

Homework 5

1. Give context-free grammars that generate the following languages.
 - (a) $\{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w \text{ contains at least three 1s}\}$
 - (b) $\{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w = w^R \text{ and } |w| \text{ is even}\}$
 - (c) $\{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \text{the length of } w \text{ is odd and the middle symbol is } 0\}$
 - (d) $\{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0, \text{ and } i = j \text{ or } i = k\}$
 - (e) $\{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0 \text{ and } i + j = k\}$
 - (f) $\{a^i b^j c^k \mid i, j, k \geq 0 \text{ and } i + k = j\}$. [Hint: use problem 3b.]
 - (g) \emptyset
 - (h) The language A of strings of properly balanced left and right brackets: every left bracket can be paired with a unique subsequent right bracket, and every right bracket can be paired with a unique preceding left bracket. Moreover, the string between any such pair has the same property. For example, $[][[[]]][] \in A$.
2. Let $T = \{0, 1, (,), \cup, *, \emptyset, e\}$. We may think of T as the set of symbols used by regular expressions over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$; the only difference is that we use e for symbol ε , to avoid potential confusion in what follows.
 - (a) Your task is to design a CFG G with set of terminals T that generates exactly the regular expressions with alphabet $\{0, 1\}$.
 - (b) Using your CFG G , give a derivation and the corresponding parse tree for the string $(0 \cup (10)^*1)^*$.
3.
 - (a) Suppose that language A_1 has a context-free grammar $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma, R_1, S_1)$, and language A_2 has a context-free grammar $G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma, R_2, S_2)$, where, for $i = 1, 2$, V_i is the set of variables, R_i is the set of rules, and S_i is the start variable for CFG G_i . The CFGs have the same set of terminals Σ . Assume that $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. Define another CFG $G_3 = (V_3, \Sigma, R_3, S_3)$ with $V_3 = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S_3\}$, where $S_3 \notin V_1 \cup V_2$, and $R_3 = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S_3 \rightarrow S_1, S_3 \rightarrow S_2\}$. Argue that G_3 generates the language $A_1 \cup A_2$. Thus, conclude that the class of context-free languages is closed under union.
 - (b) Prove that the class of context-free languages is closed under concatenation.
 - (c) Prove that the class of context-free languages is closed under Kleene-star.

4. Convert the following CFG into an equivalent CFG in Chomsky normal form, using the procedure given in Theorem 2.9.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow BSB \mid B \mid \varepsilon \\ B &\rightarrow 00 \mid \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

5. Consider the CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$, where $V = \{S\}$ is the set of variables with S as the starting variable, alphabet $\Sigma = \{+, -, \times, /, (,), 0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$, and rules R as

$$S \rightarrow S + S \mid S - S \mid S \times S \mid S / S \mid (S) \mid -S \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid \dots \mid 9$$

The CFG G generates the language $L(G)$ of some types of simple arithmetic expressions.

- (a) Consider the strings $---5$ and $2+--4$. Give derivations showing that each string belongs to $L(G)$.
- (b) Suppose that we want to disallow such strings. Give another CFG that achieves this. More specifically, strings such as $2-3$, $2+-3$ and $2--3$ are allowed, but not $2+- -3$ nor $2-- -3$.