

Assignment 2

Course code and name: COSC2976 Programming Fundamentals

Weighting: 15%

Type: **Individual** - Code Submission

Due date: Dec 26, 2025 at 11:00 AM

Late work: Deduct 20% of total marks per day late unless a special consideration has been granted. **No late work is accepted after 3 late days.**


Learning Objectives Assessed

- Analyse simple computing problems.
- Devise suitable algorithmic solutions and code these algorithmic solutions in a computer programming language.
- Develop maintainable and reusable solutions using the object oriented paradigm.

Ready for Life and Work

- Effective critical thinking and analysis skills in software engineering and software programming domains.
 - Professional use and management of data and information.
-

Assessment Details

The specification of this assignment can be found [here](#). Please make sure you read every page of the assignment specification. You must upload your submission for this assessment in the .py format (one file). **Note you are required to submit weekly (from Week 7 to 10)** in order to get some marks, please refer to the assignment specification for more details. Some sampled txt files used in the assignment can be downloaded from [here](#)  .

Support Resources

This assessment requires that you meet RMIT's expectations for academic integrity. More information and advice on how to avoid plagiarism are available in the Getting Started module.

Open [the academic integrity page](#).

Additional library and learning resources are available to help with the assessment in this course

Link to [Assignment Support](#).

Assessment policies

1. Referencing guidelines

You must acknowledge all the sources of information you have used in your assessments. See details in the assignment specification (Section 5).

2. Academic integrity and plagiarism

Academic integrity is about the honest presentation of your academic work. It means acknowledging the work of others whilst developing your own insights, knowledge, and ideas. If you do not acknowledge the sources of your material, you may be accused of plagiarism because you have passed off the work and ideas of another person without appropriate referencing, as if they were your own. RMIT University treats plagiarism as a very serious offense constituting misconduct. See details in the assignment specification (Section 6).

3. Assessment Declaration

When you submit work electronically, you agree to the assessment declaration [here](#).

4. Penalties for Late Submission

All assignments will be marked as if submitted on time. Late submissions of assignments without special consideration or extension will be automatically penalised at a rate of 10% of the total marks available per day (or part of a day) late. For example, if an assignment is worth 30 marks and it is submitted 1 day late, a penalty of 10% or 3 marks will apply. This will be deducted from

the assessed mark. Assignments will not be accepted if more than five days late, unless special consideration or an extension of time has been approved.

5. Special Consideration

If you are applying for extensions for your assessment within five working days after the original assessment date or due date has passed, or if you are seeking an extension for more than seven days, you will have to apply for Special Consideration, unless there are special instructions on your Equitable Learning Plan.

In most cases, you can apply for special consideration online [here](#). For more information on special consideration, visit the university website on special consideration [here](#).

Submission Instruction

As an individual, please submit answers on Canvas as per instructions.

All students should read and understand the University's policy on plagiarism. Any cases of plagiarism detected will be dealt with according to the University's Plagiarism Policy.

Rubric

Criteria	Ratings			Pts
PASS Level - The program correctly shows inheritance through Customer, Member, and VIPMember. These classes are defined accurately per the assignment specification.	2 pts Full Marks	2 to >1.0 pts Good implementation with 1 to 2 minor issues.	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	2 pts
PASS Level - Correctly define the class Product and class Order, including their variables and methods.	1 pts Full marks		1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	1 pts
PASS Level - Correctly define the class Records, including its variables and methods.	3 pts Full Marks	3 to >1.5 pts Good implementation with 1 to 2 minor issues.	1.5 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	3 pts
PASS Level - The program can correctly initialize (e.g., load the data from the files, initialize classes, etc.) and shows the menu. It will go back to the menu after completing a task on the menu. The program can exit.	2 pts Full Marks	2 to >1.0 pts Good implementation with 1 to 2 minor issues.	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	2 pts

PASS Level - The program can correctly display all customers and products through the menu. This includes adding new customers or updating existing customer information.	2 pts Full Marks	2 to >1.0 pts Good implementation with 1 to 2 minor issues.	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	2 pts
PASS Level - Orders can be entered through the menu and the total price of the order can be displayed accordingly (including handling VIP membership).	2 pts Full Marks	2 to >1.0 pts Good implementation with 1 to 2 minor issues.	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	2 pts
CREDIT Level ===== No marks for this level if the PASS Level requirements are not completed ===== Class Bundle is correctly defined, including its variables and methods. Class Records are updated properly. Your program is updated accordingly to support Bundle.	1 pts Full Marks		1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	1 pts
CREDIT Level - Appropriate exceptions are defined. The corresponding exception handling mechanism is in place.	1 pts Full Marks		1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	1 pts
CREDIT Level - Required operations are supported by the program, including placing an order, updated products + prices display, handling both name and ID, etc.				1 pts

	1 pts Full Marks	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	
DI Level ===== No marks for this level if requirements of early levels are not completed ===== Class Order is updated.	0.5 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	0.5 pts
DI Level - Orders can be read from a CSV file and correctly populated. The menu program can display all orders or all orders of a customer and handle both name and ID.	1 pts Full Marks	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	1 pts
DI Level - The discount rate and the threshold for VIP members can be adjusted. Corresponding exceptions are handled properly. Other required exceptions can also be handled.	1 pts Full Marks	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	1 pts
DI Level - Orders can be entered through the menu and the total price of the order can be displayed accordingly.	0.5 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	0.5 pts
HD Level ===== No marks for this level if requirements of early levels are not completed - ===== The class structure is analyzed and optimized.	0.5 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks	0.5 pts
HD Level – The order file can be specified by the user through the menu. Re-try is permitted.			0.5 pts

	0.5 pts Full Marks 1 pts Full Marks	0 pts No Marks 1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	
HD Level - Command-line arguments can be correctly handled as specified in the requirements.			1 pts
HD Level – The program allows ordering multiple items within an order.	1 pts Full Marks	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	1 pts
HD Level - Display the most valuable customer and most popular product according to the requirements	1 pts Full Marks	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	1 pts
HD Level - Display the summary of the orders in full as required.	1 pts Full Marks	1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	1 pts

HD Level - The customer file, product file, and order file used by the program can be correctly updated when the program terminates.	1 pts Full Marks		1 to >0 pts Poor implementation (e.g. missing components, poor coding, major mistakes)	1 pts
Others - Code quality and style	1.5 pts Full Marks	1.5 to >1.0 pts Good coding style With one or two minor issues	1 to >0 pts Need improvement E.g., insistent style, spaghetti code, poor choice of variable/method names, non-OO style	1.5 pts
Others - Comments, analysis and reflection	1.5 pts Full Marks	1.5 to >1.0 pts Good coding style With one or two minor issues	1 to >0 pts Need improvement E.g., lack of comments, overuse of comments, poor explanation of the OO design, code design.	1.5 pts
Others - Weekly submissions from Week 9 to Week 11, 1 x number of submissions (max is 3, excluding the final submission).	3 pts Full Marks		3 to >0 pts Need improvement Missed one or a few submissions.	3 pts
Total Points: 30				