

# Todo list

Algorithm to find basis given a list of vectors . . . . .	3
---	---

## Chapter 1

# Vector Spaces

## Chapter 2

# Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces

### 2.1 Span and Linear Independence

Test case to create generic vector using *vectorv* command:  $v = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_nv_n$

### 2.2 Bases

A *basis* of  $V$  is a list of vectors in  $V$  that is linearly independent and spans  $V$ . How do we check if a given list of vectors is a basis or not?

One option is to check if they are linearly independent and span the space. But, we have a more beautiful solution. A criteria that when satisfied ensures that the given list of vectors is a basis.

**Criteria for basis 1.** *A list  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  of vectors span  $V$  iff every  $v$  in  $V$  can be written uniquely in the form*

$$v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$$

This is similar to the criteria of linear independence which is encoded by the criteria that a list of vectors are linearly independent *iff* their sum equals zero only when all coefficients are zero themselves.

**How will we determine if given a list of  $k$  vectors for a space with dimension  $m$ , and  $k \gg m$ , which  $m$  vectors in  $k$  form a basis for  $V$ , if any? Is there an algorithm for it?**

Clearly, there are  $C(k, m)$  options available. Given a particular combination, is there an algorithm to determine if they meet the criteria equation of linear independence.

Algorithm  
to find basis  
given a list  
of vectors

**Every subspace of  $V$  is part of a direct sum equal to  $V$  1.** Suppose  $V$  is finite dimensional and  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ . Then **there exists** a subspace  $W$  of  $V$  such that  $V = U + W$ .

Because  $V$  is finite dimensional, so is  $U$ . Let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  be the basis for  $U$ . Clearly, this basis can be extended by adding  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$  such that  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_k$  span  $V$ .

Let  $W = \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_k)$ . We need to show  $V = U + W$  and  $V \cap W = \{0\}$ . For any  $v \in V$ , because  $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_k$  span  $V$ , there exists  $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_k$  such that  $v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_k w_k = u + w$ .  $V = U + W$ .

Now, we need to show the intersection property. Let  $v \in U \cap W$ . Then, there exists scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_k$  such that

$v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m = b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_k w_k$  or  $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_k w_k = 0$ . Since, all vectors are independent,  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = b_1 = \dots = b_k = 0$ . Thus,  $v = 0$ . Note, we had taken any arbitrary  $v$  part of the intersection. . .

Hence, proved.  $\square$

## Exercises 2.B

### 5

Intuitively, there cannot exist such a basis because if there is no polynomial  $p$  of degree 2, how can we represent  $P_3(R)$ . One way to solve this is take any 4 polynomials, assume they are independent, write their general, write their sum (the 4 polynomials) and we won't have a single term with degree 2. Therefore, there cannot exist such a basis. Hence proved.

### 6

We need to show new list is also a basis. The criteria for basis is unique representation. As a helper method, we first show linear independence of the new list. That's easy. Assume non-independence and write independence criteria equation with assumption one of the coefficients is non-zero. Reshuffle all new terms such that they are written using original basis. This leads to contradiction as now a linear combination of original basis with at least one coefficient non-zero on the LHS and 0 on RHS. Since, all coefficients need to be 0, non-independence of the new list is false. Hence, independence shown.

Next, we need to show unique representation using the new new list. Assume two sets of coefficients lead to a common vector. Write the two representations with LHS = RHS. Because, we know the new list is independent, this leads to all corresponding coefficients in the two sets being equal. Hence, unique representation. Hence, proved.

As a side note, any linear combination of the new list can be rewritten as a combination of the original basis and the original basis spans  $V$ . Hence, the new list also spans  $V$ . Another way to think of it is every  $v$  can be written as a linear combination of the original basis and that representation can be written

as a linear combination of the new list. That is the definition of spanning. For every  $v$ , there exists a representation using the list.

## 2.3 Dimension

The dimension of a vector space  $V$  is defined as the length of its basis. Every basis for a given vector space has the same length which can be stated below.

### 2.3.1 Basis length does not depend on basis

**outline of proof** There's a logical statement that will help us. When we say  $x$  is less than and equal to  $y$  and  $y$  is less than or equal to  $x$ , i.e.,  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , we get  $x = y$ . Example, take two numbers  $2 \leq 4$  and  $4 \leq 2$ , the only way other criteria is fulfilled is if 4 changes to 2.

**proof** Let  $B_1, B_2$  be two basis for  $V$ .  $B_1$  is linearly independent and  $B_2$  spans  $V$ .  $\therefore \text{len}(B_1) \leq \text{len}(B_2)$ . Note, we are using the property that the length of the linearly independent list is less than or equal to the length of the spanning list. /todo Prove this statement without looking at the book. Similarly, we show  $\text{len}(B_2) \leq \text{len}(B_1)$ . Hence,  $\text{len}(B_1) = \text{len}(B_2)$ .

### 2.3.2 Changing field changes the dimension of a vector space

Let's take  $R_2$  as the vector space defined over  $R$ . The dimension is obviously 2. But, if the same vector space  $R_2$  is defined over field  $C$ , the dimension is 1. First of all,  $c(x, y) \neq (cx, cy)$ . That's not how we can multiply a complex number with a  $v \in V$ . Actually, the scalar multiplication is defined by the field not the vector space. So, when  $R_2$  is defined over  $R$ ,  $c(x, y) = (cx, cy)$ . When defined over  $C$ ,  $c(x, y) = (a + b\iota)(x + y\iota) = (ax - by) + (ay + bx)\iota$  and then we reinterpret it as an element of  $R_2$ , i.e.,  $(ax - by), (ay + bx)$ . This reinterpretation is allowed because the scalar multiplication is defined as an operation  $\lambda v$  such that the result is still in  $V$  and that this holds true  $\forall \lambda \in F, F$  is the field and  $v \in V$ .

**show**  $(5, 7), (4, 3)$  is a basis of  $F$ . Basis definition says list must span  $F$  and it must be linearly independent. Let's use the independent criteria check.  $a(5, 7) + b(4, 3) = 0$  iff  $a = b = 0$ . This can be solved by setting up a system of linear equations:

$$5a + 4b = 0 \text{ and } 7a + 3b = 0.$$

$$b = \frac{-5a}{4}.$$

$$7a + 3\frac{-5a}{4} = 0.$$

$$a = 0.$$

$$b = 0.$$

Therefore, they are linearly independent. We know that the length of basis for  $F_2$  is 2. And here this independent list also has that length. So, we don't need to check whether it spans  $F_2$  or not. We have another rule. Basis check needs two criteria, linear independence and spanning. If we know the list in question has the length equal to that of the  $\dim V$ , we only need to check one of the two criteria.