

Definition: Let  $(R, \text{m})$  be a Noeth. one-dim domain.

For any  $R$ -module  $M$ ,

$$h(M) := \min \left\{ \lambda(R/J) \mid M \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0, J \subseteq R \right\}$$

$\lambda()$ : length of a module

$f \in \underline{\text{Hom}_R}(M, R)$ .  $J := f(M)$ . Then look at  $\lambda(R/J)$ .

$$\begin{array}{c} \| \\ M^* \end{array}$$

trace ideals:  $M \in \text{Mod-}R$ .

$$t_{\mathbb{R}}(M) := \sum_{\alpha \in M^*} \alpha(M)$$

For any such  $J$  in the above definition, it satisfies

$J \subseteq t_{\mathbb{R}}(M)$  clearly.

Thus,  $\lambda(R/J) \geq \lambda(R/t_{\mathbb{R}}(M))$  for any such  $J$

$$\Rightarrow h(M) \geq \lambda(R/t_{\mathbb{R}}(M))$$

In fact, if  $M$  is rank 1, then  $t_{\mathbb{R}}(M) = t_{\mathbb{R}}(J) \forall$  such

\*  $[0 \rightarrow \text{?} \rightarrow M \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0]$ .

$\text{Hom}_R(\text{?}(M), R) = 0$

$J$

Observation: Suppose  $h(M) = \lambda(R/J)$

Then  $h(J) = \lambda(R/J)$

$M \xrightarrow{f} J \rightarrow 0$

$\downarrow S/Ig$

$I \rightarrow 0$

clear

So enough to just study  $h(J)$  for ideals  $J$ .

So, enough to just study  $\underline{h(\mathcal{J})}$ . for ideals  $\mathcal{J}$ .

• What does isomorphism of ideals mean?

$f: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ . Take  $x \in \mathcal{I}, y \in \mathcal{I}$ .

$$yf(x) = f(xy) = xf(y) \Rightarrow \frac{f(x)}{x} = \frac{f(y)}{y} \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} x, y \\ \oplus, \otimes \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{I}$$

$$f(\pi) = \frac{f(\pi)}{\pi} \cdot \pi = \frac{f(\pi)}{\pi} \cdot \pi$$

So, any such  $f$  is just multiplication by an element  $\alpha \in K = \text{Frac}(R)$ .

$$\mathcal{I} \simeq \mathcal{J} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I} = \frac{a}{b} \mathcal{J} \Leftrightarrow b\mathcal{I} = a\mathcal{J}$$

Assumptions throughout:

Let  $(R, m, k)$  be st.

- $\dim R = 1$
- $R$  is a domain
- $\text{Frac}(R) = K$
- $\overline{R}$  integral closure in  $K$
- $\overline{R}$  is reduced.
- $\overline{R}$  is a DVR

Examples:  $R = k[[t^3, t^4, t^5]]$

$$R = k[[t^3 + t^{71}, t^{109}, t^\dots]]$$

$$\overline{R} = k[[t]]$$

Fact: (Corollary 4.6.2 Huneke-Swanson)

Under the above assumptions,  $\overline{R}$  is a finite  $R$ -module of rank 1. (Same fraction field)  
 • birational extension

**Lemma** For any  $x \in R$ ,  
 $\lambda(R/xR) = \lambda(\bar{R}/\bar{x}\bar{R})$

**Pf**  $R$  &  $\bar{R}$  are both Maximal Cohen Macaulay over  $R$ .

$$\lambda(\bar{R}/\bar{x}\bar{R}) = e(x; \bar{R}) = e(x; R) \text{ rank}_F \bar{R} = e(x; R) = \lambda(R/xR).$$

(Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity)

□

**Theorem**  $h(J) = \lambda(R/J) \iff \underline{R :_K J \subseteq \bar{R}}$   $[R :_K J := \{d \in K / dJ \subseteq \bar{R}\}]$

$\cdot J^1, J^*$   $J :_K J \subseteq \bar{R}$  det-trick.  
 $dJ \subseteq J \Rightarrow d \in \bar{R}$

**Pf**  $\Leftarrow$   $R :_K J \subseteq \bar{R}$ .  
Suppose if possible,  $h(J) = \lambda(R/I) < \lambda(R/J)$  and  $I \simeq J$ .

$$I = \frac{a}{b} J \Rightarrow bI = aJ$$

$$\frac{a}{b} J - I \subseteq R.$$

By assumption,  $\frac{a}{b} \in \bar{R}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a}{b} \notin R :_K J$$

$$\Rightarrow a\bar{R} \subseteq b\bar{R}$$

$$bI \subseteq aR \subseteq R$$

$$aJ \subseteq aR \subseteq R$$

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{R}{aJ} \rightarrow \frac{R}{aJ} \rightarrow \frac{R}{aR} \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{R}{I} \rightarrow \frac{R}{bI} \rightarrow \frac{R}{bR} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\lambda(R/J) + \lambda(R/aR) = \lambda(R/aJ)$$

$$\checkmark \quad \lambda(R/I) + \lambda(R/bR) = \lambda(R/bI)$$

$$\cdot \lambda(R/I) < \lambda(R/J) \Rightarrow \lambda(R/bI) > \lambda(R/aJ)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{demonstrated}}{=} \lambda(\bar{R}/\bar{b}\bar{R}) > \lambda(\bar{R}/\bar{a}\bar{R}) \rightarrow \leftarrow$$

$$\boxed{h(J) = \lambda(R/J)}$$

$\Rightarrow h(J) = \lambda(R/J)$ . N.T.S.  $R :_K J \subseteq \bar{R}$ .

