Understanding Job Execution in Supercomputers

Alex Moore, UNC Charlotte
Di Zhang, High Performance Computing (HPC)



Introduction

Super Computers are built to solve complex problems that require high-speed data processing, such as:

- Spacecraft simulation
- Analyzing cyber attacks and natural disasters

This is done by running programs or jobs, which are then scheduled through a process known as job scheduling.

Why is job scheduling important?

- Minimizes job waiting time, slowdown, or completion time.
- Allows for more complex problems to be solved.

Objectives

Methods to improve job scheduling:

- TRIP "Trade-off between Prediction Accuracy and Underestimation Rate in Job Runtime Estimates"
 - ML framework that utilizes data censoring to improve prediction accuracy with a low underestimation rate of job runtimes.
- SchedInspector "SchedInspector: A Batch Job Scheduling Inspector Using Reinforcement Learning"
 - Determines the competency of scheduled jobs by examining the decisions of the base job scheduler.

Method

Phase 1: Learning

During this phase I learned:

- Process of estimating HPC Batch Job Schedulers Runtime
- Methods of improving prediction accuracy and job execution performance
 TRIP
 - SchedInspector
- Fundamentals of Machine Learning
 - Reinforcement Learning
- Pandas
- Jupyter Notebook

Phase 2: Data Mapping

- Utilized Jupyter Notebook and Pandas to map data from previous traces
 - Submit time, Wait time, Run time,
 Requested Time, User ID, etc

Phase 3: Machine Learning: Feature Extraction

 Extracted features from previous job traces to determine trends amongst the data

TABLE III: The features used for runtime prediction. Here, a class contains jobs with the same user name, project name, and job name.

| Feature | Description | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| t_{last1} | the actual runtime of the last job of the same class | | | | | |
| t_{last2} | the actual runtime of the second-to-last job of the same class | | | | | |
| $t_{supplied}$ | user-supplied job runtime estimate | | | | | |
| $n_{supplied}$ | the number of nodes requested by the user | | | | | |
| $A_{average}$ | the average accuracy of the historical jobs of the same class | | | | | |
| A_{max} | the maximum accuracy of the historical jobs of the same class | | | | | |
| $t_{longest}$ | the longest actual runtime of the historical jobs of the same class | | | | | |
| $t_{longest10}$ | the longest actual runtime of the ten last jobs of the same class | | | | | |
| $t_{average}$ | the average actual runtime of the historical jobs of the same class | | | | | |
| $t_{average10}$ | the average actual runtime of ten last jobs of the same class | | | | | |
| $t_{percentile25}$ | the actual runtime of the 25th percentile his- torical jobs of the same class | | | | | |

Results

- Mapped data from previous job traces
- Successfully extracted each of the listed features

| | Job Number | Submit Time | Wait Time | Run Time | Allocated Processors | Average CPU time used | Used Memory | Requested Processors |
|-------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | 11 | 566129 | 5 | 28826 | 1 | 27758 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 12 | 566290 | 532 | 26171 | 1 | 24666 | -1 | 1 |
| 2 | 13 | 567314 | 15757 | 8071 | 8 | 7334 | -1 | 8 |
| 3 | 14 | 571164 | 21568 | 64832 | 32 | 44134 | -1 | 32 |
| 4 | 15 | 574294 | 4647 | 64384 | 7 | 58033 | -1 | 7 |
| | | 2227 | | 653 | 2021 | 1222 | 1222 | 1 |
| 59710 | 73492 | 63562563 | 11 | 71 | 4 | 40.25 | -1 | 4 |
| 59711 | 73493 | 63562705 | 24 | 75 | 4 | 42.00 | -1 | 4 |
| 59712 | 73494 | 63563061 | 11 | 72 | 4 | 40.25 | -1 | 4 |

Mapping of data from SDSC-SP-1998-4.2 (1)

| Requested Time | Requested Memory | Status | User ID | Group | Application Number | Queue Number | Partition Number |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------|------------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 28800 | -1 | 5 | 153 | 75 | 18180 | 3 | -1 |
| 28800 | -1 | 1 | 153 | 75 | 18184 | 3 | -1 |
| 64800 | -1 | 1 | 150 | 6 | 13592 | 4 | -1 |
| 64800 | -1 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 13602 | 3 | -1 |
| 64800 | -1 | 1 | 151 | 75 | 13607 | 3 | -1 |
| 20.5 | 1922 | 202 | 222 | 12.2 | 10.00 | 1 | 22 |
| 1200 | -1 | 1 | 3 | 74 | 56633 | 1 | -1 |
| 1200 | -1 | 1 | 3 | 74 | 56636 | 1 | -1 |
| 1200 | -1 | 1 | 3 | 74 | 56638 | 1 | -1 |

Mapping of data from SDSC-SP-1998-4.2 (2)



Example of feature tlongest from SDSC-SP-1998-4.2



Hewlett Packard's Frontier; The world's fastest supercomputer

Conclusions

- This data provides valuable, real-world insight into the performance of job scheduling.
- Features will be compared with other past job traces to determine trends in the data.
- These trends will help in the implementation of the TRIP model and SchedInspector.
- This will allow for a comparison of their benefits and look further into other methods of improving job scheduling performance.

References

Yuping Fan, Zhiling Lan, Paul Rich, William E. Allcock, Michael E. Papka Trade-off between Prediction Accuracy and Underestimation Rate in Job Runtime Estimates Table III

Di Zhang, Dong Dai, Bing Xie SchedInspector: A Batch Job Scheduling Inspector Using Reinforcement Learning