

Stochastic-Tomato-Vector-Plant-Disease

Gabriel Adrian Salcedo Varela

Saúl Díaz Infante

August 4, 2020

Abstract

1 Deterministic base dynamics

En esta sección, vamos a definir el modelo básico que trabajaremos, consideraremos que las plantas se dividen en tres tipos: plantas susceptibles, latentes e infectadas. Las moscas blancas, las cuales llamaremos vectores, se dividen en susceptibles e infectadas.

Las plantas susceptibles pasan a ser plantas latentes cuando un vector infectado se alimenta de ella a una tasa de β_p , continuando el proceso cuando las plantas latentes se convierten en plantas infectadas a una tasa de b , en cada uno de estos casos consideraremos que estaremos revisando los cultivos para el cual removeremos plantas latentes e infectadas si se detecta que dicha planta esta infectada a una tasa de r_1 y r_2 respectivamente.

Plants become latent by infected vectors, replanting latent and infected plants, latent plants become infectious plants, vectors become infected by infected plants, vectors die or depart per day, immigration from alternative hosts.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{S}_p &= -\beta_p S_p \frac{I_v}{N_v} + \tilde{r}_1 L_p + \tilde{r}_2 I_p \\ \dot{L}_p &= \beta_p S_p \frac{I_v}{N_v} - b L_p - \tilde{r}_1 L_p \\ \dot{I}_p &= b L_p - \tilde{r}_2 I_p \\ \dot{S}_v &= -\beta_v S_v \frac{I_p}{N_p} - \tilde{\gamma} S_v + (1 - \theta)\mu \\ \dot{I}_v &= \beta_v S_v \frac{I_p}{N_p} - \tilde{\gamma} I_v + \theta\mu\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

donde β_p : tasa de infección de las plantas susceptibles mediante un vector infectado. r_1 : tasa de replanteo de plantas latentes. r_2 : tasa de replanteo de plantas infecciosas. b : tasa de latencia (planta latente se convierte en infecciosa). β_v : tasa de infección de los vectores susceptibles mediante una planta infectada.

Gabriel:
Aqui anexa
Los paquetes
y contenido
de lo que ll-
evas escrito.
Si necesi-
tas carpetas
agregalas.
Tabien sube
el archivo
bib y las fig-
uras en ex-
tencion eps
de la simu-
laciones del
modelo de-
terminista
que estamos
perturbando.

translate
this section

Make a table
for descrip-
tion of all
parameters

γ : tasa de muerte o alejamiento de los vectores, μ : migración de los vectores de plantas hospederas alternas, θ : proporción de migración de los vectores.

Theorem 1 *With the notation of ODE (1), let*

$$N_v(t) := S_v(t) + I_v(t)$$

$$N_v^\infty := \frac{\mu}{\gamma}.$$

Then for any initial condition $(S_p(0), L_p(0), I_p(0), S_v(0), I_v(0))^\top \in (0, \infty) \times (0, N_v^\infty)$ the plant and vector total populations respectively satisfies

$$\frac{dN_p}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(S_p + L_p + I_p) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N_v(t) = N_v^\infty.$$

We use the following variable change to normalize (1):

$$x = \frac{S_p}{N_p}, \quad y = \frac{L_p}{N_p}, \quad z = \frac{I_p}{N_p}, \quad v = \frac{I_p}{N_v}, \quad w = \frac{I_v}{N_v}. \quad (2)$$

