

Compilation of Quantum Programs with Control Flow Primitives in Superposition

Master Thesis

05.02.25

Sascha Thiemann

Supervisors: apl. Prof. Dr. Thomas Noll

Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Dominique Unruh

Outline

Introduction

Background

Quantum Control Flow

Quantum Control Machine

Language

Overview

Syntax

Translation

Implementation

Code Generation

Optimization

Evaluation

Conclusion

Introduction

Introduction

test [Aaby, 2003]

Quantum Control Flow

- The idea of Quantum Control Flow was first used by [Altenkirch and Grattage, 2005] to define function quantum programming language.
- For example, it was used to define the Hadamard gate as the function had:

```
had: Q \rightarrow Q
had: x \mapsto if^{\circ}x
then \{false \mid -true\}
else \{false \mid true\}
```

- Later, the concept was formally defined by [Ying et al., 2012].
- Quantum branching allows fot the execution of function based on values in superposition.
- The result is the superposition of the results of individual executions.

Limitations — Reversibity

- Quantum control flow is mainly limited by two principles: reversibility and synchronization.
- Any sequence of instructions on gate-based quantum computers, excluding measurements, is required to be reversible by definition, as they are all unitary transformations.
- As a result, control flow, as implemented in classical computers, is not possible.
- For example, any classical jump instruction is inherently irreversible.
- Landauer Embedding [Landauer, 1961] seems to offer solution.
- The embedding can turn any non-reversible function into a reversible one by not only returning the output but also the input of the function.
- For example, any non-reversible function $f: D \to D'$ can be given as a reversible function $g: D \to D' \times D$ with g(x) = (f(x), x).
- However, because the output is the result together with the program history and the result depends on the history, they become entangled.
- This leads to disruptive entanglement [Yuan et al., 2024].

Limitations — Synchronization

- The program counter can become entangled with the data and result in disruptive entanglement leading to an invalid result.
- The principle of synchronization states that control flow must become independent from the data.
- For example, loops cannot depend solely on value in superposition.
- Tortoise and hare problem
- Instead, a loop must be bounded by a classical value [Yuan et al., 2024].

Quantum Control Machine

- Quantum Control Machine (QCM), proposed by [Yuan et al., 2024], is an instruction set architecture, focused on quantum control flow.
- Both its syntax and logic are similar to classical assembly language, utilizing (conditional) jump instructions.
- The architecture employs a branch control register bcr to enable reversible jump instructions.
- Instead of increasing the IP by 1 after each statement, it is increased by the value in the bcr.
- The bcr can then by reversibly modified.
- To jump by 5, the *bcr* is increased by 5 and, at its destination, decreased by 5 again.

Intructions

| Operation | Syntax | Semantics ¹ |
|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| No-op | nop | Only increases instruction pointer by the |
| | | bcr. |
| Addition | add <i>ra rb</i> | Adds register <i>rb</i> to <i>ra</i> . |
| Multiplication | mul <i>ra rb</i> | Multiplies register <i>ra</i> by <i>rb</i> . |
| Jump | jmp p | Increases <i>bcr</i> by <i>p</i> . |
| Conditional Jumps | jz <i>p ra</i> | Increases <i>bcr</i> by <i>p</i> if <i>ra</i> is 0. |
| | jne <i>p ra rb</i> | Increases bcr by p if ra is not equal to rb . |

¹ After all operations, the instruction pointer is increased by the value of the *bcr*.

An excerpt of the QCM instruction set with instructions used in later examples.

(Non-) Reversible Example

```
add res $1
add r1 y
all: jz l2 r1
mul res x
radd r1 $1
jmp l1
rl2: nop
```

A non-reversible exponentiation algorithm.

```
add res $1
add r1 y
all: rjne rl1 r1 y
rl2: jz l2 r1
mul res x
radd r1 $1
rl1: jmp l1
sl2: rjmp l2
```

A reversible exponentiation algorithm.

Reversible Synchronized Example

```
$1
        add
              res
        add
              r1
                   max
3 11:
    rjne rl1
                   r1
                       max
4 rl2:
        jΖ
           12
                   r1
5 rl3:
        jg 13
                   r1
                      У
        mul res
                   X
        jmp 14
7 rl4:
             rl3
8 13:
        rjmp
                            ; padding
        nop
10 14:
        rjle
             rl4
                   r1
                      У
        radd r1
                   $1
        jmp 11
12 rl1:
        rjmp rl2
13 12:
```

A synchronized, reversible exponentiation algorithm.

