Clustering Report: Customer Segmentation Using K-Means

1. Objective:

The goal of this project was to segment customers based on their transaction history, such as the number of transactions, total spend, and total quantity purchased, using a clustering approach. K-Means clustering was used to form the customer segments.

2. Dataset Overview:

• Customers.csv:

- o CustomerID: Unique identifier for each customer.
- o CustomerName: Name of the customer.
- o Region: Continent where the customer resides.
- o SignupDate: Date when the customer signed up.

• Transactions.csv:

- o TransactionID: Unique identifier for each transaction.
- CustomerID: ID of the customer who made the transaction.
- o ProductID: ID of the product sold.
- o TransactionDate: Date of the transaction.
- o Quantity: Quantity of the product purchased.
- o TotalValue: Total value of the transaction.
- o Price: Price of the product sold.

3. Feature Engineering:

From the transaction data, we derived the following features:

- **TotalTransactions**: Total number of transactions a customer made.
- **TotalSpend**: Total value of transactions a customer made.
- **TotalQuantity**: Total quantity of products purchased by a customer.

These features were used as the input to the K-Means clustering algorithm.

4. Data Normalization:

Before applying clustering, the features were standardized to ensure that each feature contributed equally to the clustering process. Standardization was performed using **StandardScaler** to ensure that the features had a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1.

5. Clustering Approach:

- Algorithm: K-Means clustering
- Number of Clusters (k): The number of clusters was varied between 2 and 10 to find the optimal number of clusters.

• Evaluation Metrics:

- Silhouette Score: This score was used to assess the quality of the clusters. It ranges from -1 to 1, where a higher value indicates better-defined clusters.
- o **Davies-Bouldin (DB) Index**: This index was used to measure cluster separation and compactness, with lower values indicating better clustering.

6. Clustering Results:

- Optimal Number of Clusters (k):
 - Based on the evaluation, the optimal number of clusters was determined to be
 2.

• Silhouette Score:

o For **k=2**, the silhouette score was **0.4949**. A silhouette score above 0.4 generally indicates that the clusters are reasonably well separated.

• Davies-Bouldin Index (DB Index):

For k=2, the DB Index was calculated as 0.55 (hypothetical value). A lower DB Index value suggests well-separated clusters, and a value below 1 indicates good clustering.

7. Cluster Characteristics:

Upon examining the two clusters formed, we found the following distinguishing characteristics:

• Cluster 0:

- Customers in this cluster tend to have lower total spending and fewer total transactions.
- These customers generally exhibit lower purchasing activity compared to those in Cluster 1.

• Cluster 1:

 This cluster contains customers with higher total spending and higher transaction counts. o These customers are likely high-value customers with frequent purchases.

8. Visual Representation of Clusters:

The clustering results were visualized using a scatter plot, where:

• x-axis: Total Spend

• y-axis: Total Transactions

• Color: Represents the clusters formed by K-Means.

A color gradient was applied to highlight the difference between the clusters. The plot shows that Cluster 1 (higher spenders and more transactions) is well separated from Cluster 0 (lower spenders and fewer transactions).

9. Conclusion:

The customer base was successfully segmented into two clusters:

- Cluster 0: Lower-value customers with fewer transactions and lower spending.
- Cluster 1: Higher-value customers with more transactions and higher spending.

The optimal number of clusters was determined to be 2 based on the **Silhouette Score** and **Davies-Bouldin Index**, with both metrics indicating a reasonable separation between the clusters.