Nepali News Classification

1. Dataset/Corpus

For this project, I have used the same dataset from the Abstractive Summarization project. The dataset consists of around 372,000 entries. Each entry consists of the news article, headline, and news category. For this project, we only use the news article and category columns of the dataset.

```
category
राजनीति
                        59975
देश/प्रदेश
                      51799
समाज
                          50207
अर्थ / वाणिज्य
                      43020
खेलकुद
                         42367
विश्व
                       37817
मनोरञ्जन
                       34178
विज्ञान र प्रविधि
                   23130
स्वास्थ्य
                    22392
शिक्षा
                        6755
Name: count, dtype: int64
```

Fig 1: News articles across different categories

	title	news	category
0	एमालेको प्रदेश प्रतिनिधिमा उदयपुरबाट सर्वसम्मत	उदयपुर : नेकपा एमाले कोशी प्रदेश कमिटीको प्रथम	समाज
1	गौशालाबाट लुटियो ६ लाख ५० हजार रुपैयाँ	महोत्तरी : गौशाला नगरपालिका-११ भरतपुरका शम्भु	समाज
2	आगलागीमा ४ करोड बढीको क्षति, पीडितलाई तत्काल र	मुगु : जिल्ला सदरमुकाम गमगढीमा शुक्रबार राति	समाज
3	तलेजुको दर्शन गर्न पूर्वराजा ज्ञानेन्द्र शाह भ	भक्तपुर : पूर्वराजा ज्ञानेन्द्र शाह भक्तपुर आए	समाज
4	वीरगन्जको खेतबाट ८ हजार ९१५ पिस लागूऔषध बरामद	वीरगन्ज : वीरगन्ज महानगरपालिका-२२ मनियारीस्थि	समाज

Fig 2: Sample news from the dataset

2. Data Cleaning and Preprocessing:

- Removed special characters. (,,/";\[{ ...}).
- Removed Arabic and Devanagari numerals.
- Removed stopwords for ML and Bi-LSTM models, while for BERT, they were kept intact.
- Removed English characters (Aa-Zz), emails, HTML elements, Emojis, and other unwanted non-Devanagari characters.
- Removed all the articles with news < 30 words.
- The dataset was highly imbalanced, so random undersampling was performed to balance the dataset.

3. Text Vectorization:

- Machine Learning Models: <u>TF-IDF</u> (vocabulary=52K)
- Bi-LSTM Model: Word Tokenization(vocabulary=52K) + Embedding
- <u>mBERT</u>: WordPiece Tokenization(vocabulary=110K) + Pretrained mBERT Embeddings

4. Models

4.1. Machine Learning Models

Here, I have experimented with 3 popular classification algorithms

- Naive Bayes
- **Gradient Boosting**
- XG Boost

4.2. Multi-Layer Perceptron

A simple connection of an embedding layer with 3 feed-forward networks. Embedding \rightarrow <u>Global Avrage Pooling</u> \rightarrow <u>ReLU</u> Feed Forward(16) \rightarrow ReLU Feed Forward(32) \rightarrow Softmax Feed Forward(8)

4.2.1 Hyperparameters

Hyperparameters	Value		
Vocab Size	52K		
Input Sequence Length	256		
Embedding Dimension	50		
Dropout	0.2		
Batch Size	512		
Learning Rate	1e-3		
Epochs	16 (Early Stopping at 10)		

4.2.2 Loss Function

The model uses the **Cross Entropy** Loss function.

4.3. Bi-LSTM

This is a simple neural network with an Embedding, Bi-LSTM, and Feed Forward Layer with softmax activation.

4.3.1 Hyperparameters

Hyperparameters	Value
Vocab Size	52K
Input Sequence Length	256
Embedding Dimension	50

LSTM Latent Dimension	8		
Dropout	0.2		
Batch Size	512		
Learning Rate	1e-3		
Epochs	16 (Early Stopping at 9)		

Table 1: Hyperparameters used in training the Bi-LSTM model

4.3.2 Loss Function

The model uses the **Cross Entropy** Loss function.

4.4. <u>mBERT</u>

Here, I have finetuned the mBERT-base-cased model with 110M parameters. Since the Nepali language has no distinction in upper and lower cases, either a cased or an uncased model could work well. However, for languages that have case distinctions, such as English, the choice could be dependent on the task at hand.

4.4.1 Training Hyperparameters

Hyperparameters	Value
Input Sequence Length	256
Batch Size	32
Learning Rate	5e-5
Warmup Steps	1,000
Epochs	6
L2 Regularization	0.01

Table 2: Hyperparameters used in finetuning the mBERT model

4.4.2 Loss Function

The model uses the **Cross Entropy** Loss function.

7. Evaluation Metric

- Accuracy
- Precision
- Recall
- <u>F1-Score</u>

8. Results

Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Naive Bayes'	83%	83%	83%	83%
Gradient Boosting	81%	81%	81%	81%
XG Boost	85%	85%	85%	85%
MLPs	87.42%	89.47%	88.66%	86.50%
Bi-LSTM	85.95%	87.38%	84.74%	85.69%
mBERT	88.74%	88.53%	88.77%	88.59%

Table 3: Results Comparison

References

[1] See, A., Liu, P. J., & Manning, C. D. (2017, April 14). *Get To The Point: Summarization with Pointer-Generator Networks*. arXiv.org. https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.04368

[2] Devlin, J., Chang, M., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2018, October 11). *BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding*. arXiv.org. https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805