

Lecture 17
Econometrics-I

30.03.2021

Multiple linear regression model.

$$y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik} + u_i$$

Test $H_0: \beta_3 = c$ (a constant) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{two-sided} \\ \text{test} \end{array} \right.$

$H_a: \beta_3 \neq c$

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{3, obs} - c}{s.e(\hat{\beta}_{3, obs})} \sim t_{N-k}$$

where N : # obs.
 K : # regressors

Inference: $\alpha = 5\%$ or 0.05

① If $t > t_{N-k, 0.025}^*$ then reject H_0 .

$\Rightarrow \beta_3$ is statistically different than c @ 5% significance level or @ 95% confidence.

② If $t \leq t_{N-k, 0.025}^*$ then fail to reject H_0 .
 $\Rightarrow \beta_3$ is statistically ~~NOT~~ different from c @ 5% significance

Instead, if we were to test:

$$\text{one-sided test.} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_0: \beta_3 = c \\ H_a: \beta_3 > c \end{array} \right. \quad \text{OR} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_0: \beta_3 = c \\ H_a: \beta_3 < c \end{array} \right.$$

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_3 - c}{\text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_3)} \sim t_{N-k}.$$

Inference : $\alpha = 5\%$; or 0.05

① if $t > t_{N-k, 0.05}^*$ then reject H_0 .

$\Rightarrow \beta_3$ is statistically different from c @ 5% significance.

② if $t \leq t_{N-k, 0.05}^*$ then fail to reject H_0 .

$\Rightarrow \beta_3$ is NOT statistically diff from c @ 5%.

significance
or 95% confidence.

* Testing a linear restriction on model coefficients

Example

$$y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + u_i$$

$$H_0: \beta_1 - 2\beta_3 = 6$$

$$H_a: \beta_1 - 2\beta_3 \neq 6$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \\ \hat{\beta}_3 \end{bmatrix} = (X'X)^{-1} X'Y$$

$$V(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = \begin{bmatrix} V(\hat{\beta}_1) & \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2) & \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_3) \\ \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_1) & V(\hat{\beta}_2) & \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3) \\ \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_3, \hat{\beta}_1) & \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_3, \hat{\beta}_2) & V(\hat{\beta}_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

$K \times K$
3x3 for our example

Variance-covariance matrix for OLS coefficients
3x3

$$= \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} \text{ (see your lecture notes).}$$

Define : $\beta_1 - 2\beta_3 = \gamma$

Test $H_0 : \gamma = 6$
 $H_a : \gamma \neq 6$

$$t_\gamma = \frac{\hat{\gamma} - 6}{\text{s.e.}(\hat{\gamma})} \sim t_{N-k}.$$

$$t_\gamma = \frac{\hat{\gamma} - 6}{\text{s.e.}(\hat{\gamma})} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 2\hat{\beta}_3 - 6}{\text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_1 - 2\hat{\beta}_3)} \sim t_{N-k}$$

Note : we use $\hat{\sigma}^2$ instead of σ^2 .

$$\text{s.e.}(\hat{\beta}_1 - 2\hat{\beta}_3) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1 - 2\hat{\beta}_3)}$$

$$= \sqrt{v(\hat{\beta}_1) + 4v(\hat{\beta}_3) - 4\text{Cor}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_3)}$$

to be evaluated using data. Beyond this point, inference follows like before.

Also, see that when we defined

$$\hat{y} = \underbrace{\hat{\beta}_1 - 2\hat{\beta}_3}_{\text{scalar entity}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \\ \hat{\beta}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{a}' \underline{\hat{\beta}}$$

1×1 1×3 3×1

$$\underline{a}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \underline{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

1×3 3×1

$$\hat{y} = \underline{a}' \underline{\hat{\beta}}$$

1×1 1×3 3×1

$$V(\hat{y}) = \underbrace{\underline{a}'}_{1 \times 3} V(\underline{\hat{\beta}}) \underline{a}$$

1×1 1×3 3×3 3×1

1×3 1×1

[refer to notes for MLRM].

scalar entities on L.H.S.