Language Overview

- The idea for our language is to provide a high-level language with the capabilities of the QCM.
- We want to remove low-level concepts and add high-level ones.
- Additionally, since jump instructions in superposition are removed, we need to add other control flow statements so that the language is as expressive as the QCM.
- For this, we introduce multiple high-level concepts and two basic control flow statements:
 - Blocks and scopes
 - Different data types
 - Composite gates
 - Loop statements, unrolled at compile time
 - Quantum if- and else-statements

Syntax

```
CFG_{Luie} = (V_{Luie}, \Sigma_{Luie}, R_{Luie}, prg_{Luie})
    V_{Luie} = \{exp, rExp, gate, qArg, stm, prg_{Luie}, \dots\}
   \Sigma_{\textit{Luie}} = \{\ldots, \texttt{range}, \, (,) \, , \ldots \} where \textit{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0, \textit{id} \in \textit{Identifier}
           Program : prg_{luie} := gDcl_1 \dots gDcl_n blk \mid blk
              Block : blk ::= t_1 \dots t_n \mid \epsilon
      Translatable : t ::= stm | dcl
       Declaration: dcl ::= const id = exp;
                                  qubit id;
GateDeclaration: gDcl::= gate id (id_1, ..., id_n) do blk end
```

Syntax

```
Statement: stm ::= qif qArg do blk end | for id in rExp do blk end | id <math>qArg_1, \ldots, qArg_n;

QubitArgument: qArg ::= id \mid id[exp]

Expression: exp ::= n \mid id \mid exp_1 + exp_2 \mid exp_1 - exp_2 \mid \ldots

RangeExpression: rExp ::= n_1..n_2 \mid range(exp) \mid range(exp_1, exp_2)

ConstGates = \{h, x, y, z, cx, ccx\}
```

Example Program

```
gate c_h_reg(control, reg) do
   qif control do
         for i in range(sizeof(reg)) do
             h reg[i];
         end
    end
7 end
const regSize : int = 3;
10 qubit C;
qubit[regSize] a;
12 C_h_reg c, a;
```

An example Luie program.

Translation

```
Symbol Table := \{st \mid st : Identifier \dashrightarrow (\{const\} \times \mathbb{Q}) \\ \cup (\{qubit\} \times \mathbb{N} \times Identifier) \\ \cup (\{arg\} \times Qubit Argument) \\ \cup (\{gate\} \times Block \times Identifier^+)\}
```

- The symbol tables saves the symbol information relevant for the translation.
- It contains four different types of symbols:
 - 1. Named constants
 - 2. Quantum registers and qubits
 - 3. Qubit arguments
 - 4. Composite gates

Translation

- The *trans* function translate the Luie program to OpenQASM.
- The initial symbol table st_{ϵ} contains no mappings.
- Next, the block translation function bt translates all translatables, i.e., statements and declarations.

```
trans: Program \dashrightarrow QASMProgam trans(gDcl_1 \dots gDcl_n \ blk) = bt(blk, update(update(update(st_{\epsilon}, gDcl_1), \dots), gDcl_n)) bt: Block \times SymbolTable \dashrightarrow QASM bt(t_1 \dots t_n, st_1) = tr_1 \quad \text{where } (tr_1, st_2) = tt(t_1, st_1) \dots tr_n \quad \text{where } (tr_n, -) = tt(t_n, st_n)
```

Translation

- The translatable function *tt* translates each translatable.
- Since declarations update the symbol table, the function returns the updated symbol table.
- The language allows for different variable scopes and, in turn, an identifier can be used multiple times.
- Therefore, a unique identifier *uid* is generated for the translation of identifiers.

$$tt: Translatable imes SymbolTable op QASM imes SymbolTable$$
 $tt(t,st) = \begin{cases} dt(t,st) & \text{if } t \in Declarations \\ (ct(t,st),st) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$dt: Declaration \times SymbolTable \longrightarrow QASM \times SymbolTable$$
 $dt(qubit id;, st) = (qubit uid;, st')$ $uid; st' = update(decl, st) and st'[id] = (qubit, 1, uid)$

Implementation

Code Generation

. . .

Implementation

Optimization

. . .

Evaluation

Evaluation

. . .

Conclusion

References

- Aaby, A. A. (2003).
 Compiler construction using flex and bison.
- Altenkirch, T. and Grattage, J. (2005).
 A functional quantum programming language.
 In 20th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS' 05), pages 249–258. IEEE.
- Landauer, R. (1961).

 Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process.

 IBM Journal of Research and Development, 5(3):183–191.
- Ying, M., Yu, N., and Feng, Y. (2012). Defining quantum control flow.
- Yuan, C., Villanyi, A., and Carbin, M. (2024).

 Quantum control machine: The limits of control flow in quantum programming.

References

Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages, 8(OOPSLA1):1–28.