


Discrete Structures

Introduction to Proofs



Vibhavari Kamble
Asst. Prof. CoEP Pune

Quick Quiz 5.2:

► **Correct or incorrect:**

“At least one of the 20 students in the class is intelligent. John is a student of this class. Therefore, John is intelligent.”

To submit answer visit moodle

► **Step 1:**

Separate premises from conclusion

Premises:

1. *At least one of the 20 students in the class is intelligent.*
2. *John is a student of this class.*

Conclusion:

John is intelligent.

► **Step 2:**

Translate the example in logic notation.

- **Premise 1:** *At least one of the 20 students in the class is intelligent.* (Let the domain = all people)

$C(x)$ = “*x is in the class*”

$I(x)$ = “*x is intelligent*”

Then *Premise 1* says: $\exists x(C(x) \wedge I(x))$

- **Premise 2:** *John is a student of this class.*

Then *Premise 2* says: $C(\text{John})$

- **Conclusion:** *John is intelligent.*

And the *Conclusion* says: $I(\text{John})$

► **Step 2:**

Translate the example in logic notation.

- **Premise 1:** *At least one of the 20 students in the class is intelligent.* (Let the domain = all people)

$C(x)$ = “ x is in the class”

$I(x)$ = “ x is intelligent”

Then Premise 1 says: $\exists x(C(x) \wedge I(x))$

- **Premise 2:** *John is a student of this class.*

Then Premise 2 says: $C(\text{John})$

- **Conclusion:** *John is intelligent.*

And the Conclusion says: $I(\text{John})$

$$\frac{\exists x (C(x) \wedge I(x)) \quad C(\text{John})}{\therefore I(\text{John})}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \exists x (C(x) \wedge I(x)) \\ C(John) \end{array}}{\therefore I(John)}$$

- ▶ No, the argument is invalid;
we can disprove it with a counter-example, as follows:
- ▶ Consider a case where there is only one intelligent student A in the class, and $A \neq John$.
 - ▶ Then by existential instantiation of the premise $\exists x (C(x) \wedge I(x)) \wedge C(A) \rightarrow I(A)$ is true,
 - ▶ But the conclusion $I(John)$ is false, since A is the only intelligent student in the class, and $John \neq A$.
- ▶ Therefore, the premises *do not imply the conclusion*.

Proof Terminology

- ▶ A ***proof*** is a valid argument that establishes the truth of a mathematical statement
- ▶ ***Axiom (or postulate)***: a statement that is assumed to be true
- ▶ ***Theorem***
A statement that has been proven to be true
- ▶ ***Hypothesis, premise***
An assumption (often unproven) defining the structures about which we are reasoning

More Proof Terminology

- ▶ ***Lemma***

A minor theorem used as a stepping-stone to proving a major theorem.

- ▶ ***Corollary***

A minor theorem proved as an easy consequence of a major theorem.

- ▶ ***Conjecture***

A statement whose truth value has not been proven. (A conjecture may be widely believed to be true, regardless.)

Methods of Proving Theorems

Example:

To prove a theorem of the form $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$

Note:

“If $x > y$, where x and y are positive real numbers, then $x^2 > y^2$ ”

really means

“For all positive real numbers x and y , if $x > y$, then $x^2 > y^2$.”

Proof Methods

- ▶ For proving implications $p \rightarrow q$, we have:
- ▶ **Trivial proof:** Prove q by itself.
- ▶ **Direct proof:** Assume p is true, and prove q .
- ▶ **Indirect proof:**

Proof by Contraposition ($\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$):

Assume $\neg q$, and prove $\neg p$.

Proof by Contradiction:

Assume $p \wedge \neg q$, and show this leads to a contradiction. (i.e. prove $(p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$)

- ▶ **Vacuous proof:** Prove $\neg p$ by itself.

Direct Proof Example

- ▶ Starting with the hypothesis and leading to the conclusion.
- ▶ **E.g.**
- ▶ **Definition:** An integer n is called odd iff $n=2k+1$ for some integer k ; n is even iff $n=2k$ for some k .
- ▶ **Theorem:** Every integer is either odd or even, but not both.

This can be proven from even simpler axioms.

► **Theorem:**

(For all integers n) *If n is odd, then n^2 is odd.*

► **Proof:** To prove $P(n) \rightarrow Q(n)$ assume $P(n)$ is true.

If n is odd, then $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k .

Thus, $n^2 = (2k + 1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$.

Therefore n^2 is of the form $2j + 1$ (with j the integer $2k^2 + 2k$), thus n^2 is odd.

Quick Quiz 6.1

Let the statement be “If n is not an odd integer then square of n is not odd.”, then if $P(n)$ is “ n is an not an odd integer” and $Q(n)$ is “(square of n) is not odd.” For direct proof we should prove _____

Visit moodle to submit answer

Indirect Proof : Proof by Contraposition

- ▶ That do not start with the premises and end with the conclusion, are called **indirect proofs**.
- ▶ An extremely useful type of indirect proof is known as **proof by contraposition**.
- ▶ The conditional statement $p \rightarrow q$ *can be proved by* showing that its contrapositive, $\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$, *is true*.
- ▶ **Note:** we take $\neg q$ as a premise

Indirect Proof Example: Proof by Contraposition

► **Theorem: (For all integers n)**

If $3n + 2$ is odd, then n is odd.

► **Proof:**

(Contrapositive: If n is even, then $3n + 2$ is even)

Suppose that the conclusion is false, *i.e.*, that n is even.

Then $n = 2k$ for some integer k .

