# MTH215A: Number Theory: Assignment - 2

Sarthak Rout 190772

October 19, 2020

# 1 Solutions

# 1.1 Q27

We have  $\{x\} < 1 \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}$  and y = 1.5 here. By Euler Summation Formula, we can write  $\sum_{1.5 < n \leq x} \frac{1}{nlog(n)} = \int_{1.5}^{x} \frac{dt}{tlog(t)} + \int_{1.5}^{x} \frac{\{t\} \cdot (1 - log(t)) \cdot dt}{(tlog(t))^2} - \frac{\{x\}}{xlog(x)} + \frac{0.5}{1.5log(1.5)} = log(log(x)) - \mathcal{O}(1) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{xlogx}) - \mathcal{O}(1) - \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{xlogx}) + \mathcal{O}(1) = log(log(x)) + B + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{xlogx})$   $(\because \int_{1.5}^{x} \frac{\{t\} \cdot (1 - log(t)) \cdot dt}{(tlog(t))^2} < \int_{1.5}^{x} \frac{(1 - log(t)) \cdot dt}{(tlog(t))^2} = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{xlogx}) - \mathcal{O}(1).$ 

# 1.2 Q28

$$\sum_{n \le x} d(n) = x \log(x) + (2C - 1) \cdot x + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{x}).$$
By Abel Summation Formula, we have 
$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{d(n)}{d(n)} = \frac{x \log(x)}{x}$$

By Abel Summation Formula , we have 
$$\sum_{1.5 < n \le x} \frac{d(n)}{n} = \frac{x \log(x) + (2C - 1) \cdot x + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{(x)})}{x} + \mathcal{O}(1) - (-) \int_{1.5}^{x} \frac{t \log(t) + (2C - 1) \cdot t + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{(t)})}{t^2} = \log(x) + (2C - 1) + \mathcal{O}(x^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{O}(1) + \int_{1.5}^{x} \frac{\log(t) + (2C - 1)}{t} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1.5}) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\log^2(x)}{x} + 2C \cdot \log(x) + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

# 1.3 Q30

#### 1.3.1

For the first part, we have  $\mu * E = \phi \implies \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \cdot \frac{n}{d} = \phi(n)$ .  $\implies \sum_{d|n} \phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \cdot \frac{n}{d}$ .

$$\implies \sum_{n \le x} \phi(n) = \sum_{n \le x} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \cdot \frac{n}{d}.$$
Let  $q \cdot d = n$ . Then, 
$$\sum_{n \le x} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \cdot \frac{n}{d} = \sum_{d \le x} \mu(d) \sum_{q \le \frac{x}{d}} q$$

\_

$$= \sum_{d \le x} \mu(d) \left(\frac{\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \rfloor)^2 + \lfloor \frac{x}{d} \rfloor}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu(d) \cdot \lfloor \frac{x}{d} \rfloor)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \because \sum_{n \le x} \mu(d) \cdot \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor = 1 \right). \text{ Hence, proved.}$$

#### 1.3.2

For the second part, 
$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \cdot \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor^2 = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \cdot (\frac{x}{n} - \{\frac{x}{n}\})^2 = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \cdot (x^2 - 2x \{\frac{x}{n}\} + \{\frac{x}{n}\}^2) = x^2 \cdot \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} - 2x \cdot \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cdot \{\frac{x}{n}\} + \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cdot \{\frac{x}{n}\}^2.$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} = \sum_{n \leq \infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} - \sum_{n > x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} - \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{x}).$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cdot \{\frac{x}{n}\} \leq \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} \cdot 1(\because \mu(n) \text{ and } \{\frac{x}{n}\} \leq 1) = \log(x) + C + \mathcal{O}(1) \implies \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \cdot \{\frac{x}{n}\} = \mathcal{O}(\log(x)).$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \cdot \{\frac{x}{n}\}^2 \leq x.$$

$$\implies \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \cdot \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor^2 = \frac{x^2}{\zeta(2)} - \mathcal{O}(x) + \mathcal{O}(x\log x) + \mathcal{O}(x) = \frac{x^2}{\zeta(2)} + \mathcal{O}(x\log x).$$
So, 
$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \cdot \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor^2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{x^2}{2\zeta(2)} + \mathcal{O}(x\log x) + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{x^2}{2\zeta(2)} + \mathcal{O}(x\log x).$$
 Hence, the second part is also proved.