Then, deterministic system (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -\beta_p x w + \tilde{r}_1 y + \tilde{r}_2 z \\ \dot{y} &= \beta_p x w - (b + \tilde{r}_1) y \\ \dot{z} &= b y - \tilde{r}_2 z \\ \dot{v} &= -\beta_v v z + (1 - \theta - v) \frac{\mu}{N_v} \\ \dot{w} &= \beta_v v z + (\theta - w) \frac{\mu}{N_v} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Following ideas from [referencia], we quantify uncertainty in replanting rate of plants, and died rate of vector, r_1 , r_2 and γ , to this end, we perturb parameters $r_1 \dots$ whit a Wiener process to obtain a stochastic differential equation(SDE). Here, the perturbation describe stochastic environmental noise on each population. In symbols $dB(t) = B(t + dt) - B(t)$ denotes the increment of a standard Wiener process, thus we perturb potentially replanting r_1 , r_2 , and vector death γ in the infinitesimal time interval $[t, t + dt)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 dt &\rightsquigarrow r_1 dt + \sigma_L dB(t), \\ r_2 dt &\rightsquigarrow r_2 dt + \sigma_I dB(t), \\ \gamma dt &\rightsquigarrow \gamma dt + \sigma_v dB(t). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Note that right hand side of (4) is a random perturbations of parameters r_1 , r_2 , γ , with mean $\mathbb{E}[r_1 dt + \sigma_L dB(t)]$ and variance $\text{Var}[r_1 dt + \sigma_L dB(t)] = \sigma_L^2 dt$, $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{r}_2 dt) = r_2 dt$ and $\text{Var}(\tilde{r}_2 dt) = \sigma_I^2 dt$ and $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\gamma} dt) = \gamma dt$ and $\text{Var}(\tilde{\gamma} dt) = \sigma_v^2 dt$.

Redact this conservation law to the entire system (1). Write a introductory paragraph to Thm 1

Why we want to normalize?

write here the parameters

Is the same Brownian motion for the three equations?

Note that here we will use the latex proba package, please use the same commands in the re-

Thus, we establish an stochastic extension from deterministic tomato model (1) by the Itô SDE

$$\begin{aligned}
dS_p &= \left(-\beta_p S_p \frac{I_v}{N_v} + r_1 L_p + r_2 I_p \right) dt + (\sigma_L L_p + \sigma_I I_p) dB(t) \\
dL_p &= \left(\beta_p S_p \frac{I_v}{N_v} - b L_p - r_1 L_p \right) dt - \sigma_L L_p dB(t) \\
dI_p &= (b L_p - r_2 I_p) dt - \sigma_I I_p dB(t) \\
dS_v &= \left(-\beta_v S_v \frac{I_p}{N_p} - \gamma S_v + (1 - \theta)\mu \right) dt - \sigma_v S_v dB(t) \\
dI_v &= \left(\beta_v S_v \frac{I_p}{N_p} - \gamma I_v + \theta\mu \right) dt - \sigma_v I_v dB(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Applying the change of variable (2) to system (5) results

$$\begin{aligned}
dx(t) &= (-\beta_p xw + r_1 y + r_2 z) dt + (\sigma_L y + \sigma_I z) dB(t) \\
dy(t) &= (\beta_p xw - (b + r_1)y) dt - \sigma_L y dB(t) \\
dz(t) &= (by - r_2 z) dt - \sigma_I z dB(t) \\
dv(t) &= \left(-\beta_v vz + (1 - \theta - v) \frac{\mu}{N_v} \right) dt \\
dw(t) &= \left(\beta_v vz + (\theta - w) \frac{\mu}{N_v} \right) dt
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

2 Existence of unique positive solution

Theorem *.* of [Mao Book] assures the existence of unique solution of (5) in a compact interval. Since we study asymptotic behaviour, we have to assure the existence of unique positive invariant solution to SDE (*). To this end, let \mathbb{R}_+^n the first octant of \mathbb{R}^n and consider

$$\mathbf{E} := \left\{ (S_p, L_p, I_p, S_v, I_v)^\top \in \mathbb{R}_+^5 : \begin{aligned} &S_p + L_p + I_p \geq N_p, \quad S_v + I_v \leq \frac{\mu}{\gamma} \end{aligned} \right\},$$

the following result prove that this set is positive invariant.

Theorem 2 *For any initial values $(S_p(0), L_p(0), I_p(0), S_v(0), I_v(0)) \in \mathbf{E}$, exists unique invariant global positive solution to SDE (5) $(S_p(t), L_p(t), I_p(t), S_v(t), I_v(t))^\top$ with probability one, that is,*

$$\mathbb{P}[(L_p(t), I_p(t), S_v(t), I_v(t)) \in \mathbf{E}, \quad \forall t \geq 0] = 1.$$

Proof. ■

3 Extinction of the disease

Our analysis needs the following hypotesis.

(H-1) According to SDE (5), replatin rates satisfies $r_1 = r_2 = r$.

(H-2) The replanting noise intesities are equal $\sigma_L = \sigma_I = \sigma$.

We define the reproductive number of our stochastic model in SDE (*) by

$$\mathcal{R}_0^s := \frac{\beta_p \beta_v}{\gamma r}. \quad (7)$$

Define here the infinitesimal operator \mathcal{L} .

As our deterministic base structure this paramenters summarizes the behavior of extinction and persistence according with a threshold.

Theorem 3 *Let $(S_p(t), L_p(t), I_p(t), I_v(t))$ be the solution of (5) with initial condition $(S_p(0), L_p(0), I_p(0), I_v(0)) \in \mathbf{E}$. If $0 \leq \mathcal{R}_0^s < 1$ then, infected individuals in SDE (*) tends to zero exponentially a.s, that is, the disease will extinguishes with probability one.*

Proof. The proof consistst verify the hypotheses of Khasminskii Theorem [*] for the Lyapunov function

$$V(S_p, L_p, I_p, S_v, I_v) = \left(S_p - S_p^0 - S_p^0 \ln \left(\frac{S_p}{S_p^0} \right) \right) + L_p + I_p + \frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v} I_v. \quad (8)$$

Let f , g respectively be the dirft and difussion of SDE(*). Applying the inifinitesimal opreator \mathcal{L} we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_x f &= \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p} \right) \left(-\frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p I_v + r N_p - r S_p \right) + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p I_v - (b + r) L_p \\ &\quad + b L_p - r I_p + \frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_v N_v}{N_p} I_p - \frac{\beta_v}{N_v^\infty} I_v I_p - \gamma I_v \right) \\ &= -r S_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p} \right)^2 - \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p I_v + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} I_v S_p^0 + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p I_v - r(L_p + I_p) \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_v N_v}{N_p} I_p - \frac{\beta_v}{N_v^\infty} I_v I_p - \gamma I_v \right) \\ &= -r S_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p} \right)^2 + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} I_v S_p^0 - r(L_p + I_p) + \frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \frac{\beta_v N_v}{N_p} I_p \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \frac{\beta_v}{N_v^\infty} I_v I_p - \frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \gamma I_v. \end{aligned}$$

In the following step apply the operator \mathcal{L}

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_x f &= -rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p}\right)^2 - \left[\frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \gamma - \frac{\beta_p N_p}{N_v^\infty} \right] I_v + \left[\frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \beta_v \frac{N_v^\infty}{N_p} - r \right] I_p \\
&\quad - rL_p - \frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \frac{\beta_v}{N_p} I_v I_p \\
&= -rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p}\right)^2 + \left[\frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \beta_v \frac{N_v^\infty}{N_p} - r \right] I_p - rL_p - \frac{\beta_p N_p}{\gamma N_v^\infty} \frac{\beta_v}{N_p} I_v I_p \\
&= -rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p}\right)^2 + r \left[\frac{\beta_p \beta_v}{\gamma r} - 1 \right] I_p - rL_p - \frac{\beta_p \beta_v}{\gamma N_v^\infty} I_v I_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Expressing the right hand side of above equation in term of the basic reproductive number, \mathcal{R}_0^s we get

$$V_x f = -rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p}\right)^2 + r [\mathcal{R}_0^s - 1] I_p - rL_p - \frac{\beta_p \beta_v}{\gamma N_v^\infty} I_v I_p.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(g^T V_{xx} g) &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 N_p \left(\frac{N_p - S_p}{S_p} \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 N_p.
\end{aligned}$$

The stochastic terms are not necessary, because they do a martingale process and therefore, when we use integral and expectation they vanishing. Incorporation all terms calculate above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
dV(X) &= -rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p}\right)^2 + r [\mathcal{R}_0^s - 1] I_p - rL_p - \frac{\beta_p \beta_v}{\gamma N_v^\infty} I_v I_p + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 N_p \left(\frac{N_p - S_p}{S_p} \right)^2 \\
&\leq -rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p}\right)^2 + r [\mathcal{R}_0^s - 1] I_p - rL_p - \frac{\beta_p \beta_v}{\gamma N_v^\infty} I_v I_p + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 N_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Define $LV(X)$ as

$$LV(X) = -rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p}\right)^2 + r [\mathcal{R}_0^s - 1] I_p - rL_p - \frac{\beta_p \beta_v}{\gamma N_v^\infty} I_v I_p + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 N_p.$$

Using Itô's formula and integrating dV from 0 to t as well as taking expectation yield the following

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \mathbb{E}V(t) - \mathbb{E}V(0) \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t LV(X(s))ds \\
&\leq -\mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left[rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p} \right)^2 - r[\mathcal{R}_0^s - 1]I_p + rL_p + \frac{\beta_p\beta_v}{\gamma N_v^\infty} I_v I_p \right] ds + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 N_p
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left[-rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p} \right)^2 + r[\mathcal{R}_0^s - 1]I_p - rL_p - \frac{\beta_p\beta_v}{\gamma N_v^\infty} I_v I_p \right] ds \leq \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 N_p.$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left[r[\mathcal{R}_0^s - 1]I_p - rS_p \left(1 - \frac{S_p^0}{S_p} \right)^2 - rL_p - \frac{\beta_p\beta_v}{\gamma} I_v I_p \right] dr \leq \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 N_p, \text{ a.s.}$$

■

4 Persistence

Theorem 4 *Let $(S_p(t), L_p(t), I_p(t), I_v(t))$ be the solution of (5) with initial values $(S_p(0), L_p(0), I_p(0), I_v(0)) \in (0, N_p) \times (0, N_p) \times (0, N_p) \times (0, N_v)$. If $\mathcal{R}_0^s > 1$, then the system (5) is globally asymptotically stable at endemic equilibrium point if*

Write a paragraph to describe why the limit above exponentially goes to zero.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left[\frac{rS_p^*}{S_p S_p^*} (S_p^* - S_p)^2 + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v} S_p^* I_v^* A_1 + \frac{\beta_v}{N_p} \frac{I_p}{I_v} (I_v - I_v^*)^2 + \gamma I_v^* A_2 \right] dr \leq A_3.$$

namely, the disease will persist with probability one.

Proof. Let us define the following Lyapunov function $V : \mathbb{R}_+^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\begin{aligned}
V(S_p, L_p, I_p, I_v) &= (S_p + L_p + I_p + I_v) - (S_p^* + L_p^* + I_p^* + I_v^*) \\
&\quad - \left(S_p^* \ln \frac{S_p}{S_p^*} + L_p^* \ln \frac{L_p}{L_p^*} + I_p^* \ln \frac{I_p}{I_p^*} + I_v^* \ln \frac{I_v}{I_v^*} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Computing the Itô formula terms as:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_x f &= \left(1 - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p} \right) \left(rN_p - \beta_p S_p \frac{I_v}{N_v^\infty} - rS_p \right) + \left(1 - \frac{L_p^*}{L_p} \right) \left(\beta_p S_p \frac{I_v}{N_v^\infty} - (r+b)L_p \right) \\
&\quad + \left(1 - \frac{I_p^*}{I_p} \right) (bL_p - rI_p) + \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v} \right) \left(\beta_v N_v \frac{I_p}{N_p} - \beta_v \frac{I_p}{N_p} I_v - \gamma I_v \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The system (5) satisfy the following relations at equilibrium point

$$\begin{aligned}
rN_p &= \beta_p S_p^* \frac{I_v^*}{N_v^\infty} + rS_p^* \\
(r+b) &= \beta_p S_p^* \frac{I_v^*}{L_p^* N_v^\infty} \\
r &= b \frac{L_p^*}{I_p^*} \\
\beta_v \frac{N_v}{N_p} &= \frac{\beta_v}{N_p} I_v^* + \gamma \frac{I_v^*}{I_p^*}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_x f &= \left(1 - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p}\right) \left(\beta_p S_p^* \frac{I_v^*}{N_v^\infty} + rS_p^* - \beta_p S_p \frac{I_v}{N_v^\infty} - rS_p\right) \\
&+ \left(1 - \frac{L_p^*}{L_p}\right) \left(\beta_p S_p \frac{I_v}{N_v^\infty} - \beta_p S_p^* \frac{I_v^*}{L_p^* N_v^\infty} L_p\right) + \left(1 - \frac{I_p^*}{I_p}\right) \left(bL_p - b \frac{L_p^*}{I_p^*} I_p\right) \\
&+ \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v}\right) \left(\frac{\beta_v}{N_p} I_v^* I_p + \gamma \frac{I_v^*}{I_p^*} I_p - \beta_v \frac{I_p}{N_p} I_v - \gamma I_v\right) \\
&= rS_p^* \left(1 - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{S_p}{S_p^*}\right) + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* \left(1 - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{S_p I_v}{S_p^* I_v^*}\right) \\
&+ \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* \left(1 - \frac{L_p^*}{L_p}\right) \left(\frac{S_p I_v}{S_p^* I_v^*} - \frac{L_p}{L_p^*}\right) + bL_p^* \left(1 - \frac{I_p^*}{I_p}\right) \left(\frac{L_p}{L_p^*} - \frac{I_p}{I_p^*}\right) \\
&+ \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v}\right) \left(-\frac{\beta_v}{N_p} I_v I_p \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v}\right) + \gamma I_v^* \left(\frac{I_p}{I_p^*} - \frac{I_v}{I_v^*}\right)\right) \\
&= rS_p^* \left(2 - \frac{S_p}{S_p^*} - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p}\right) + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* \left(1 - \frac{I_v}{I_v^*} \left(\frac{S_p}{S_p^*} - 1\right) - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p}\right) \\
&+ \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* \left(\frac{S_p I_v}{S_p^* I_v^*} \left(1 - \frac{L_p^*}{L_p}\right) - \frac{L_p}{L_p^*} \left(1 - \frac{L_p^*}{L_p}\right)\right) + bL_p^* \left(1 + \frac{L_p}{L_p^*} - \frac{I_p}{I_p^*} - \frac{I_p^* L_p}{I_p L_p^*}\right) \\
&- \frac{\beta_v}{N_v} I_v I_p \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v}\right)^2 + \gamma I_v^* \left(\frac{I_p}{I_p^*} - \frac{I_v I_p}{I_v I_p^*} - \frac{I_v}{I_v^*} + 1\right) \\
&= rS_p^* \left(2 - \frac{S_p}{S_p^*} - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p}\right) + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* \left(1 - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p} - \frac{I_v}{I_v^*} \left(\frac{S_p}{S_p^*} - 1\right)\right) \\
&+ \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* \left(1 - \frac{L_p}{L_p^*} - \frac{S_p I_v}{S_p^* I_v^*} \left(\frac{L_p^*}{L_p} - 1\right)\right) + bL_p^* \left(1 - \frac{I_p}{I_p^*} + \frac{L_p}{L_p^*} \left(1 - \frac{I_p^*}{I_p}\right)\right) \\
&- \frac{\beta_v}{N_v^\infty} I_v I_p \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v}\right)^2 + \gamma I_v^* \left(1 - \frac{I_v}{I_v^*} - \frac{I_p}{I_p^*} \left(\frac{I_v^*}{I_v} - 1\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
V_x f = & rS_p^* \left(2 - \frac{S_p}{S_p^*} - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p} \right) + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* \left(2 - \frac{S_p^*}{S_p} - \frac{L_p}{L_p^*} - \frac{I_v}{I_v^*} \left(\frac{S_p L_p^*}{S_p^* L_p} - 1 \right) \right) \\
& + bL_p^* \left(1 - \frac{I_p}{I_p^*} + \frac{L_p}{L_p^*} \left(1 - \frac{I_p^*}{I_p} \right) \right) - \frac{\beta_v}{N_p} I_v I_p \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v} \right)^2 \\
& + \gamma I_v^* \left(1 - \frac{I_v}{I_v^*} - \frac{I_p}{I_p^*} \left(\frac{I_v^*}{I_v} - 1 \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we need compute the term $g^T V_{xx} g$,

