

ITEM NO.49

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SH. PRADIP Y. LADEKAR

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 3300/2023

HEMA RAJESH THAPA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJESH JANGBAHADUR THAPA

Respondent(s)

Date : 18-03-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Madhura Chitnisnes, Adv.  
Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Mr. Divyanshu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate submits that vakalatnama filed by him on behalf of sole respondent was defective and he does not wish to cure the defects because on last occasion respondent in person in nutshell submitted that his signature was obtained by misguiding him. As per office report, respondent has already engaged another counsel, Mr. Rajiv Kumar Sinha.

Mr. Divyanshu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel further submits that the statement made by respondent on the last date of hearing is wrong and he has no objection in engagement on new advocate which has already been done by the respondent. In view of the submission, no objection of the counsel also be taken on record.

Four weeks time, is granted to sole respondent represented by his new counsel to file counter affidavit.

After the expiry of said period, matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

PRADIP Y. LADEKAR  
Registrar