# 1.4 Q32

# 1.5 Q33

Since,  $\sigma(n)$  and  $\phi(n)$  are multiplicative functions, it is sufficient to prove this for  $n=p^{\alpha}$ .  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}=\frac{p^{\alpha+1}-1}{p^{\alpha}\cdot(p-1)}$ .  $\frac{n}{\phi(n)}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{p}}$ . For the first inequality, we have  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}<\frac{p^{\alpha+1}}{p^{\alpha}\cdot(p-1)}=\frac{p}{p-1}=\frac{n}{\phi(n)}$ . For the second inequality,  $\frac{\pi^2}{6}=\prod_p(1-\frac{1}{p^2})^{-1}$ . So,  $\frac{\pi^2}{6}\cdot\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}=\prod_p(1-\frac{1}{p^2})^{-1}\cdot\frac{p^{\alpha+1}-1}{p^{\alpha}\cdot(p-1)}=\frac{1}{p^{\alpha}\cdot(p-1)}$ 

$$\prod_{p'\neq p} (1 - \frac{1}{p'^2})^{-1} \cdot \frac{p^{\alpha+1}-1}{p^{\alpha}\cdot (p-1)} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{p^2})^{-1}.$$

Now, 
$$p^{\alpha+1} - p^{\alpha-1} \le p^{\alpha+1} - 1 \implies p \cdot p^{\alpha} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{p^2}) \le p^{\alpha+1} - 1 \implies p \le \frac{p^{\alpha+1} - 1}{p^{\alpha}} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{p^2})^{-1}$$

$$\implies \frac{p}{p-1} \le \frac{p^{\alpha+1} - 1}{p^{\alpha} \cdot (p-1)} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{p^2})^{-1} \le \prod_{p' \ne p} (1 - \frac{1}{p'^2})^{-1} \cdot \frac{p^{\alpha+1} - 1}{p^{\alpha} \cdot (p-1)} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{p^2})^{-1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \cdot \frac{\sigma(n)}{n}.$$

Hence, both inequalities are proved.

By above inequalities, we have  $\frac{n}{\phi(n)}$  is asymptotic to  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \mathcal{O}(1)(::\mathcal{O}(\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}) = \mathcal{O}(\frac{p^{\alpha+1}-1}{p^{\alpha}\cdot(p-1)}) = \mathcal{O}(\frac{p^{\alpha+1}-1}{p^{\alpha+1}-p^{\alpha}}) = \mathcal{O}(1)).$   $\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{n}{\phi(n)} = \sum_{n\leq x} \mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}(x).$ 

# 1.6 Q35

If  $x = I \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\{x\} = \{-x\} = 0 \implies \{x\} + \{-x\} = 0$ . Otherwise,  $x = I + f, I \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \le f < 1 \implies \{x\} = f$  and  $\{-x\} = \{-I - 1 + (1 - f)\} = 1 - f \implies \{x\} + \{-x\} = 1$ .

Case I: Let  $x = I + f, I \in \mathbb{Z}, f \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \le f < \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\lfloor 2x \rfloor - 2\lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor 2I + 2f \rfloor - 2\lfloor I + f \rfloor = 0$ .

$$\lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor x + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = I + I = 2I = \lfloor 2I + 2f \rfloor = \lfloor 2x \rfloor$$

Case II: Let x = I + f,  $I \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \le f < 1$ .  $\lfloor 2x \rfloor - 2 \lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor 2I + 2f \rfloor - 2 \lfloor I + f \rfloor = 1$ .

 $\lfloor x\rfloor+\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\rfloor=I+I+1=2I+1=\lfloor 2I+2f\rfloor(\because 1\leq 2f<2)=\lfloor 2x\rfloor.$  Hence , proved.

