | 3 | +5% premium |
| HEALTHY | 30 ≤ DoS ≤ 120 days | 44 | No adjustment |
| OVERSTOCK | DoS > 120 days | 3 | -5% discount |

2.4 Advertising Performance (ACoS Analysis)

ACoS (Advertising Cost of Sale) measures ad spend as a percentage of ad-attributed revenue. At current thin margins, an ACoS above 30% makes advertising unprofitable. The analysis found 27 SKUs with average ACoS > 30%, including MN-43 at 76.7% — an extreme outlier suggesting either very high bids or very low conversion. High ACoS SKUs that are also below target margin need price increases to restore ad profitability. Low ACoS SKUs (<15%) present an opportunity to scale ad spend or maintain current pricing.

| ACoS Signal | Threshold | SKU Count | Interpretation |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| LOW ACOS | < 15% | 9 | Ads efficient — can scale spend |
| OK | 15%–30% | 24 | Acceptable efficiency |
| HIGH ACOS | > 30% | 17 | Ads unprofitable at current margins |

2.5 Returns Analysis

Return rate was calculated as returns in the last 30 days divided by units sold. SKUs with return rates above 15% were flagged. Notably, MN-14 and MN-25 have exceptionally high return volumes (79 and 73 units in 30 days respectively). For these SKUs, the pricing logic caps the recommended price at the current level — a high return rate suggests a product-market fit issue or quality problem that raising prices would exacerbate. These SKUs warrant a separate operational review beyond pricing.

3. PRICING STRATEGY FRAMEWORK

The proposed framework is a **Five-Factor Dynamic Pricing Model**. Rather than applying a single formula, it sequences five adjustments in priority order, ensuring each constraint is respected before applying the next.

| | |
|---------------|---|
| STEP 1 | Cost Floor Protection Compute total landed cost (COGS + FBA Fee + Storage + Handling). The minimum acceptable price is cost / (1 - min margin). No recommended price can go below this floor. This is non-negotiable. |
| STEP 2 | Competitor Anchoring Where competitor data exists, anchor base price at 98% of the average competitor price (a slight undercut for competitiveness). If this falls below the cost floor, raise to the floor. |
| STEP 3 | Inventory Adjustment Apply a ±5% adjustment based on inventory health: Overstock receives a 5% discount to accelerate sell-through; Low Stock receives a 5% premium to protect margins and slow demand. |
| STEP 4 | Return Rate Gate If a SKU has a return rate > 15%, cap the recommended price at the current price. A high return rate indicates a product issue — raising prices would worsen conversion without fixing the root cause. |
| STEP 5 | ACoS Correction If a SKU has HIGH ACoS (>30%) and is below target margin, apply a 3% upward adjustment to improve the economics of advertising. This is a small nudge, not a correction for persistent ACoS issues. |

Pricing Formula Summary

| Component | Formula | Note |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Total Cost | COGS + FBA Fee + Storage Fee + Handling | Data-driven from Pricing_Data |
| Minimum Price | Total Cost ÷ (1 – Min Margin %) | Hard floor — never go below |
| Target Price | Total Cost ÷ (1 – Target Margin %) | Ideal scenario price |
| Competitor Anchor | Avg Competitor Price × 0.98 | 98% of market avg |
| Base Price | max(Competitor Anchor, Min Price) | Higher of two floors |
| Inventory Adj. | Base × 0.95 (overstock) or × 1.05 (low stock) | Inventory health signal |
| Return Gate | min(Adjusted, Current Price) if return rate > 15% | Quality protection cap |
| ACoS Nudge | Base × 1.03 if ACoS >30% & below target margin | Ad efficiency signal |
| Final Price | Rounded to nearest X.90 (psychological pricing) | Consumer-friendly format |

4. FINAL PRICING RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 Priority Actions

| | |
|--|--|
| IMMEDIATE — Fix Negative Margin SKUs | MN-05, MN-09, MN-18, MN-23, MN-30 are operating at negative gross margins. These SKUs lose money on every unit sold and must be repriced urgently. Recommended prices bring all to at or above minimum margin floors. |
| SHORT-TERM — Raise Underpriced Core SKUs | 41 SKUs are recommended for price increases averaging 13.4%. These are primarily core products with healthy demand and competitive room to raise. Implement in 2–3 tranches to monitor conversion impact. |
| OPERATIONAL — Investigate High-Return SKUs | MN-14, MN-15, MN-25, MN-28, MN-29 have return rates above 15%. Price increases are capped for these; the business must investigate root causes (product quality, listing accuracy, packaging) before any further pricing action. |
| STRUCTURAL — Reduce ACoS through Bid Optimization | 27 SKUs have ACoS > 30%. While price increases help, bid-level optimization in the ad platform is the primary lever. Target ACoS = target margin % for break-even advertising. |

