

Data set used: - cars89.dta

1. Report sample mean and sample std.dev of variables – Price, weight, horsepower, seating and engine displacement

```
. sum price weight horsepower seating displacement
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
price	107	16800.64	9527.994	5666	57183
weight	107	2828.093	552.4292	1713	4209
horsepower	107	124.6729	40.45094	53	245
seating	107	5.037383	1.11529	2	8
displacement	107	159.4393	66.13126	61	350

2. Hypothesis test, Population mean price = 19000

Null Hypothesis: $H_0: \mu = 19000$

Alternative Hypothesis: $H_1: \mu \neq 19000$

Calculate t-stat

```
. sum price
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
price	107	16800.64	9527.994	5666	57183

```
. di (r(mean)-19000)/(r(sd)/sqrt(r(N)))
```

-2.3877433

Calculate p-Value

```
. di 2*ttail(106,2.3877)
```

.0187245

As central limit theorem (CLT) implies, sample is greater than 30 which is a normal distribution, so considering the critical value 1.65(90%), 1.96(95%) and 2.58(99%).

Reject in 90% and 95% as population mean price is 19000 and Fail to reject in 99%.

Extra verification,

```
. ttest price==19000
```

One-sample t test

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
price	107	16800.64	921.1059	9527.994	14974.45	18626.82

```
mean = mean(price)                                t = -2.3877
Ho: mean = 19000                                degrees of freedom = 106
```

```
Ha: mean < 19000
Pr(T < t) = 0.0094
```

```
Ha: mean != 19000
Pr(|T| > |t|) = 0.0187
```

```
Ha: mean > 19000
Pr(T > t) = 0.9906
```

3. Generated variable "Imported" with dummy values 1 for imported and 0 for local manufactured, (Based on information from Google)

```
gen imported=1 if make=="Audi"
replace imported=0 if make=="Acura"
replace imported=0 if make=="BMW"
replace imported=0 if make=="Buick"
replace imported=0 if make=="Volkswagen"
replace imported=0 if make=="Toyota"
replace imported=0 if make=="Subaru"
replace imported=0 if make=="Nissan"
replace imported=0 if make=="Mercedes"
replace imported=0 if make=="Lincoln"
replace imported=0 if make=="Hyundai"
replace imported=0 if make=="Honda"
replace imported=0 if make=="Ford"
replace imported=0 if make=="Dodge"
replace imported=0 if make=="Chevrolet"
replace imported=0 if make=="Cadillac"
replace imported=1 if make=="Volvo"
replace imported=1 if make=="Sterling"
replace imported=1 if make=="Saab"
replace imported=1 if make=="Pontiac"
replace imported=1 if make=="Peugot"
replace imported=1 if make=="Oldsmobile"
replace imported=1 if make=="Mitsubishi"
replace imported=1 if make=="Merkur"
replace imported=1 if make=="Mercury"
replace imported=1 if make=="Mazda"
replace imported=1 if make=="Isuzu"
replace imported=1 if make=="Geo"
replace imported=1 if make=="Eagle"
replace imported=1 if make=="Daihatsu"
replace imported=1 if make=="Chrvsler"
```

Estimate treatment effect is the difference of average outcome from treated and average outcome from non-treated group,

```
. ****Calculate ATE ****
. sum price if imported==1
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
price	36	15247.17	5491.535	6397	28030

```
. sum price if imported==0
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
price	71	17588.31	10978.25	5666	57183

```
. di 15247.17-17588.31
-2341.14
```

- Using the means difference to determine the statistical significance of average treatment effect of a car being imported,

Null Hypothesis,

$$H_0 : B_j = 0$$

Alternative Hypothesis,

$$H_1: B_j \neq 0$$

Using the summary statistic from question-3, calculate t-stat for average treatment effect,

```
. *** Calculate t-stat from summary statistic obtained in Q.3 ***
. di ((15247.17-17588.31)-0)/sqrt((5491.535)^2/36+(10978.25)^2/71)
-1.470356

. *** t-stat = -1.47 < 1.65, 1.96 or 2.58- Fail to reject in 90%, 95% or 99%

. ttest price, by(imported) unequal
```

Two-sample t test with unequal variances

Group	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
0	71	17588.31	1302.879	10978.25	14989.8	20186.82
1	36	15247.17	915.2558	5491.535	13389.1	17105.23
combined	107	16800.64	921.1059	9527.994	14974.45	18626.82

diff	2341.143	1592.227	-815.9499	5498.236
diff = mean(0) - mean(1)				t = 1.4704
Ho: diff = 0				Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom = 104.996

As central limit theorem(CLT) implies, sample is greater than 30 which is a normal distribution, so considering the critical value 1.65(90%), 1.96(95%) and 2.58(99%).

