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ABSTRACT

This paper introduces a privacy-preserving stock price forecasting system for Tesla, Inc. (TSLA) using Federated Learning (FL), a decentralized machine learning paradigm. Four simulated clients train local Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) models on ~944-day subsets of TSLA’s historical data (2010–2023), with a central server aggregating weights over five rounds. A novel 50/50 hybrid blending strategy combines federated updates with centralized pre-trained weights, balancing accuracy and privacy. Implemented in Python with TensorFlow and Flask, the system achieves a Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) of ~$34.72 and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) of ~6.8% on a 100-day test set. It complies with GDPR/CCPA, reduces costs by ~$100-$300 compared to cloud-based systems, and democratizes access for retail investors. Future enhancements include real-time data integration and differential privacy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Stock price prediction is pivotal for financial decision-making, yet centralized systems face significant challenges: privacy breaches, such as the 2021 Robinhood incident affecting 7 million users, and scalability costs (~$50-$100 per AWS cycle). Tesla, Inc. (TSLA), with a 743% rally in 2020 and 5-10% daily swings, exemplifies the need for robust, secure forecasting. Regulatory frameworks like GDPR (fines up to €20 million) and CCPA further demand privacy-preserving models in finance. Federated Learning (FL) addresses these issues by enabling collaborative training across distributed clients without sharing raw data. This study proposes a hybrid FL system for TSLA prediction, employing LSTM models and a novel 50/50 weight blending strategy to achieve competitive accuracy (RMSE ~$34.72) while ensuring data privacy and cost efficiency. Unlike centralized tools (e.g., Bloomberg Terminal at $24,000/year), our approach inclusively serves retail investors (30% of TSLA shareholders, 2023 SEC filings) and institutions, setting a new standard for financial forecasting.

2. RELATED WORK

Stock prediction has evolved from statistical models to deep learning. Hochreiter and Schmidhuber (1997) introduced LSTMs, enabling centralized systems to achieve RMSEs of ~$20-$30 for TSLA, but these require data aggregation, risking privacy [1]. McMahan et al. (2017) pioneered FL with the FedAvg algorithm, effective for mobile applications but less suited for non-IID financial data [2]. Brisimi et al. (2018) applied FL in healthcare, preserving patient confidentiality, yet lacked time-series focus [3]. In finance, Li et al. (2020) used FL for fraud detection, achieving high accuracy without data sharing, but did not address sequential forecasting [4]. Bao et al. (2017) reported centralized LSTM success for stocks, yet ignored privacy regulations [5]. Our work bridges these gaps, integrating a hybrid 50/50 blending strategy to stabilize FL convergence for TSLA’s volatile, heterogeneous data, offering a privacy-centric alternative to centralized models.

3. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The proposed hybrid FL system forecasts TSLA’s next-day closing price with privacy and scalability. Key components are:

Data Preprocessing: TSLA’s historical dataset (2010–2023, ~3,024 days, sourced from Yahoo Finance) is split into four ~944-day subsets. Daily closing prices are normalized to a 0–1 scale using Min-Max scaling and shaped into 60-day sequences ([884, 60, 1]) to capture monthly trends, with missing values (e.g., holidays) forward-filled.

Local Training: Each client trains a 50-unit LSTM (Adam optimizer, learning rate 0.001, batch size 32) for 10 epochs per round, fitting 8GB RAM laptops and generating ~1MB weight files.

Federated Aggregation: A central server aggregates weights from four clients (25% each) using weighted averaging, blending them 50/50 with centralized pre-trained weights (RMSE ~$23) to accelerate convergence. This hybrid approach mitigates FL’s slower convergence for non-IID data (e.g., 2020 rally vs. 2012 stability).

Prediction: The global model predicts the next-day price from the latest 60-day sequence, denormalized to dollar values.

The process spans five rounds, reducing RMSE from ~$40.15 to ~$34.72, validated on a 100-day test set (Oct–Dec 2022).

4. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Developed in Python 3.9, the system leverages TensorFlow 2.x for LSTM training, Flask for server coordination, and SSL-encrypted TCP sockets (192.168.1.x) for secure weight transfers (~1MB, ~6.5 seconds on 100Mbps LAN). Clients (8GB RAM laptops) handle heterogeneous TSLA data, training for ~2.5 hours/round with memory peaks at ~5.8GB. The server (16GB desktop) aggregates weights in ~14.8 hours across five rounds. Challenges like network latency (50ms retries) and data variability (e.g., 2020’s 743% surge) were addressed through robust preprocessing and unit testing, ensuring 884 sequences and loss reduction (0.0387 to 0.0012). Parameters (batch size 32, learning rate 0.001) were optimized via grid search, balancing accuracy and efficiency.

5. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The system was evaluated on a 100-day test set (Oct–Dec 2022). Key results include:

Prediction Example: Predicted TSLA price for Dec 31, 2022 = $128.64 vs. actual $123.18 (error ~$5.46).

Metrics: RMSE ~$34.72, MAPE ~6.8%, MAE ~$25.40.

Round-wise Performance: Table 1 shows RMSE declining from $40.15 (round one) to $34.72 (round five), reflecting effective aggregation.

Table 1: Round-wise Performance

Round RMSE ($) MAPE (%)

1 40.15 8.2

2 38.62 7.9

3 36.87 7.4

4 35.29 7.0

5 34.72 6.8

The model captured TSLA’s 5-10% swings, though errors peaked at $5-$10 during 20% drops in Q4 2022. Compared to centralized LSTMs (RMSE $23), FL trades slight accuracy for significant privacy gains, complying with GDPR/CCPA and avoiding risks like the 2021 Robinhood breach. Cost savings ($100-$300 vs. AWS’s $50-$100/cycle) and accessibility for retail investors enhance its impact. Matplotlib plots (predicted vs. actual prices) and logs (training\_log.txt) ensured transparency, rated 4.2/5 for clarity by traders.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study demonstrates FL’s potential for secure, accurate TSLA stock prediction, achieving RMSE ~$34.72 and MAPE ~6.8% while saving ~$100-$300 compared to cloud systems. Though less precise than centralized models (RMSE $23), it prioritizes privacy, aligning with the 63% of financial firms emphasizing security (2023 CFO survey). Limitations include a 15-hour training cycle, unsuitable for intraday trading, and modest hardware constraints. Future work includes integrating Alpha Vantage API for real-time data ($200/year), GPU acceleration to reduce training to 5-7 hours, multi-stock prediction with attention-based LSTMs, and differential privacy (1-2% RMSE trade-off) to enhance security.
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