

Differential Abundance methods- Simulation Results

Saritha Kodikara

February 18, 2022

Contents

| 1 | Sim | ulation Results- Scenario 1 | 1 |
|---|-----|--|---|
| | 1.1 | Zero-inflated Gaussian mixed model | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Zero-inflated Beta Regression Model | 2 |
| | 1.3 | Negative Binomial Mixed Model | 2 |
| | 1.4 | Fast zero-inflated negative binomial mixed model | 2 |
| | 1.5 | SplinectomeR | 3 |

1 Simulation Results- Scenario 1

In this file, we present results for Taxa 1 simulated under moderate parameter values (i.e. dispersion=0.1 and AR=0.04) from Zero-inflated Beta Regression Model, Negative Binomial Mixed Model, splinectomeR, Zero-inflated Gaussian mixed model and Fast zero-inflated negative binomial mixed model.

```
meta_df<-readRDS("Data/df_meta.Rdata")
list_sc1_ra<-readRDS("Data/RA_Scenario1.Rdata")
list_sc1_co<-readRDS("Data/count_Scenario1.Rdata")
dfcount<- cbind(meta_df,list_sc1_co[[1]])
dfRA<- cbind(meta_df,list_sc1_ra[[1]])</pre>
```

1.1 Zero-inflated Gaussian mixed model



1.2 Zero-inflated Beta Regression Model

1.3 Negative Binomial Mixed Model

1.4 Fast zero-inflated negative binomial mixed model



1.5 SplinectomeR