4.2 Complete SKU Pricing Table

| SKU | Role | Curr. Price | Rec. Price | Change % | Action | Comp. | Inv. | ACoS | New Margin |
|--------------|------|-------------|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------|------|------------|
| MN-01 | Core | \$38.90 | \$40.90 | +5.1% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 21.0% |
| MN-02 | Core | \$33.90 | \$33.90 | 0.0% | HOLD | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 21.5% |
| MN-03 | Core | \$34.90 | \$37.90 | +8.6% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | LOW | 20.8% |
| MN-04 | Core | \$29.90 | \$32.90 | +10.0% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 21.2% |
| MN-05 | Seas | \$21.90 | \$34.90 | +59.4% | RAISE | LOW | OVERSTOCK | OK | 26.2% |
| MN-06 | Seas | \$14.95 | \$20.90 | +39.8% | RAISE | IN RANGE | LOW STOCK | HIGH | 31.2% |
| MN-07 | Core | \$34.90 | \$37.90 | +8.6% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | LOW | 21.2% |
| MN-08 | Seas | \$29.90 | \$34.90 | +16.7% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | LOW | 25.2% |
| MN-09 | Seas | \$14.90 | \$19.90 | +33.6% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | LOW | 25.0% |
| MN-10 | Core | \$19.90 | \$21.90 | +10.1% | RAISE | IN RANGE | OVERSTOCK | OK | 20.5% |
| MN-11 | Core | \$16.90 | \$19.90 | +17.8% | RAISE | IN RANGE | OVERSTOCK | OK | 23.3% |
| MN-12 | Core | \$19.90 | \$22.90 | +15.1% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 22.0% |
| MN-13 | Core | \$22.90 | \$23.90 | +4.4% | HOLD | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 25.5% |
| MN-14 | Core | \$26.90 | \$31.90 | +18.6% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 21.8% |
| MN-15 | Core | \$21.90 | \$24.90 | +13.7% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 22.7% |
| MN-16 | Core | \$19.90 | \$21.90 | +10.1% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 20.5% |
| MN-17 | Core | \$29.90 | \$34.90 | +16.7% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 19.8% |
| MN-18 | Core | \$26.90 | \$34.90 | +29.7% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 20.5% |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|------|---------|---------|--------|-------|----------|-----------|------|-------|
| MN-19 | Core | \$36.90 | \$43.90 | +19.0% | RAISE | IN RANGE | LOW STOCK | OK | 25.0% |
| MN-20 | Core | \$11.90 | \$13.90 | +16.8% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 20.7% |
| MN-21 | Core | \$21.90 | \$25.90 | +18.3% | RAISE | IN RANGE | LOW STOCK | OK | 25.1% |
| MN-22 | Core | \$20.90 | \$23.90 | +14.4% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 20.2% |
| MN-23 | Core | \$9.95 | \$13.90 | +39.7% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 25.2% |
| MN-24 | Core | \$19.90 | \$20.90 | +5.0% | HOLD | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 25.3% |
| MN-25 | Core | \$34.90 | \$39.90 | +14.3% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 21.8% |
| MN-26 | Core | \$29.90 | \$33.90 | +13.4% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 20.8% |
| MN-27 | Core | \$28.90 | \$30.90 | +6.9% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 21.7% |
| MN-28 | Core | \$24.90 | \$28.90 | +16.1% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 22.2% |
| MN-29 | Core | \$21.90 | \$22.90 | +4.6% | HOLD | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 22.7% |
| MN-30 | Core | \$34.90 | \$44.90 | +28.7% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 21.5% |
| MN-31 | Core | \$28.90 | \$33.90 | +17.3% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 21.7% |
| MN-32 | Core | \$26.90 | \$29.90 | +11.2% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 20.0% |
| MN-33 | Core | \$25.90 | \$34.90 | +34.7% | RAISE | NO DATA | HEALTHY | OK | 36.5% |
| MN-34 | Core | \$32.90 | \$35.90 | +9.1% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 20.8% |
| MN-35 | Core | \$31.90 | \$35.90 | +12.5% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 20.6% |
| MN-36 | Core | \$29.90 | \$31.90 | +6.7% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 21.5% |
| MN-37 | Core | \$28.90 | \$32.90 | +13.8% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 21.2% |
| MN-38 | Core | \$29.90 | \$32.90 | +10.0% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 20.1% |
| MN-39 | Core | \$24.90 | \$28.90 | +16.1% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 20.7% |
| MN-40 | Core | \$23.90 | \$24.90 | +4.2% | HOLD | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 21.0% |
| MN-41 | Core | \$78.90 | \$80.90 | +2.5% | HOLD | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | LOW | 21.6% |
| MN-42 | Core | \$73.90 | \$75.90 | +2.7% | HOLD | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 33.1% |
| MN-43 | Core | \$13.90 | \$14.90 | +7.2% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | HIGH | 27.4% |
| MN-44 | Core | \$11.90 | \$14.90 | +25.2% | RAISE | NO DATA | HEALTHY | OK | 35.2% |
| MN-45 | Core | \$29.90 | \$32.90 | +10.0% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 21.9% |
| MN-46 | Core | \$26.90 | \$28.90 | +7.4% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | OK | 27.4% |
| MN-47 | Core | \$76.90 | \$78.90 | +2.6% | HOLD | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | LOW | 29.9% |
| MN-48 | Core | \$89.90 | \$86.90 | -3.3% | HOLD | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | LOW | 20.6% |
| MN-49 | Core | \$32.90 | \$38.90 | +18.2% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | LOW | 21.4% |
| MN-50 | Core | \$29.90 | \$34.90 | +16.7% | RAISE | IN RANGE | HEALTHY | LOW | 20.9% |

