

Q1: PROVE THAT :

$$\tilde{K} = K - 2 \mathbb{1}_{1/n} K + \mathbb{1}_{1/n} K \mathbb{1}_{1/n}$$

SOLUTION: We know, for $\phi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$
Kernel PCA is given by

$$C_\phi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i) \phi(x_i)^T$$

and K matrix is defined as

$$K_{il} = k(x_i, x_l) = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_l) \rangle$$

Now, in the above formulation, we had

assumed, $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i) = 0$

But what happens if the above assumption is violated ?

Then, we would need to center the mapped features, i.e. we calculate new $\tilde{\phi}(x_k)$ such

that
$$\tilde{\phi}(x_i) = \phi(x_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(x_k)$$

Correspondingly, we get a new kernel \tilde{K} such that

$$\tilde{K}(x_i, x_j) = \tilde{\phi}(x_i)^T \tilde{\phi}(x_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\phi(x_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(x_k) \right]^T \left[\phi(x_j) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(x_k) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[\phi^T(x_i) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi^T(x_k) \right] \left[\phi(x_j) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(x_k) \right]$$

$$[\because A^T - B^T = (A - B)^T]$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi^T(x_i) \phi(x_j) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi^T(x_i) \phi(x_k)$$

$$- \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi^T(x_k) \phi(x_j)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi^T(x_k) \phi(x_k)$$

$$= K(x_i, x_j) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n K(x_i, x_k)$$

$$- \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n K(x_j, x_k)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{l, k=1}^n K(x_l, x_k)$$

→ ①

Now suppose an arbitrary matrix where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} M = \begin{bmatrix} a/n & b/n \\ c/n & d/n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \textcircled{II}$$

$$\text{Now } \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{I}_{1/n} M$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{n} + c \times 0 & \frac{b}{n} + d \times 0 \\ a \times 0 + \frac{c}{n} & b \times 0 + \frac{d}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a/n & b/n \\ c/n & d/n \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \textcircled{III}$$

from \textcircled{II} & $\textcircled{III} \Rightarrow$

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{n} M = \mathbb{I}_{1/n} M} \rightarrow \textcircled{IV}$$

Now applying this result \textcircled{IV} to eq(i)

~~$$\tilde{K} = K - \mathbb{I}_{1/n} K - \mathbb{I}_{1/n} K + \mathbb{I}_{1/n} K \mathbb{I}_{1/n}$$~~

$$\tilde{K} = K - \mathbb{I}_{1/n} K - \mathbb{I}_{1/n} K + \mathbb{I}_{1/n} K \mathbb{I}_{1/n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{K} = K - 2 \mathbb{I}_{1/n} K + \mathbb{I}_{1/n} K \mathbb{I}_{1/n} \quad \underline{\text{HENCE PROVED}}$$

~~where~~

WHY is this useful?

In the class, for the sake of simplicity we had assumed

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \phi(x_k) = 0$$

In many cases, this assumption is FALSE.

Therefore in a general case, in stead of K we would need to use \tilde{K} as derived earlier.

ANSWER

Q2) APPLY THE REPRESENTER THEOREM

TO SOLVE KERNEL SVM

We know that for linear SVM, the Primal form of objective is:

we wanna minimize L_p where,

$$L_p = \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [y_i (\langle \beta, x_i \rangle + \beta_0) - 1] \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d, \beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

β, β_0 parameters of the model

β^*, β_0^* comes from $\underset{\beta, \beta_0}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_p$.

Since this a convex optimization problem, I can simply set gradient = 0

$$\nabla_{\beta} L_p = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\beta\| - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i x_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta^* = \sum \alpha_i y_i x_i \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\nabla_{\beta_0} L_p = 0 \Rightarrow \sum \alpha_i y_i = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

Now inputting (3) & (2) into (1) [L_P] we get our dual form

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_D &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \beta^*, \beta^* \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i \langle \beta^*, x_i \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j y_j x_j \right\rangle \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j y_j x_j, x_i \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_i \sum_j \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle \right) \rightarrow (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

We need to α s.t. L_D is Maximized.

Now since there is an inner product between datapoints, for getting the kernel version of Dual, we can replace $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle$ with

$$\langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle = K(x_i, x_j)$$

$\therefore L_D$ for SVM Kernel becomes

$$L_D = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(x_i, x_j)$$

which is the desired form.