Then $3n + 2 = 3(2k) + 2 = 6k + 2 = 2(3k + 1)$.

Thus $3n + 2$ is even, because it equals $2j$ for an integer $j = 3k + 1$. So $3n + 2$ is not odd.

We have shown that $\neg(n \text{ is odd}) \rightarrow \neg(3n + 2 \text{ is odd})$,
thus its contrapositive $(3n + 2 \text{ is odd}) \rightarrow (n \text{ is odd})$ is
also true. ■

Vacuous Proof Example

- ▶ Show $\neg p$ (i.e. p is false) to prove $p \rightarrow q$ is true.
- ▶ *E.g.*
- ▶ **Theorem:** (For all n) If n is both odd and even, then $n^2 = n + n$.



-
- **Theorem:** (For all n) If n is both odd and even, then $n^2 = n + n$.

- **Proof:**

The statement “ n is both odd and even” is necessarily false, since no number can be both odd and even. So, the theorem is vacuously true. ■



Trivial Proof Example

- ▶ Show q (i.e. q is true) to prove $p \rightarrow q$ is true.
- ▶ **Theorem:** (For integers n) If n is the sum of two prime numbers, then either n is odd or n is even.
- ▶ **Proof:**
Any integer n is either odd or even. So the conclusion of the implication is true regardless of the truth of the hypothesis. Thus the implication is true trivially.



Proof by Contradiction

A method for proving p .

- ▶ Assume $\neg p$, and prove both q and $\neg q$ for some proposition q . (*Can be anything!*)
- ▶ Thus $\neg p \rightarrow (q \wedge \neg q)$
- ▶ $(q \wedge \neg q)$ is a trivial contradiction, equal to \mathbf{F}
- ▶ Thus $\neg p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$, which is only true if $\neg p = \mathbf{F}$
- ▶ Thus p is true



Rational Number

► **Definition:**

The real number r is *rational* if there exist integers p and q with $q \neq 0$ such that $r = p/q$. (p/q is in lowest terms i.e. no common factors) A real number that is not rational is called *irrational*.

Proof by Contradiction: Example

Theorem: $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Proof by Contradiction: Example

Prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational by giving a proof by contradiction.

Solution:

- ▶ *Let p : “ $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.”*
- ▶ *Suppose that $\sim p$ = “ $\sqrt{2}$ is rational” is true. (leads to a contradiction.)*
- ▶ *So $\sqrt{2} = a/b$, where $b \neq 0$ and a and b have no common factors.*
- ▶ *Both sides of this equation are squared $2b^2 = a^2$.*
- ▶ *It follows that a is even. so assume $a = 2c$*
- ▶ *$2b^2 = 4c^2$ this means that b^2 is even.*
- ▶ *our assumption of $\sim p$ leads to the contradiction , So $\sim p$ must be false.*
- ▶ *“ $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.” is true.*

Quick Quiz 6.2

A proof that $p \rightarrow q$ is true based on the fact that q is true, such proofs are known as _____

Visit moodle to submit answer

Summary: Proof by Contradiction

- ▶ Proving implication $p \rightarrow q$ *by contradiction*
- ▶ Assume $\neg q$, and use the premise p to arrive at a contradiction, i.e. $(\neg q \wedge p) \rightarrow F$
 $(p \rightarrow q \equiv (\neg q \wedge p) \rightarrow F)$
- ▶ How does this relate to the proof by contraposition?
- ▶ ***Proof by Contraposition*** $(\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$:
Assume $\neg q$, and prove $\neg p$.

Mathematical Induction

- A powerful, rigorous technique for proving that a statement $P(n)$ is true for **every** positive integers n , no matter how large.
- Essentially a “domino effect” principle.
- Based on a predicate-logic inference rule:

$$P(1)$$

$$\forall k \geq 1 [P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)]$$

$$\therefore \forall n \geq 1 P(n)$$

*“The First Principle
of Mathematical
Induction”*

Mathematical Induction

▶ PRINCIPLE OF MATHEMATICAL INDUCTION:

To prove that a statement $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers n , we complete two steps:

- **BASIS STEP:** Verify that $P(1)$ is true
- **INDUCTIVE STEP:** Show that the conditional statement $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is true for all positive integers k



Inductive Hypothesis

Induction Example

- Show that, for $n \geq 1$

$$1 + 2 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

- Proof by induction

- $P(n)$: the sum of the first n positive integers is $n(n+1)/2$, i.e. $P(n)$ is
- **Basis step**: Let $n = 1$. The sum of the first positive integer is 1, i.e. $P(1)$ is true.

$$1 = \frac{1(1+1)}{2}$$

- **Inductive step:** Prove $\forall k \geq 1: P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$.
 - Inductive Hypothesis, $P(k)$:

$$1 + 2 + \cdots + k = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$$

- Let $k \geq 1$, assume $P(k)$, and prove $P(k+1)$, i.e.

$$1 + 2 + \cdots + k + (k+1) = \frac{(k+1)[(k+1)+1]}{2}$$

**This is what
you have to
prove**

$$= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{P(k+1)}$

- **Inductive step** continues... *By inductive hypothesis $P(k)$*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{1 + 2 + \cdots + k} + (k + 1) &= \frac{k(k + 1)}{2} + (k + 1) \\ &= \frac{k(k + 1)}{2} + \frac{2(k + 1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{k^2 + 3k + 2}{2} \\ &= \frac{(k + 1)(k + 2)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, by the principle of mathematical induction $P(n)$ is true for all integers n with $n \geq 1$