Visualizing probability distributions across bivariate cyclic temporal granularities

Sayani Gupta *

Department of Econometrics and Business Statistics, Monash University

and

Rob J Hyndman

Department of Econometrics and Business Statistics, Monash University

and

Dianne Cook

Department of Econometrics and Business Statistics, Monash University

and

Antony Unwin

University of Augsburg

April 23, 2020

Abstract

Deconstructing a time index into time granularities can assist in exploration and automated analysis of large temporal data sets. This paper describes several classes of time deconstructions using linear and cyclic time granularities. Linear time granularities respect the linear progression of time such as hours, days, weeks and months with respect to a baseline. Cyclic time granularities can be circular such as hour of the day, quasi-circular such as day of the month, and aperiodic such as public holidays. The hierarchical structure of granularities creates a nested ordering. Hour of the day and second of the minute are single-order-up. Hour of the week is multiple-order-up, because it passes over day of the week. Methods are provided for creating all possible granularities for a time index. A recommendation algorithm provides an indication whether a pair of granularities could be interesting to examine together, called a harmony or clash.

The time granularities can used to create visualizations of the data to explore for periodicities, associations and anomalies. The granularities can be considered to be categorical variables (ordered and unordered) which induces a grouping of the observations. Assuming a numeric response variable, the resulting graphics are then

---
*Email: Sayani.Gupta@monash.edu

1

displays of distributions compared across combinations of categorical variables. A recommendation of appropriate distribution display is provided.

The methods are implemented in the open source R package gravitas. The functions for creating granularities and exploring the associated time series are consistent with a tidy workflow (Grolemund & Wickham (2017)), and the probability distributions can be examined using the range of graphics available in ggplot2 (Wickham 2016).

1 Introduction

Temporal data are available at various resolutions depending on the context. Social and economic data like GDP is often collected and reported at coarse temporal scales such as monthly, quarterly or annually. With recent advancement in technology, more and more data are recorded at much finer temporal scales. Energy consumption may be collected every half an hour, energy supply may be collected every minute, and web search data might be recorded every second. As the frequency of data increases, the number of questions about the periodicity of the observed variable also increases. For example, data collected at an hourly scale can be analyzed using coarser temporal scales such as days, months or quarters. This approach requires deconstructing time in various possible ways called time granularities (Aigner et al. 2011).

It is important to be able to navigate through all of these time granularities to have multiple perspectives on the periodicity of the observed data. This idea aligns with the notion of EDA (Tukey 1977) which emphasizes the use of multiple perspectives on data to help formulate hypotheses before proceeding to hypothesis testing. Visualizing probability distributions conditional on one or more granularities is a potentially useful approach for exploration. Analysts are expected to alliteratively explore many possible choices of time granularities for comprehending possible periodicities in the data. But too many choices and a lack of a systematic approach to do so might become overwhelming.

Calendar-based graphics (Wang et al. 2020*a*) are useful in visualizing patterns in the weekly and monthly structure, and are helpful when checking for the effects of weekends or special days. Any temporal data at sub-daily resolution can also be displayed using this type of faceting (Wickham 2016) with days of the week, month of the year, or another sub-daily deconstruction of time. But calendar effects are not restricted to conventional day-of-week or month-of-year deconstructions. There can be many different time deconstructions, based on the calendar or on categorizations of time granularities.

Linear time granularities respect the linear progression of time and are non-repeating such as hours, days, weeks and months. One of the first attempts to characterize these granularities is due to Bettini et al. (1998). However, the definitions and rules defined are inadequate for describing cyclic or repeating granularities. Hence, there is a need to

define some new cyclic time granularities, that can be useful in visualizations. Cyclic time granularities can be circular, quasi-circular or aperiodic. Examples of circular granularities are hour of the day and day of the week; an examples of a quasi-circular granularity is day of the month; examples of aperiodic granularities are public holidays and school holidays.

Time deconstructions can also be based on the hierarchical structure of time. For example, hours are nested within days, days within weeks, weeks within months, and so on. Hence, it is possible to construct single-order-up granularities such as second of the minute, or multiple-order-up granularities such as second of the hour. The lubridate package (Grolemund & Wickham 2011) provides tools to access and manipulate common date-time objects. But most of its accessor functions are limited to single-order-up granularities.

