

REPORT ON DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS OF MATRIX MULTIPLICATION:

- Analysis of the cache usage by both sequential and parralel version of the Matrix Multiplication using command *perf*.

- Command : `perf stat -B -e cache-references,cache-misses,cycles,instructions,branches,faults ./Matrix_Mul <input.txt`

• Performance behaviour of various serial matrix multiplications:

1. Performance counter stats for './ijk':

42,829	cache-references	
5,768	cache-misses	# 13.468 % of all cache refs
33,22,979	cycles	
45,01,212	instructions	# 1.35 insns per cycle
8,44,705	branches	
63	faults	
0.003604199	seconds time elapsed	

2. Performance counter stats for './ikj':

17,932	cache-references	
4,597	cache-misses	# 25.636 % of all cache refs
8,41,155	cycles	
6,30,472	instructions	# 0.75 insns per cycle
1,25,325	branches	
57	faults	

9.701099550 seconds time elapsed

3. Performance counter stats for './jik':

16,629	cache-references	
4,486	cache-misses	# 26.977 % of all cache refs
8,18,911	cycles	
6,27,563	instructions	# 0.77 insns per cycle
1,24,915	branches	

57 faults

2.218779679 seconds time elapsed

4. Performance counter stats for './jki':

15,846	cache-references		
4,712	cache-misses	#	29.736 % of all cache refs
8,25,587	cycles		
6,27,592	instructions	#	0.76 insns per cycle
1,24,142	branches		
57	faults		

5.582936280 seconds time elapsed

5. Performance counter stats for './kij':

17,559	cache-references		
3,297	cache-misses	#	18.777 % of all cache refs
8,18,154	cycles		
6,09,137	instructions	#	0.74 insns per cycle
1,21,294	branches		
56	faults		

2.978831897 seconds time elapsed

6. Performance counter stats for './kji':

17,101	cache-references		
3,340	cache-misses	#	19.531 % of all cache refs
8,17,388	cycles		
6,32,892	instructions	#	0.77 insns per cycle
1,25,196	branches		
57	faults		

2.475549817 seconds time elapsed

Performance behaviour of various Parallel Matrix Multiplications

1. Performance counter stats for './ijk':

49,006	cache-references		
7,141	cache-misses	#	14.572 % of all cache refs
1,69,15,754	cycles		

85,95,985	instructions	#	0.51 insns per cycle
23,48,016	branches		
79	faults		

0.004000773 seconds time elapsed

2. Performance counter stats for './ikj':

51,474	cache-references		
7,911	cache-misses	#	15.369 % of all cache refs
3,51,80,044	cycles		
1,73,59,922	instructions	#	0.49 insns per cycle
48,49,526	branches		
78	faults		

0.010586715 seconds time elapsed

3. Performance counter stats for './jik':

49,139	cache-references		
7,414	cache-misses	#	15.088 % of all cache refs
1,54,78,243	cycles		
78,62,784	instructions	#	0.51 insns per cycle
21,37,215	branches		
79	faults		

0.004259166 seconds time elapsed

4. Performance counter stats for './jki':

51,538	cache-references		
11,467	cache-misses	#	22.250 % of all cache refs
3,22,51,102	cycles		
1,60,89,355	instructions	#	0.50 insns per cycle
44,80,496	branches		
80	faults		

0.013560489 seconds time elapsed

5. Performance counter stats for './kij':

45,819	cache-references		
9,395	cache-misses	#	20.505 % of all cache refs
1,53,70,895	cycles		
77,85,176	instructions	#	0.51 insns per cycle
21,22,355	branches		

80 faults

0.007121015 seconds time elapsed

6 . Performance counter stats for './kji':

36,128	cache-references	
6,769	cache-misses	# 18.736 % of all cache refs
1,58,19,080	cycles	
80,72,070	instructions	# 0.51 insns per cycle
22,07,927	branches	
79	faults	

0.0005593 seconds time elapsed

Note:

As we can observe that the parallel version of the matrix multiplication is taking less time than the sequential part.