

COMP0009. Incompleteness

November 23, 2023

Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem

Countable Sets

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be any function.

- ▶ f is surjective if $\forall b(b \in B \rightarrow \exists a(a \in A \wedge f(a) = b))$.
- ▶ f is injective if $\forall a \forall a'((f(a) = f(a')) \rightarrow (a = a'))$
- ▶ A bijection $f : A \rightarrow B$ is injective and surjective (one-to-one and onto).
- ▶ If there is a bijection from A to B then $|A| = |B|$ (same cardinality)
- ▶ Set S is countable if either it is finite, or there is a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow S$.

Problems

Finite ordinals: $0 = \emptyset$, $1 = \{0\}$, \dots , $n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

A set S is inductive if $0 \in S$ and $n \in S \Rightarrow (n+1) \in S$.

$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ is the intersection of all inductive sets.

1. Prove that if S and T are both countably infinite, then there is a bijection from S to T
2. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, finite natural numbers. When is there a bijection from m to n ?
3. Prove that S is countable if and only if there is an injection from S to \mathbb{N} .
4. Prove that $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is countably infinite.
5. Prove that the set of all rational numbers is countably infinite.
6. Let Σ be a finite alphabet. Prove that Σ^* is countably infinite.

\mathbb{R} is not countable

Assume $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cap [0, 1]$ is a bijection (for contradiction).

n	$f(n)$						
0	.	8	2	9	0	4	...
1	.	2	2	8	7	1	...
2	.	0	3	6	2	5	...
3	.	6	4	8	9	1	...
4	.	6	4	1	3	8	...
\vdots							

\mathbb{R} is not countable

Assume $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cap [0, 1]$ is a bijection (for contradiction).

n		$f(n)$					
0	.	8	2	9	0	4	...
1	.	2	2	8	7	1	...
2	.	0	3	6	2	5	...
3	.	6	4	8	9	1	...
4	.	6	4	1	3	8	...
\vdots							

$$0.93719\dots \notin \text{ran}(f)$$

Let $r = 0.r_0r_1r_2\dots$ where r_n is one more than n 'th decimal place of $f(n)$ if ≤ 9 , else 1. Then $r \notin \text{rng}(f)$, contradicting surjectiveness of f .

Hence no bijection f exists and so \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Paradoxes

- ▶ Liar Paradox, “All Cretans are liars”

Paradoxes

- ▶ Liar Paradox, “All Cretans are liars”
- ▶ Russell's paradox. $R = \{S : S \notin S\}$. Does $R \in R$?

Paradoxes

- ▶ Liar Paradox, “All Cretans are liars”
- ▶ Russell's paradox. $R = \{S : S \notin S\}$. Does $R \in R$?
- ▶ Berry's paradox. Smallest natural number that cannot be defined uniquely by up to 80 characters.

Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem

Consider true statements of arithmetic.

$$C = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$F = \{+, \times\}$$

$$P = \{=, <\}$$

Theorem (Gödel, 1931)

If S is any r.e. set of L -sentences then either

- ▶ *There is a statement ϕ which is true in arithmetic (\mathbb{N}) but $\phi \notin S$ (incompleteness), or*
- ▶ *There is a statement ϕ which is false in arithmetic and $\phi \in S$ (inconsistency).*

Proof Sketch

Idea: every character coded as a number

char	code		
p	112	x	120
$($	040	$)$	041
\wedge	911	\vee	942
\neg	045	y	121
\forall	944	\exists	945
...			

e.g. $p(x)$ has code 112 040 120 041.

So can code a formula as a number.

Write $m \mathbin{++} n$ for $(10 \times 10 \times \dots \times 10 \times m) + n$ (concatenation).

Decoding

String property	first-order formula
$Form(n)$	$Atom(n) \vee Neg(n) \vee Disj(n) \vee Exist(n)$
$Atom(n)$	$\exists y \exists z ((n = y ++ 040 ++ z ++ 041) \wedge (112 \leq y \leq 114) \wedge (120 \leq z \leq 122))$
$Neg(n)$	$\exists z (Form(z) \wedge n = 045 ++ z)$
$Disj(n)$	$\exists v \exists w ((n = 040 ++ v ++ 942 ++ w ++ 041) \wedge Form(v) \wedge Form(w))$
$Exists(n)$	$\exists v ((n = 945 ++ 120 / 121 ++ v) \wedge Form(v))$

This recursion is well-founded.

This code number is called the “Gödel number” of the formula.

Gödel Coding

Similarly, every proof can be represented as a string using 000 as a delimiter, so every proof has a Gödel number.

