Can resistance testing improve outcomes for children and adolescents with HIV?



prevention and treatment goals by 2025, but lag in viral See Articles page e1312 suppression rates in children and adolescents. ⁶ The GIVE-MOVE trial can contribute to a larger global conversation on the role of laboratory-informed HIV clinical care and the combination of biomedical, sociobehavioural, and structural interventions needed to realise the health

benefits of ART for children and adolescents with HIV.

The global scale-up of HIV antiretroviral therapy (ART) has generated substantial individual and public health benefits. Viral suppression restores immune function and halts HIV transmission. Progress for children and adolescents has been more delayed than it has been for adults. As of 2022, 1.5 million children aged 1–14 years were living with HIV.¹ Global estimates of viral suppression vary substantially by region, but show lower rates of viral suppression in children compared with adults. In a large cohort analysis of people who initiated ART between 2010 and 2019, adjusting for missing viral load data, 59% of those younger than 18 years had viral suppression at 3 years after the initiation of ART compared with 65% of adults.2

HIV drug resistance can diminish ART efficacy and the probability of viral suppression. Causes of resistance in children and adolescents include suboptimal ART adherence, food and drug interactions, and improper weight-based dosing. In low-income and middleincome countries, additional factors include exposure to non-nucleoside reductase inhibitors as part of the prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission, ART supply shortages, insufficient laboratory and clinical capacity to receive and integrate viral-load and drugresistance results into individual care, and climactic disasters, political conflict, and emerging infectious diseases outbreaks that can destabilise access to health services.3 Globally, the clinical effect of genotype resistance testing (GRT) for children and adolescents with HIV is unknown because public health programmes widely use dolutegravir, an integrase strand transfer inhibitor with a high genetic barrier to resistance.4

In The Lancet Global Health, Jennifer Anne Brown and colleagues present the Genotype-Informed Versus Empirical Management Of Viremia (GIVE-MOVE) trial,5 examining whether children and adolescents with HIV and viraemia benefit from the addition of GRT versus usual care, consisting of adherence counselling, viralload monitoring, and empirical clinical decision making. The trial was conducted in Lesotho and Tanzania, two sub-Saharan countries that are hyperendemic HIV areas with modest public-health resources. Lesotho and Tanzania have excelled at reaching the UNAIDS HIV

The trial analysed 284 participants (144 in the GRT group and 140 in the usual care group), aged 6 months to 19 years, across ten clinical centres and had low rates of loss to follow-up, with five (3%) participants in the GRT group and four (3%) in the usual care group. The design, implemented in real-world settings, allowed for standardised window periods to assess outcomes. The primary outcome was death, hospitalisation, a new WHO HIV clinical stage 4 event, or the absence of a viral load of less than 50 copies per mL within the 36-week study period. The authors found no significant difference between GRT and usual care (67 [47%] participants in the GRT group vs 73 [52%] in the usual care group; adjusted odds ratio 0.79 [95% CI 0.49 to 1.27]; adjusted risk difference -0.06 [95% CI -0.17 to 0.06]; p=0.34).

GRT did not alter clinical and virological outcomes for this cohort, of which 274 (96%) had WHO stage 1 disease. Given that lower CD4 counts are associated with emergence of drug resistance,7 the effect of GRT might have been more pronounced in those with late-stage disease and could be a key area of focus for country programmes.

The study excluded 26 (18%) participants in the GRT group and ten (7%) in the usual care group from the primary analysis due to a delayed or absent treatment-decision visit. Qualitative research with participants not engaged in the study but able to be tracked in follow-up could offer valuable feedback on intervention development. Existing evidence-based interventions for the engagement of youth in HIV care, such as integration of peer workers and novel digital adherence applications, provide a scaffold from which to incorporate GRT without deterring youth. 8-10

The GIVE-MOVE trial underscores the complexity of ART adherence in this population: of the 84 participants in the GRT group with successful GRT, 32 (38%) had

no major drug-resistance mutations at viraemia, implying that recent ART non-adherence was the most likely driver of HIV viraemia. Almost half (129 [45%]) of the participants had at least one deceased parent. Adherence interventions that address adverse childhood experiences and integrate the family unit and social support will assure that children and adolescents receive the services they need as programmes move to simplify and tailor services, such as in the implementation of long-acting ART.¹¹

All participants were to receive enhanced adherence counselling over three visits during 9 months, but the number and duration of adherence visits that participants actually completed (ie, dose of behavioural intervention) or the extent to which the delivery of the adherence content matched the expected protocol (ie, fidelity) is not clear. Data on adherence dose and fidelity would help explain the relationship of the adherence intervention effect on the outcomes.

