

# Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors 1

**Def.:** A linear map from a vector space to itself is called an operator.

**Def.:** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . A subspace  $U$  of  $V$  is called invariant under  $T$  if  $T(U) \subseteq U$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Def.:** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . A number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  is called an eigenvalue of  $T$  if there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $v \neq 0$  and  $Tv = \lambda v$ . The vector  $v$  is called the corresponding eigenvector.

**Prop.:** Suppose  $V$  is finite-dimensional,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ .
2.  $T - \lambda I$  is not injective.
3.  $T - \lambda I$  is not surjective.
4.  $T - \lambda I$  is not invertible.

**Proof:** (a) and (b) are equivalent because  $Tv = \lambda v$  is equivalent to the equation  $(T - \lambda I)v = 0$ . (b), (c), (d) are equivalent because  $V$  is finite-dimensional.

**Def.:** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . A vector  $v \in V$  is called an eigenvector of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  if  $v \neq 0$  and  $Tv = \lambda v$ .

**Prop.:** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Then every list of eigenvectors of  $T$  corresponding to distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  is linearly independent.

**Proof:** Assume there exists a linearly dependent list of the given kind, and denote  $m$  as the minimal number of such vectors. Thus there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = 0$ , but none of them 0 (because of the minimality of  $m$ ). Apply  $T - \lambda_m I$  to both sides of the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i (Tv_i - I\lambda_m v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i (\lambda_i v_i - \lambda_m v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_m)$ . Because the eigenvalues are distinct but  $\lambda_k - \lambda_m = 0$  we get  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i v_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_m)$ , hence then  $v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}$  is linearly dependent, in contradiction to the minimality of  $m$ .

**Prop.:** Operators can not have more eigenvalues than the dimension of the vector space: Suppose  $V$  is finite dimensional. Then each operator on  $V$  has at most  $\dim V$  distinct eigenvalues.

**Proof:** Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are distinct eigenvalues of  $T$ . Let  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  be corresponding eigenvectors. Then the proposition above implies  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  are linearly independent and this implies  $m \leq \dim V$ .

**Prop.:** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then  $\text{null}(p(T))$  and  $\text{range}(p(T))$  are invariant under  $T$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $v \in \text{null}(p(T))$ . Then  $p(T)v = 0$ . Thus  $(p(T))(Tv) = T(p(T)v) = T(0) = 0$ . Suppose  $v \in \text{range}(p(T))$ . Then there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $v = p(T)w$ . Thus  $Tv = T(p(T)w) = p(T)(Tw)$ .

## Recommended Reading

- **Linear Algebra 1** by Menny-Akka (Match: 0.74)
- **Linear algebra problem book** by Paul R. Halmos (Match: 0.73)
- **Linear Algebra** by Meckes Meckes (Match: 0.73)