

## Day 3 Practical: Adjusting for Population structure

In this practical we will analyse a simulated GWAS dataset to check for population stratification and employ two different methods to adjust for structure in association studies.

In this session, we will go through the following steps:

1. Perform a test of association at each SNP using PLINK, and evaluate QQ plots to assess evidence for the presence of population structure.
2. Perform a test of association at each SNP using PLINK and employ Genomic Control to assess if the population structure has been accounted for.
3. Perform a test of association at each SNP using PLINK with PCs as covariates and re-evaluate to assess if the population structure has been accounted for.

### Input Dataset

Please find the input dataset in the Plink format here in your VM

```
cd Day3_Popstructure
```

### 1. Testing for evidence of population structure from association results.

a. Assuming you have a qc-ed dataset (following the steps you have learnt in Day2), we start with the association analysis

```
plink --bfile demo_data --allow-no-sex --assoc --out assoc_results
```

b. View your result file.

```
head assoc_results.assoc
```

⇒ Can you find the column with p-value ?

c. Check whether you have any genome-wide significant hits (i.e.  $p\text{-value} < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ).

```
awk '{if($9<0.00000005) print }' assoc_results.assoc | wc -l
```

⇒ How many SNPs are significantly associated to the trait?

d. Generate a Manhattan Plot

```
Rscript Manhattan_plot.R assoc_results.assoc  
assoc_results.manhattan.jpeg
```

⇒ How does the Manhattan plot look? Do you think something is not right even though you have qc-ed for your samples and SNPs stringently.

e. Generate a QQ Plot

```
Rscript QQ_plot.R assoc_results.assoc assoc_results.qqplot.jpeg
```

Early deviation from the expected line, as well as Manhattan plot clearly tells you have a very strong population structure. How to deal with it?

So, let's start with adjusting for Genomic Control

## 2. GC based correction

a. Run the assoc command once again, but this time use an “adjust flag” which generates another file containing several basic multiple testing corrections for the raw *p-values*, including genomic-controlled *p-values*

```
plink --bfile demo_data --allow-no-sex --assoc --adjust --out  
assoc_results
```

b. View the new file

```
head assoc_results.assoc.adjusted
```

⇒ Can you find the column with *p-value*? The column with header ‘UNADJ’ provides the raw *p-value* and the with header ‘GC’ provides the genomic-controlled *p-value*

c. Check if there are any genome-wide significant hits after GC based correction

```
awk '{if($4<0.00000005) print }' assoc_results.assoc.adjusted | wc -  
l
```

d. Generate a QQ Plot to check if we have controlled for population structure successfully

```
sed 's/GC/P/g' assoc_results.assoc.adjusted >  
assoc_results.assoc.adjusted1
```

```
Rscript QQ_plot.R assoc_results.assoc.adjusted1  
assoc_results.GCadjusted.qqplot.jpeg
```

Although, a bulk of the structure is gone, we still see a deviation from the expected line before 4.

Next, we will use a PCA-based correction to control for population structure.

### 3. Principal component (PC) based correction

a. It's always a good practice to run PCA on LD pruned dataset, so that the analysis is not biased by disproportionately high LD in some regions.

```
plink --bfile demo_data --indep-pairwise 50 5 0.5
```

```
plink --bfile demo_data --extract plink.prune.in --pca 'header' --out demo_data.pca
```

b. View the files generated

```
head demo_data.pca.eigenvec | cut -d " " -f 1-7
```

```
head demo_data.pca.eigenval
```

c. The next step is to visualise the PCA plot.

```
#Create input for PCA plot
```

```
echo IID Pheno > demo_data.phe
```

```
awk '{print $2,$6}' demo_data.fam >> demo_data.phe
```

```
paste demo_data.pca.eigenvec demo_data.phe | awk '{if ($2==$23)
print $24,$2,$3,$4,$5,$6,$7,$8,$9,$10,$11,$12}' | sed
's/^1/Control/g' | sed 's/^2/Case/g' > demo_data.pca.input
```

The next step is run the R script that uses the 'demo\_data.pca.input' as input

```
Rscript plot_PCA.R
```

So, you can see that our dataset is highly structured (hopefully you will not see this in real life scenario ☺). In real GWAS you might also choose to remove outliers based on PCs.

d. We will use the first few PCs from the 'demo\_data.pca.eigenvec' file as a covariate file to run the logistic regression analysis.

```
plink --bfile demo_data --allow-no-sex --logistic hide-covar --covar
demo_data.pca.eigenvec --covar-name PC1,PC2,PC3,PC4,PC5,PC6 --out
logistic_results
```

e. Remove the lines with NA to avoid problems in visualization of the results

```
awk '!/'NA/' logistic_results.assoc.logistic >
logistic_results.assoc_2.logistic
```

e. Run the Manhattan plot script again, do you see any signals

```
Rscript Manhattan_plot.R logistic_results.assoc_2.logistic  
logistic_results.manhattan.jpeg
```

e. Run the QQ plot

```
Rscript QQ_plot.R logistic_results.assoc_2.logistic  
logistic_results.qqplot.jpeg
```

⇒ Based, on this practical, which of the approaches would you prefer for correcting population structure in your data?

\* Please note there are other approaches such as LMM that might better account for population structure.