We can generalize the tests for linear restrictions:

Given:

MLRM $\Rightarrow K$ regressor $\Rightarrow K$ coefficients; N observations

Test:

$$H_0: \gamma_{11} \beta_1 + \gamma_{12} \beta_2 + \gamma_{13} \beta_3 + \dots + \gamma_{1K} \beta_K = \gamma_1$$

$$H_a: \gamma_{11} \beta_1 + \gamma_{12} \beta_2 + \gamma_{13} \beta_3 + \dots + \gamma_{1K} \beta_K \neq \gamma_1$$

Aide: A real world example on hypothesis testing

Demand for sugar $\rightarrow S_i$ $i=1, 2, \dots, N$

$$S_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 P_i^S + \beta_2 P_i^J + \beta_3 W_i + \beta_4 I_i + \beta_5 P_i^T + U_i$$

Various tests:

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_1 < 0$$

Demand theory

$$H_0: \beta_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_2 > 0$$

Demand theory

$$H_0: \beta_5 = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_5 < 0$$

Demand theory

$$H_0: \beta_1 = -\beta_2 \equiv \beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_1 < -\beta_2 \equiv \beta_1 + \beta_2 < 0$$

Assignment to a consultant by a retailer

Back to the notes.

MLRM: k -regressors; $\Rightarrow k$ -coefficients; N observations

Test:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} H_0: \gamma_{11}\beta_1 + \gamma_{12}\beta_2 + \gamma_{13}\beta_3 + \dots + \gamma_{1k}\beta_k &= \gamma_1 \\ H_a: \gamma_{11}\beta_1 + \gamma_{12}\beta_2 + \gamma_{13}\beta_3 + \dots + \gamma_{1k}\beta_k &\neq \gamma_1 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Define:
$$t = \frac{\hat{\gamma}_1 - \gamma_1}{\text{s.e.}(\hat{\gamma}_1)} \sim t_{N-k}.$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \hat{\gamma}_1 \\ 1 \times 1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \left[\gamma_{11} \quad \gamma_{12} \quad \gamma_{13} \quad \dots \quad \gamma_{1k} \right] \\ 1 \times k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{c} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \\ \hat{\beta}_3 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\beta}_k \end{array} \right] \\ k \times 1 \end{array} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} \underline{a}' \\ 1 \times k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\hat{\beta}} \\ k \times 1 \end{array}}_{1 \times 1}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} V(\hat{\gamma}_1) \\ 1 \times 1 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \underline{a}' \\ 1 \times k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} V(\underline{\hat{\beta}}_{\text{OLS}}) \\ k \times k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{a} \\ k \times 1 \end{array}$$

\rightarrow Note that we use $\hat{\sigma}^2$ to evaluate $V(\hat{\beta}_{\text{OLS}})$. Hence $\sqrt{V(\hat{\gamma}_1)} \equiv \text{s.e.}(\hat{\gamma}_1)$.

Next,

Testing a set of linear restrictions

Example 1

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \beta_2 \neq 0$$

joint testing

Q: How is above different than

$$\tilde{H}_0: \beta_1 = 0$$

$$\tilde{H}_a: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$\tilde{H}_0: \beta_2 = 0$$

$$\tilde{H}_a: \beta_2 \neq 0$$

fundamentally

?

joint probability.

$Pr(\hat{\beta}_1 = 0 \text{ and } \hat{\beta}_2 = 0)$ is different

from $Pr(\hat{\beta}_1 = 0)$

and $Pr(\hat{\beta}_2 = 0)$

marginal probabilities.

Example 2:

$H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \text{ and } \beta_2 = 0 \text{ and } \beta_3 = 0 \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } \beta_k = 0$

$H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0 \text{ OR } \beta_2 \neq 0 \text{ OR } \beta_3 \neq 0 \text{ OR } \dots \text{ OR } \beta_k \neq 0$

corresponds to

F-test. for which we defined a

F-statistic in the ANOVA Table.

$$F = \frac{MSE}{MSR} = \frac{SSE/k-1}{SSR/N-k} \sim F_{k-1, N-k}$$

Next lecture: Begin w/ the most general case of testing a set of linear restrictions and then show