We can express ' n is the code of a proof' by a first-order formula $Proof(n)$. Let G_f, G_p, F, P be coding and decoding functions, so if ϕ is a formula and $\bar{\phi}$ is a proof then $G_f(\phi)$ and $G_p(\bar{\phi})$ are their codes numbers. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Form(n)$ then $F(n)$ is the formula ϕ such that $G_f(\phi) = n$, and if $Proof(n)$ is true then $P(n)$ is the proof $\bar{\phi}$ such that $G_p(\bar{\phi}) = n$.

The proof

Can write formulas

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(n, m) &= P(n) \text{ is a proof of } F(m) \\ \lambda(n) &= F(n) \text{ is a formula with one free variable, } x\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$A_0(x), A_1(x), A_2(x), \dots$$

be an enumeration of all the formulas with one free variable x . If $F(m)$ has just x as a free variable then $F(m) = A_k(x)$ (some k).

Can write

$$\mu(n, k, q) = (P(n) \text{ is a proof of } A_k(q))$$

Consider

$$\neg \exists n \mu(n, x, x)$$

This is a formula with one free variable. So there is some n_0 such that

$$A_{n_0}(x) = \neg \exists n \mu(n, x, x)$$

We have $\mathbb{N} \models A_{n_0}(m)$ iff “there is no proof of $A_m(m)$ ”.

Finally, consider

$$A_{n_0}(n_0)$$

We have

$$\mathbb{N} \models A_{n_0}(n_0) \iff \text{there is no proof of } A_{n_0}(n_0) !$$

If $\mathbb{N} \models A_{n_0}(n_0)$ then there is no proof of $A_{n_0}(n_0)$ (incompleteness).

If $\mathbb{N} \not\models A_{n_0}(n_0)$ then there is a proof of $A_{n_0}(n_0)$. (inconsistency).

Decidable, semi-decidable

- ▶ Finite alphabet Σ , language $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$.
- ▶ S is decidable if there is a program that takes $s \in \Sigma^*$ as input, runs, always terminates, returns 1 if $s \in S$ else 0.
- ▶ S is recursively enumerable (re) or semi-decidable if there is a program that outputs only strings in S and any given string in S is eventually output.

What we've learnt

- Validity
- ▶ Validity, for FOL, is semi-decidable
 - ▶ The set of satisfiable first-order formulas is co-recursively enumerable (we can enumerate the unsatisfiable formulas).

- Validity in \mathbb{N}
- ▶ The set of first order formulas valid in \mathbb{N} is not even recursively enumerable.
 - ▶ The theory $\Gamma = \{\phi : \mathbb{N} \models \phi\}$ has non-standard models.

Decidable, re, co-re

- ▶ Finite alphabet Σ , $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$, $\bar{S} = \Sigma^* \setminus S$,
- ▶ If S is re then \bar{S} is co-re,
- ▶ If S is re and also co-re then S is decidable (how?)

First Order Logic

- ▶ Much more expressive than propositional logic
- ▶ Validities are re but not decidable
- ▶ But first-order theories cannot define connectedness of graphs or finiteness of structures
- ▶ First-order validities of arithmetic not recursively enumerable.

First-order Logic Summary

- ▶ Syntax, $L(C, F, P)$, parsing
- ▶ Semantics: structure (D, I) , valid in structure, valid over all structures.
- ▶ Axiomatic proof \vdash
- ▶ Tableau proof (close tableau for negated formula)
- ▶ Soundness and Strong Completeness $\Gamma \vdash \phi \iff \Gamma \models \phi$
- ▶ Recursive sets, recursively enumerable sets.
- ▶ Validities of FOL — recursively enumerable but not recursive.
- ▶ Compactness
- ▶ No first-order theory can define connectedness in graphs. No first-order theory can define $\mathbf{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \{0, 1, \dots\}, \{+, \times\}, \{=\})$, non-standard models.
- ▶ Gödel incompleteness theorem — validities of \mathbf{N} are not even recursively enumerable.
- ▶ First-order logic summary

- ▶ Syntax, $L(C, F, P)$, parsing
- ▶ Semantics: structure (D, I) , valid in structure, valid over all structures.
- ▶ Axiomatic proof \vdash
- ▶ Tableau proof (close tableau for negated formula)
- ▶ Soundness and Strong Completeness $\Gamma \vdash \phi \iff \Gamma \models \phi$
- ▶ Recursive sets, recursively enumerable sets.
- ▶ Validities of FOL — recursively enumerable but not recursive.
- ▶ Compactness
- ▶ No first-order theory can define connectedness in graphs. No first-order theory can define $\mathbf{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \{0, 1, \dots\}, \{+, \times\}, \{=\})$, non-standard models.
- ▶ Gödel incompleteness theorem — validities of \mathbf{N} are not even recursively enumerable.
- ▶ First-order logic summary