The clinical benefit of GRT at viraemia in sub-Saharan Africa, a region with two-thirds of the global HIV prevalence, remains uncertain. The GIVE-MOVE trial presents a road map for future effectiveness and implementation trials to identify the population of children and adolescents with HIV to receive GRT and the types of interventions needed at the individual, clinic, and health-system level.

JHL has stock options with Abbvie, Merck, and Pfizer. CO received honoraria from MSD and ViiV for attending expert consultation meetings. This Comment was supported by the US National Institute of Mental Health (R01MH132428). The funders had no role in decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of Harvard University and its affiliated academic health-care centres or the National Institutes of Health.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

*Julie H Levison, Catherine Orrell jlevison@mgb.org

Division of General Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, and Mongan Institute, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA (JHL); Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA (JHL); Desmond Tutu HIV Centre, Institute of Infectious Diseases and Molecular Medicine, and Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa (CO)

- 1 UNAIDS. Fact sheet: global HIV statistics. Aug 31, 2023. https://www. unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/UNAIDS_FactSheet_en.pdf (accessed June 23, 2024).
- 2 Han WM, Law MG, Egger M, et al. Global estimates of viral suppression in children and adolescents and adults on antiretroviral therapy adjusted for missing viral load measurements: a multiregional, retrospective cohort study in 31 countries. Lancet HIV 2021; 8: e766–75.
- 3 Koay WLA, Kose-Otieno J, Rakhmanina N. HIV drug resistance in children and adolescents: always a challenge? Curr Epidemiol Rep 2021; 8: 97–107.
- 4 McCluskey SM, Pepperrell T, Hill A, Venter WDF, Gupta RK, Siedner MJ. Adherence, resistance, and viral suppression on dolutegravir in sub-Saharan Africa: implications for the TLD era. AIDS 2021; 35 (suppl 2): S127–35.
- 5 Brown JA, Ringera IK, Luoga E, et al. Resistance-informed versus empirical management of viraemia in children and adolescents with HIV in Lesotho and Tanzania (GIVE MOVE trial): a multisite, open-label randomised controlled trial. Lancet Glob Health 2024; 12: e1312–22.
- 6 ICAP at Columbia University. (PHIA) Project: countries. Nov 22, 2016. https://phia.icap.columbia.edu/countries/ (accessed May 17, 2024).
- 7 Lepik KJ, Harrigan PR, Yip B, et al. Emergent drug resistance with integrase strand transfer inhibitor-based regimens. AIDS 2017; 31: 1425–34.
- 8 Ahonkhai AA, Kuti KM, Hirschhorn LR, et al. Successful implementation strategies in iCARE Nigeria—a pilot intervention with text message reminders and peer navigation for youth living with HIV. Trop Med Infect Dis 2023: 8: 498.
- 9 Zanoni B, Archary M, Sibaya T, et al. Interventions addressing the adolescent HIV continuum of care in South Africa: a systematic review and modified Delphi analysis. BMJ Open 2022; 12: e057797.
- Sumari-de Boer IM, Ngowi KM, Swai IU, et al. Effect of a customized digital adherence tool on retention in care and adherence to antiretroviral treatment in breastfeeding women, children and adolescents living with HIV in Tanzania: a mixed-methods study followed by clinical trials. *Trials* 2023; 24: 285.
- 11 Toska E, Zhou S, Chen-Charles J, Gittings L, Operario D, Cluver L. Factors associated with preferences for long-acting injectable antiretroviral therapy among adolescents and young people living with HIV in South Africa. AIDS Behav 2023; 27: 2163–75.