The motivation for this work stems from the desire to provide methods to better understand large quantities of measurements on energy usage reported by smart meters in households across Australia, and indeed many parts of the world. Smart meters currently provide half-hourly use in kWh for each household, from the time that they were installed, some as early as 2012. Households are distributed geographically and have different demographic properties such as the existence of solar panels, central heating or air conditioning. The behavioral patterns in households vary substantially, for example, some families use a dryer for their clothes while others hang them on a line, and some households might consist of night owls, while others are morning larks. It is common to see aggregates (see Goodwin & Dykes 2012) of usage across households, such as half-hourly total usage by state, because energy companies need to plan for maximum loads on the network. But studying overall energy use hides the distributions of usage at finer scales, and makes it more difficult to find solutions to improve energy efficiency. We propose that the analysis of smart meter data will benefit from systematically exploring energy consumption by visualizing the probability distributions across different deconstructions of time to find regular patterns/anomalies. Although, the motivation came through the smart meter example, this is a problem that is relevant to any temporal data observed more than once per year.

This work provides tools for systematically exploring bivariate granularities within the tidy workflow. In particular, we

- provide a formal characterization of cyclic granularities;
- facilitate manipulation of single- and multiple-order-up time granularities through cyclic calendar algebra;
- develop an approach to check the feasibility of creating plots or drawing inferences for any two cyclic granularities;
- recommend prospective probability distributions for exploring distributions of a univariate dependent variable across pair of granularities.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides some background material on linear granularities and introduces calendar algebra for computing different linear granularities. Section 3 formally characterizes different cyclic time granularities by extending the framework of linear time granularities. Section 4 introduces cyclic calendar algebra for computing cyclic time granularities. Section 5 discusses the data structure for exploring the conditional distributions of the associated time series across pairs of cyclic time granularities. Section 6 discusses the role of different factors in constructing an informative and trustworthy visualization. Section 7 examines how systematic exploration can be carried out for a temporal and non-temporal application. Section 8 summarizes this paper and discusses possible future direction.

2 Linear time granularities

Discrete abstraction of time such as weeks, months or holidays can be thought of as "time granularities". Time granularities are **linear** if they respect the linear progression of time. There have been several attempts to provide a framework for formally characterizing time granularities, including Bettini et al. (1998) which forms the basis of the work described here.

2.1 Definitions

Definition 1. *A **time domain** is a pair $(T; \leq)$ where T is a non-empty set of time instants and $\leq$ is a total order on T.*

The time domain is assumed to be *discrete*, and there is unique predecessor and successor for every element in the time domain except for the first and last.

Definition 2. *There is a unique* **index set**, $Z = \{z : z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$, *which map the time instants to a set of positive integers. Often, this is thought of as $t = 1, \ldots, T$.*

Definition 3. *A* **linear granularity** *is a mapping G from the integers (the index set, Z) to subsets of the time domain such that: (1) if $i < j$ and $G(i)$ and $G(j)$ are non-empty, then each element of $G(i)$ is less than all elements of $G(j)$; and (2) if $i < k < j$ and $G(i)$ and $G(j)$ are non-empty, then $G(k)$ is non-empty. Each non-empty subset $G(i)$ is called a* **granule**.

This implies that the granules in a linear granularity are non-overlapping, continuous and ordering is maintained. The indexing for each granule can also be associated with textual representation, called the label. A discrete time model often uses a fixed smallest linear granularity named by Bettini et al. (1998) **bottom granularity**.

Figure 1 illustrates the linear time granularities. Here, "hour" is a linear granularity which is a mapping from index set to hourly time domain. Similarly, "day", "week", "month" and "year" are also linear granularities which maps from index set to subsets of the hourly time domain. For example, day(0) = {hour(0), hour(1), hour(2), …, hour(23)}, day(1) = {hour(24), hour(25), hour(26), …, hour(47)} and so on. Thus, if we have "hour" running from $\{0, 1, \ldots, t\}$, we will have "day" running from $\{0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor t/24 \rfloor\}$. These linear granularities are ordered with ordering guided by the index set which is a set of integers. Hence, they are uni-directional and non-repeating.

> Expand into a paragraph - how does it illustrate the linear granularities? How does hour, day,... relate to G...

2.2 Relativities

Properties of pairs of granularities fall into various categories.

Definition 4. *A linear granularity G is* **finer than** *a linear granularity H, denoted $G \preceq H$, if for each index i, there exists an index j such that $G(i) \subset H(j)$.*

hour	0	1	...	23	24	25	...	47	...	144	...	167	...	...	...	720	...	743	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	t
day	0				1				...	6			...	31			...				365		...			...					t/24
week	0										...		5			....		53		...				....				t/24*7			
month	0																...		12		...		...				M				
year	0																				...				Y						

Figure 1: The time domain distributed as linear granularities hour, day, week, month and year. These are ordered with ordering guided by integers and hence is unidirectional and non-repeating.

.