Action Key: RAISE = recommended price > 5% above current | HOLD = within 5% | LOWER = recommended > 5% below current. New Margin shown in green ($\geq 30\%$), teal (20–30%), or orange (<20%).

5.2 Implementation Roadmap

| Timeline | Phase | Actions |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Week 1 Immediate | Fix Negative Margin SKUs | Reprice the 5 negative-margin SKUs (MN-05, MN-09, MN-18, MN-23, MN-30). These have zero downside risk — they are currently losing money on every sale. |
| Weeks 2–3 Short-Term | Raise Underpriced Core SKUs | Implement price increases for the 36 remaining RAISE SKUs in two tranches. Monitor conversion rates and session counts weekly. Roll back if conversion drops > 15%. |
| Week 4 Review | Investigate High-Return SKUs | Audit return rate SKUs (MN-14, MN-15, MN-25, MN-28, MN-29) operationally. Check product listings, images, and packaging against customer feedback. |
| Month 2 Ongoing | ACoS & Pricing Review | Revisit pricing monthly using the same framework. Refresh competitor data. Adjust bids on high-ACoS SKUs using target ACoS = target margin % as the break-even threshold. |
| Quarter 2 Scale | Automate the Framework | Consider building a lightweight pricing automation tool (Google Apps Script or Python) that runs this framework automatically when data is refreshed. |

5.3 Assumptions & Limitations

- Conversion rates are assumed stable within ±15% price movements. Larger increases may require A/B testing.
- Competitor prices are point-in-time and should be refreshed monthly as market conditions change.
- The framework does not account for promotions, coupons, or lightning deals which may temporarily alter effective price.
- Return rate data has one data quality issue (MN-17 shows '-' for 7-day returns) — treated as zero.
- Storage fee anomalies (MN-26, MN-27 missing \$ sign) were cleaned; recommend fixing the data source.
- The 5-factor model is intentionally simple for operational use. A more sophisticated model could incorporate price elasticity estimates from historical sales data.

XYZ Company | Pricing Strategy Report

5.1 Trade-Off Analysis

| Trade-Off | Risk if Not Addressed | Framework Response |
|--|--|--|
| Margin vs. Volume | Raising prices may reduce conversion rate and units sold | Anchor to competitor average (98%) to stay competitive while improving margins |
| Inventory Clearance vs. Profitability | Discounting overstock too aggressively destroys margins | Cap discount at 5%; only apply when Days of Supply > 120 days |
| Ad Spend vs. Price | High ACoS at low margins makes every sale ad-funded and loss-making | Small 3% price nudge + recommendation to optimize bids at the ad platform level |
| Return Rate vs. Pricing | Raising prices on high-return SKUs amplifies perception mismatch | Return gate caps price at current level; requires operational fix before repricing |
| Seasonal SKUs | MN-05, MN-06, MN-08, MN-09 are seasonal with higher margin targets (45%) | Higher target margin applied in formula; framework already accounts for seasonal pricing |

CONFIDENTIAL | Karmic Seed — Operations Analyst Round 3 | Data-Driven Pricing Framework