Fail to reject in 90%, 95% or 99%.

5. Estimate the equation,

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 weight + \beta_2 horsepower + \varepsilon$$

. reg price weight horsepower

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	107
Model	5.4850e+09	2	2.7425e+09	F(2, 104)	=	68.93
Residual	4.1380e+09	104	39788428.5	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Total	9.6230e+09	106	90782666.9	R-squared	=	0.5700
				Adj R-squared	=	0.5617
				Root MSE	=	6307.8

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
weight	5.767519	1.648051	3.50	0.001	2.499372 9.035667
horsepower	111.4845	22.50706	4.95	0.000	66.85213 156.1168
_cons	-13409.54	3258.986	-4.11	0.000	-19872.23 -6946.849

6. Test hypothesis increase of one horsepower correspondence with a price increase of \$70

*** Not sure about this, if I got the hypothesis rt ***

Null Hypothesis,

$$H_0: B_j = 70$$

Alternative Hypothesis,

$$H_1: B_j \neq 70$$

```
. *** Calculate t-stat ***
. di (111.4845-70)/22.50706
1.8431772
```

Reject in 90%, Fail to reject in 95% and 99%

```
. *** Calculate p-value ***
. di 2*ttail(107,1.8431772)
.06807101
```

```
. *** p-value = .0681 < 0.10, but > 0.05 and 0.01, Reject in 90%, but fail to
. *** reject in 95% and 99%
```

7. Estimate the equation,

$$price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 weight + \beta_2 horsepower + \beta_3 displacement + \beta_4 seating + \beta_5 imported + \varepsilon$$

```
. reg price weight horsepower displacement seating imported
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	107
				F(5, 101)	=	42.62
Model	6.5286e+09	5	1.3057e+09	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Residual	3.0944e+09	101	30637243.9	R-squared	=	0.6784
				Adj R-squared	=	0.6625
Total	9.6230e+09	106	90782666.9	Root MSE	=	5535.1

price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
weight	14.75433	2.115436	6.97	0.000	10.55788	18.95079
horsepower	94.77561	26.29188	3.60	0.000	42.61959	146.9316
displacement	-66.10356	16.17708	-4.09	0.000	-98.19454	-34.01259
seating	-2456.172	627.9554	-3.91	0.000	-3701.867	-1210.478
imported	-880.0065	1170.044	-0.75	0.454	-3201.058	1441.045
_cons	-13533.69	3678.084	-3.68	0.000	-20830.02	-6237.358

All the variables are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), For every lb. of weight the price goes up by \$14.75, For every increment of horsepower the price increase by \$94.76, For engine displacement and every seating the price gets decreased as in coefficient, and since imported is a dummy variable, non-imported is baseline-constant and the difference of _cons and imported coefficient is the value impact if the car is imported.

8. Predict the price,

Car model -Mercedes Benz, considered to be local manufactured.

Engine displacement – 180.8 cubic inches

Seating- 5

Horsepower – 178

Weight – 3131 lb

Using the estimate coefficient from Q.7,

```
. di -13533.69+(3131*14.75433)+(178*94.77561)+(180.8*(-66.10356))+(5*(-2456.172  
> ))+(0*(-880.0065))
```

= \$25,299.792

9. Assumption #3: - Error term has mean zero and no correlation between the explanatory variable, Above assumption need to be true to use the model from Q.8 to make an active prediction.

Yes, above is a reasonable assumption for active prediction because there is some omitted variable that impact the price and are highly correlated with explanatory variable weights, horsepower, etc. that is in error terms, Example of omitted variables – “mpgcity” City mileage per gallon.