$\boxed{\Rightarrow} h(J) = \lambda(R/J)$ . N.T.S.  $R :_K J \subseteq K$ .

Take  $\frac{a}{b} \in R :_K J$ . Assume  $\frac{a}{b} \notin \bar{R}$ .  $\Rightarrow a\bar{R} \notin b\bar{R}$ .

But  $\bar{R}$  is a DVR. Thus,  $\boxed{b\bar{R} \subsetneq a\bar{R}}$

Set  $I := \frac{a}{b}J$   $J \simeq J$  &  $bI = aJ$

$$\lambda(R/I) + \lambda(R/bR) = \lambda(R/bI) \quad \left[ \begin{array}{l} h(J) = \lambda(R/J) \\ J \simeq J \Rightarrow \lambda(R/I) \geq \lambda(R/J) \end{array} \right]$$

$$\lambda(R/J) + \lambda(R/aR) = \lambda(R/aJ)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(R/aR) \geq \lambda(R/bR)$$

$\boxed{\text{Lemma}} \Rightarrow \lambda(\bar{R}/a\bar{R}) \geq \lambda(\bar{R}/b\bar{R}) > \lambda(\bar{R}_{a\bar{R}}) \rightarrow \Leftarrow$

Thus,  $h(J) = \lambda(R/J) \Leftrightarrow R :_K J \subseteq \bar{R}$ .  $\square$

$\boxed{\text{Conductor}}$

$\boxed{C := R :_K \bar{R}}$ , largest common ideal of  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$ .

$$\text{i.e. } C = \bar{R}.$$

$$R :_K (R :_K I) \cong_{\text{Hom}_R(I, R), R} I^{**}$$

$\boxed{\text{Claim: }} \boxed{\bar{R} = R :_K C}$

$\boxed{\text{PF}}$  By defn:  $C \subseteq R$  & hence,  $\bar{R} \subseteq R :_K C$ .  $\checkmark$

$$\underline{R :_K C} = R :_K (\bar{R}) = (R :_K \bar{R}) :_K C = \underline{C :_K C} \subseteq \bar{R}. \quad \checkmark$$

$$\boxed{h(C) = \lambda(R/C)}$$

$$R :_K C = \bar{R}$$

$\boxed{\star}$   $\boxed{\text{Remark: }} I :_K I = R :_K I$  is equivalent to being a trace ideal  
(look at Haydee Lindo; Goto Shiro, ...)

$$I :_K I \subseteq \bar{R}$$

So, for any trace ideal

$$\boxed{h(I) = \lambda(R/I)}$$

$\boxed{\text{Rmk: }} \boxed{?}$  Hara - Hibi - stamate  $C \subseteq \text{tr}(I)$  for any  $I$ .

Rmk: Herzog-Hibi-Stamate  $\square \subseteq \text{tr}(\mathcal{I})$  for any  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Take any  $J \supseteq \square$ .  $\Rightarrow R_{\mathbb{K}} J \subseteq R_{\mathbb{K}} \overline{\square} = \overline{R}$ .  
 $\Rightarrow h(J) = \lambda(R/J)$ .

Take any rank 1-module.

$$0 \rightarrow \gamma(M) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\text{rank } 1} J \rightarrow 0$$

torsion

$$\gamma(S_{R/\mathbb{K}})$$

$$\Omega_{R/\mathbb{K}}$$

$$M \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0$$

$$h(M)$$

$R$  is regular  $\Leftrightarrow \gamma(S_{R/\mathbb{K}}) = 0$ .

Thm:  
Prashant,  
Hailong,

for any ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}^{**} \cong J$  where  $\square \subseteq J$ .

PF

Take  $\mathcal{I}$ . Let  $J_1$  be s.t.

$$\mathcal{I} \cong J_1 \text{ and } h(\mathcal{I}) = \lambda(R/J_1) = h(J_1)$$

Hence,  $R_{\mathbb{K}} J_1 \subseteq \overline{R}$ . by prc Thm:

$$\Rightarrow R_{\mathbb{K}}(R_{\mathbb{K}} J_1) \supseteq R_{\mathbb{K}} \overline{R} = \square$$

$$\overline{J}_1$$

$$\overline{J}_1^{**} \cong \mathcal{I}^{**}$$

$$J \supseteq \square$$

$$\mathcal{I}^{**} \cong J \supseteq \square$$

□

Thus, in particular, to study isomorphism classes of.

reflexive ideals, it is enough to look at

reflexive ideals containing the conductor

$\text{Ref}(R)$

$\text{CM}(R)$

Graham Leuschke's

finite type.  
 $\text{D}_{\text{perf}}(R)$

finite type := there are finitely many such  
indecomposable objects upto isomorphism

$\downarrow$   $\text{Ref}_1(R)$

"class of reflexive ideals".

for example, if  $\lambda(R/E) \leq \aleph_0$ ,

$\boxed{\text{Ref}_1(R) \text{ is indeed of finite type}}$

$\boxed{E = m}$ : 1-step normal.  $\Rightarrow$  Eleanore Faber.