# 1.7 Q37

Let  $a = \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{n+1}$  and  $b = \sqrt{4 \cdot n + 2}$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Observation 1:  $b^2 - a^2 = 4 \cdot n + 2 - (2 \cdot n + 1 + 2\sqrt{n \cdot (n+1)}) = (2 \cdot n + 1 - 2\sqrt{n \cdot (n+1)})$ .  $2\sqrt{n \cdot (n+1)}$  lies between 2n and  $2n+1 : n^2 < n^2 + n < n^2 + n + \frac{1}{4}$ .  $\implies 0 < b^2 - a^2 < 1 \implies 4n + 2 = b^2 > a^2 > b^2 - 1 = 4n + 1$ . But, there are no perfect squares between 4n + 2 and 4n + 1. So, there are no integers between  $\sqrt{4n+2}$  and  $\sqrt{4n+1}$  which implies,  $\lfloor b \rfloor = \lfloor a \rfloor$  as desired.

# 1.8 Q39

 $\sum_{n \le x} \lambda(n) \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor = \sum_{n \le x} \sum_{d \mid n} \lambda(d).$  Liouville's function  $\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$ . It is also multi-

plicative. So, n is square free, it is  $\lambda(n) = -1$  otherwise it is 1.

Observation: If  $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdot p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$ . Then,  $\sum_{d|n} \lambda(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} (\lambda(1) + \lambda(p_1) + \dots + \lambda(p_1^{a_1}))$ .

 $(\lambda(1) + \lambda(p_2) + \dots + \lambda(p_2^{a_2})) \dots (\lambda(1) + \lambda(p_k) + \dots + \lambda(p_k^{a_k})) = (1 - 1 + 1 \dots (-1)^{a_1}) \cdot (1 - 1 + 1 \dots (-1)^{a_2}) \dots (1 - 1 + 1 \dots (-1)^{a_k}) = 0$  if n is square-free otherwise, if n is a perfect square, this sum is 1.

 $\sum_{n \le x} \sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) = \sum_{n \le x} *. \text{ In } *, \text{ We only count those } n \text{ which are perfect squares. And,}$ 

the total number of perfect squares below x is  $\lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor$ . Hence, proved.

\_

# 1.9 Q41

Take a empty set P.

Take any random number  $x_1$  from the set S, remove it from S and add it to P and add another element  $x_2$  of the set S, remove it and add it to P and so on until we have the all elements of set S in P.

Consider all the states of P that were formed in the intermediate steps to be elements of set T. So,  $T = \{\{\}, \{x_1\}, \{x_1, x_2\}, \dots, \{x_1, x_2 \dots x_n\}\}$ . Consider the n sum of elements of set  $T \pmod{n} = \{0, x_1 \pmod{n}, (x_1 + x_2) \pmod{n}, (x_1 + x_2 \dots + x_n) \pmod{n}\}$ .

We have n+1 remainders and n distinct possibilities of remainder from 0 to n-1. By Pigeonhole Principle, two of them must be same. Let the two corresponding set-elements be A and B. Then, the set C = B - A where |B| > |A| (otherwise vice-versa), is the desired subset as the difference of their sum of their elements is the sum of elements of the set  $C = 0 \pmod{n}$ .

# 1.10 Q42

We have  $1365 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ . By Fermat's Little Theorem,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \implies n^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $n^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \implies n^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ ,  $n^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \implies n^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$  and  $n^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$ . So, as a primes are co-prime with each other, we have  $n^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13} \implies n^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{1365} \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N} \implies n^{13} \equiv n \pmod{1365} \ \forall \ n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

# 1.11 Q44

The equations are  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ . As 4, 3, 5 are pairwise co-prime, we can use Chinese Remainder Theorem.

We have  $M_1 = 3 \cdot 5$ ,  $M_2 = 5 \cdot 4$ ,  $M_3 = 4 \cdot 3$ . So,  $x = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} b_i \cdot M_i^{\phi(m_i)} = 1 \cdot 15^2 + 2 \cdot 15^2 \cdot 1$ 

 $20^2 + 2 \cdot 12^4 \pmod{60} = 225 + 800 + 41472 \pmod{60} = 17.$ 

Therefore, the general solution is  $x = 60 \cdot t + 17 \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .