A Mathematical model for Thelaziasis in a multihost setting

Olmos Liceaga D., Diaz-Infante Velasco S., Acuña Zegarra M. A.

*Universidad de Sonora**

Abstract

In the present manuscript we present a mathematical model for thelaziasis. We consider outbreak studies and estimate the severity of the disease. We base our study on a multiple hosts ...

Keywords: Thelaziasis, Mathematical Model, Parameter Estimation, Basic Reproductive number

1 1. Introduction

- ² The disease. Hosts. What are the effects on the infected individuals. Where
- has been found. What is the vector in each case. Case studies. The use of a
- 4 mathematical model to study a particular place.
- Thelaziasis is a vector neglected disease that affects mainly mammals, includ-
- 6 ing humans and in a minor scales, birds. The transmission takes place due to
- ⁷ the presence of a vector which is the face fly Musca autumnalis. Depending
- on the region this vector might vary as well as the host species.
- ⁹ The transmission depends upon the presence of vectors and therefore the-
- laziasis has a seasonal occurrence [1]
- The transmitted by the face fly [2]. The disease has spread in animals but in humans it has been reported but in a very isolated cases [3], [4], [5].
- In [6] it was found the presence of *Thelazia gulosa* and *Thelazia lacrymalis* in cattle where the main responsible vector is the face fly (*Musca autum*-
- nalis) in which of larvae of $Thelaszia\ spp$ were found. Data from slaugtered
- cattle was collected from April to October 1978. In [7] the authors present
- a survey for different diseases in equids in Kentucky USA. In their study,
- 19 they found the presence *Thelazia Lacrymalis* in which it is pressumed that

the face fly (Musca autumnalis) is the vector responsible for transmission.

Otranto et. al. [2] made a survey in different regions in Italy to observe
the current status on dogs, cats and foxes. In their work they present the
proportion of infected animals (by Thelazia Callipaeda) in each of the regions
they studied. In [8] data about the proportions of mule deer from Wyoming
and Utah by T. californiensis was reported. Asrat [1] sudy the prevalence of
Thelaziasis in Ethiopia whereas Beitel [9] studied the prevalence of eyeworms
in the columbian black tailed deer in Oregon, USA by Thelazia californiensis. Khedri et. al. [10] present a one year data about infected bovine in
Southeast Iran (puede ser til).

In [11], the authors present a study about the prevalence and intensity of Thelazia spp in a flies population in Alberta, Canada.

In [9] studied the prevalence of eyeworms in the Columbian Black-Tailed Deer in Oregon.

A special work was done in [6] were it was estimated the proportion of infected animals as well as the proportion of infected vectors.

1.1. Some questions to explore.

An important issue in this disease is that the propagation coincides with the presence of flies that carry the disease. If the life expectancy of the fly is reduced, then the complete cycle of the thelazia within the vector does not complete and therefore, the disease no longer can be transmitted. Therefore, it might be expected that as soon as the temperature of a place of study is reduced, then the levels of the infected individuals with thelazia, must reach a final steady level.

In the mathematical side, analyse the model about stability, persistance, what would happen if stochasticity gets implemented? how?

46 1.2. Model parameters

Flies have a life expectancy of about 28 days, but it might live up to two months ([12]). The first larval stage (L1) of the worm is ingested by the fly when it feeds from lachrymal secretions, where in the internal organs, the worm develops into its second (L2) and third (L3) larval stages within 21 days post infection [2]. Other studies [13], show that flies infected with *Thelazia lacrymalis* can reach the infective stage in 12-15 days, while this takes 28-32 days for flies infected with *T. gulosa* [13]. Once in the infective stage, the fly releases L3 larvae into the definite host. Finally, once in the definite host, the L3 larvae matures within 3 to 6 weeks, where the new worm deposits

new eggs into the definite host becoming infective [13]. Foxes lifespan is 2 years [14].

We will use the model to fit two data sets. One referring to a multi-host case given by dogs and foxes and the second in a one host study, particularly the case of cattle.

1.2.1. Cattle only.

The problem can be seen as a simple host or multi-host when considering beef and milk cattle. Some considerations about the life expectancy of the individuals. A common technique to detect thelazia in farming animals is done by sacrificing the animal. In this case, the infected individual is no longer part of the infection cycle and basically out of the dynamics. In this work we consider that the sample used to observe the proportion of infected individuals is of little to neglected significance respect to the total population. The life expectancy of beef cattle is approximately 16 to 24 months (and can be up to 30 months [15]), whereas for diary cattle is 5 to 6 years. The natural cattle life expectancy is 18 to 22 years.

2. Mathematical Model

Our model is based on the interaction of flies and cattle. Following the formulation in Esteva [16] we obtain the following SI vector host model for cattle and flies.

$$\dot{S}_{f} = \Lambda_{f} - \frac{\beta_{f}}{N_{c}} I_{c} S_{f} - \mu_{f} S_{f}
\dot{L}_{f} = \frac{\beta_{f}}{N_{c}} I_{c} S_{f} - \kappa_{f} L_{f} - \mu_{f} L_{f}
\dot{I}_{f} = \kappa_{f} L_{f} - \mu_{f} I_{f}
\dot{S}_{c} = \Lambda_{c} - \frac{\beta_{c}}{N_{c}} I_{f} S_{c} - \mu_{c} S_{c}
\dot{L}_{c} = \frac{\beta_{c}}{N_{c}} I_{f} S_{c} - \kappa_{c} L_{c} - \mu_{c} L_{c}
\dot{I}_{c} = \kappa_{c} L_{c} - \mu_{c} I_{c}$$
(1)

where $N_c = S_c + L_c + I_c$. For this model, the basic reproductive number is given by

$$R_0 = \left(\left(\frac{k_f}{\mu_f + k_f} \right) \left(\frac{\beta_c}{\mu_f} \right) \left(\frac{k_c}{k_c + \mu_c} \right) \left(\frac{F_c \beta_f}{\mu_c} \right) \right)^{1/4} \tag{2}$$

where $F_c = \frac{N_f^{\infty}}{N_c^{\infty}}$, $N_f^{\infty} = \frac{\Lambda_f}{\mu_f}$ and $N_c^{\infty} = \frac{\Lambda_c}{\mu_c}$. Table 2 show the meaning and the values of the parameters considered in this study.

Parameter	Meaning	Interval	Reference
N_c ,	Total number of individuals at time t	1000	This study
Λ_f ,	Fly recruitment rate		This study
Λ_c ,	Cattle recruitment rate		This study
β_c	Number of successful contacts of a fly		
	that infects a cattle host		This study
β_f	Number of successful contacts in which		
	a fly gets infected by a cattle host		This study
k_v^{-1}	average latency time for vectors	14-21 days	[17]
		12-15 days (T. Lacrymalis)	[13]
		28-32 days (<i>T. Gulosa</i>)	[13]
k_i^{-1}	average latency time for hosts $i = 1, 2$	$\approx 35 \text{ days}$	[17]
		21-42 days	[13]
μ_v^{-1}	vector average lifespan	1-2 months	[12]
$\mu_v^{-1} \\ \mu_c^{-1}$	cows average lifespan	36 months	[18]

Table 1: Parameter meaning and values.

80 3. Local and global stability analysis

In the present section,

The free disease equilibrium is Globally asymptotically stable. Consider the Lyapunov function

$$V(L_f, I_f, L_c, I_c) = a_1 L_f + a_2 I_f + a_3 L_c + a_4 I_c$$

Then

$$\dot{V(t)} =$$

- 82 This part is practically done
- 3.1. Persistance
- 4. Discussion
- 85 Bibliography
- [1] M. Asrat, Prevalence and risk factors for bovine thelaziasis at mersa
 town of south wollo zone, amhara regional state, ethiopia, J. Ecosys.
 Ecograph. 6 (2016) 1000212 (1-4).

- ⁸⁹ [2] D. Otranto, E. Ferroglio, R. P. Lia, D. Traversa, L. Rossi, Current status and epidemiological observation of *Thelazia callipaeda* (spirurida, thelaziidae) in dogs, cats and foxes in italy: a coincidenceor a parasitic disease of the old continent?, Vet. Parasit. 116 (2003) 315–325.
- [3] X.-L. Wang, J.-L. Guo, X.-L. Wang, X.-L. Ma, Y. Wang, C.-L. An, Two cases of human thelaziasis as confirmed by mitochondrial *cox1* sequencing in china, Path. and Global Health 108 (2014) 298–301.
- [4] D. Otranto, M. Dutto, Human thelaziasis, europe, Emerg. Inf. diseas.
 14 (2008) 647–649.
- [5] J. Shen, R. B. Gasser, D. Chu, Z. Wang, X. Yuan, C. Cantacessi, O. D.,
 Human thelaziosisa neglected parasitic disease of the eye, J. Parasitol.
 92 (2006) 872–876.
- [6] W. J. Moolenbeek, S. G. A., Southern ontario survey of eyeworms,
 Thelazia gulosa and Thelazia lacrymalis in cattle and larvae of Thelazia
 spp. in the face fly, Musca autumnalis, Can. Vet. J. 21 (1980) 50–52.
- [7] E. T. Lyons, T. W. Swerczek, S. C. Tolliver, H. D. Bair, J. H. Drudge, L. E. Ennis, Prevalence of selected species of internal parasites in equids at necropsy in central kentucky (19951999), Vet Parasit. 92 (2000) 51–62.
- [8] S. A. Dubay, E. S. Williams, K. Mills, A. M. Boerger-Fileds, Bacteria and nematodes in the conjunctiva of mule deer from wyoming and utah, J. Wildlife disease 36 (2000) 783–787.
- [9] S. E. Beitel, R. J. an Knapp, P. A. Vohs, Jr., Prevalence of eyeworm in three populations of columbian black-tailed deer in northwestern oregon, The J. of Parasitology 60 (1974) 972–975.
- [10] J. Khedri, M. H. Radfar, H. Borji, M. Azizzadeh, Epidemiological survey
 of bovine thelaziosis in southeastern of iran, Iran J. Parasitol. 11 (2016)
 221–225.
- 117 [11] J. E. O'hara, J. K. Murray, Prevalence and intensity of thelazia spp.
 118 (nematoda: Thelazioidea) in a musca autumnalis (diptera:muscidae)
 119 population from central alberta, J. Parasit. 75 (1989) 803–806.

- [12] H. Sanchez-Arroyo, J. L. Capinera, House fly, *Musca domestica* linnaeus (insecta: Diptera: Muscidae), UF/IFAS Extension (1998) 1–8.
- 122 [13] M. Chanie, B. Bogale, Thelaziasis: Biology, species affected and pathol-123 ogy (conjunctivitis): A review, Acta Parasitologica Globalis 5 (2014) 124 65–68.
- [14] E. S. Devenish-Nelson, S. A. Richards, S. Harris, C. Soulsbury, S. P. A.,
 Demonstrating frequency-dependent transmission of sarcoptic mange in
 red foxes, Biol. Lett. 10 (2014) 1–5.
- ¹²⁸ [15] K. Stanley, K. Jones, Cattle and sheep farms as reservoirs of campylobacter, J. Appl. Microbiol. 94 (2003) 104S–113S.
- [16] L. Esteva, C. Vargas, Analysis of a dengue disease transmission model,
 Math. Biosci. 150 (1998) 131–151.
- 132 [17] D. Otranto, F. Dantas-Torres, Thelaziosis, in: C. Brisola-Marcondes 133 (Ed.), Arthropod borne diseases, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2017, 134 pp. 457–464.
- ¹³⁵ [18] FAO, Guidelines for slaughtering, meat cutting and further processing, http://www.fao.org/3/T0279E/T0279E00.htm, 1991.