$$g^T V_{xx} g = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 \left(\frac{N_p - S_p}{S_p} \right)^2 S_p^* + \sigma^2 L_p^* & 0 \\ 0 & I_p^* \sigma^2 + I_v^* \sigma_v^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(g^T V_{xx} g) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^2 \left(\frac{N_p - S_p}{S_p} \right)^2 S_p^* + \sigma^2 L_p^* + \sigma^2 I_p^* + \sigma_v^2 I_v^* \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2 S_p^* + \sigma^2 L_p^* + \sigma^2 I_p^* + \sigma_v^2 I_v^*)
\end{aligned}$$

The stochastics terms are not necessary, because they are a martingale and therefore, when we use integrating and expectation they vanishing, obtaining the following $LV(X)$ operator

$$\begin{aligned}
LV(X) = & -rS_p^* \frac{(S_p^* - S_p)^2}{S_p S_p^*} - \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* A_1 - bL_p^* A_2 - \frac{\beta_v}{N_p} I_v I_p \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v} \right)^2 \\
& - \gamma I_v^* A_3 + A_4.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \left(\frac{S_p^*}{S_p} + \frac{L_p}{L_p^*} + \frac{I_v}{I_v^*} \left(\frac{S_p L_p^*}{S_p^* L_p} - 1 \right) - 2 \right) > 0, \\
A_2 &= \left(\frac{I_p}{I_p^*} - \frac{L_p}{L_p^*} \left(1 - \frac{I_p^*}{I_p} \right) - 1 \right) > 0, \\
A_3 &= \left(\frac{I_v}{I_v^*} + \frac{I_p}{I_p^*} \left(\frac{I_v^*}{I_v} - 1 \right) - 1 \right) > 0, \\
A_4 &= \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^2 S_p^* + \sigma^2 L_p^* + \sigma^2 I_p^* + \sigma_v^2 I_v^*) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô formula, integrating dV from 0 to t and taking expectation gives the following

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \mathbb{E}V(t) - \mathbb{E}V(0) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^t LV(s) ds \\
&- \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left(r S_p^* \frac{(S_p^* - S_p)^2}{S_p S_p^*} + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* A_1 + b L_p^* A_2 + \frac{\beta_v}{N_p} I_v I_p \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v} \right)^2 + \gamma I_v^* A_3 \right) ds \\
&+ A_4 t.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left(r S_p^* \frac{(S_p^* - S_p)^2}{S_p S_p^*} + \frac{\beta_p}{N_v^\infty} S_p^* I_v^* A_1 + b L_p^* A_2 + \frac{\beta_v}{N_p} I_v I_p \left(1 - \frac{I_v^*}{I_v} \right)^2 + \gamma I_v^* A_3 \right) ds \\
&\leq A_4.
\end{aligned}$$

■