Definition 5. *A linear granularity G* **groups into** *a linear granularity H, denoted $G \trianglelefteq H$, if for each index j there exists a (possibly infinite) subset S of the integers such that $H(j) = \bigcup_{i \in S} G(i)$.*

Example. Let $day \trianglelefteq week$ and $day \preceq week$ since every granule of $week$ is the union of some set of granules of day and each day is a subset of a $week$. Consider another example where $day \trianglelefteq month$. This relationship however is incomplete without its association to periodicity. Each month is a grouping of the same number of days over years, hence the period of the grouping $(day, month)$ is one year, if leap years are ignored. The period becomes 400 years with the inclusion of leap years and all their exceptions.

Definition 6. *A granularity H is* **periodical** *with respect to a granularity G if: (1) $G \trianglelefteq H$; and (2) there exist $R, P \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where R is less than the number of granules of H, such that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $H(i) = \bigcup_{j \in S} G(j)$ and $H(i + R) \neq \phi$ then $H(i + R) = \bigcup_{j \in S} G(j + P)$.*

If $S_0, \ldots, S_{R-1}$ are the sets of indexes of G describing $H(0), \ldots, H(R-1)$, respectively, then the description of an arbitrary granule $H(j)$ is given by $\bigcup_{i \in S_j \mod R} G(P * \lfloor j/R \rfloor + i)$. Also, granularities can be periodical with respect to other granularities, except for a finite number of spans of time where they behave in an anomalous way, called **quasi-periodic** relationships by Bettini & De Sibi (2000).

Example. In a Gregorian calendar without leap years we could say day groups periodically into $month$ with the period $P = 365$ and the number of granules of $month$ in each period given by $R = 12$. In a Gregorian calendar with leap years, day groups quasi-periodically

into *month* with the ¡!–The value of P and R would still be 365 and 12 respectively, while the E_is–¿ exceptions of the time domain corresponding to 29th February of any year.

A discrete time model often uses a fixed smallest linear granularity named by Bettini et al. (1998) **bottom granularity**. Granules in bottom granularity or any finer granularity may be aggregated in some manner to form larger granules belonging to a coarser granularity. A system of multiple granularities in lattice structures is referred to as a **calendar** by Dyreson et al. (2000).

2.3   Computation through calendar algebra

Linear time granularities are computed through an algebraic representation for time granularities, which is referred to as calendar algebra (Ning et al. 2002). It is assumed that there exists a *bottom granularity* and calendar algebra operations are designed to generate new granularities recursively from the bottom one. Some relevant calendar algebra operations are discussed below; these will be used in Section 3 for illustrations in circular and quasi-circular granularities.

The grouping operation: Let G_1 be a full-integer labeled granularity, and m a positive integer. The grouping operation $\text{Group}_m(G)$ generates a new granularity G_2, by partitioning the granules of G_1 into m-granule groups and making each group a granule of the resulting granularity. More precisely, $G_2 = \text{Group}_m(G_1)$ is the full-integer labeled granularity such that for each integer i,

$$G_2(i) = \bigcup_{j=(i-1)m+1}^{im} G_1(j).$$

Example. day = G_{24}(hour), week = G_7(day).

The altering-tick operation: Let G_1, G_2 be full-integer labeled granularities, and l, k, m integers, with $G_1 \trianglelefteq G_2$, $G_1 \preceq G_2$, and $1 \leq l \leq m$. The altering-tick operation $\text{Alter}_{l,k}^m(G_2, G_1)$ operation modifies the granules of G_1 so that the lth granule of each period has k additional granules of G_2.

For example, due to the uneven length of months, we need to use the altering-tick operation to compute months from days. We can consider a granularity "pseudomonth" which computes months only for non-leap years by grouping 31 days, and then shrink April, June, September and November by 1 day, and shrink February by 3 days (Ning et al. 2002). For more variations of calendar algebra operations, see Ning et al. (2002).

3 Cyclic time granularities

Cyclic granularities represeent cyclical components in time. Weeks is an example of a cyclical granularity because every 7 days there's another Monday. Cyclic granularities can be constructed by conducting modular arithmetic on two linear granularities. Cycles can be either *regular*, called **circular**, or *irregular*, **quasi-circular**.

Cyclic time granularities can be implemented through the tsibble (Wang et al. 2020b) data structure. A tsibble consists of an index, key and measured variables. An index is a variable with inherent ordering from past to present and a key is a set of variables that define observational units over time. A time domain, as defined by Bettini et al. (1998), is essentially a mapping of the index set to the index of a tsibble. A linear granularity is a mapping of the index set to subsets of the time domain. For example, if the index of a tsibble is days, then a linear granularity might be weeks, months or years. A bottom granularity is represented by the index of the tsibble.

> Would it be worth to start this discussion about data structures earlier, with the linear granularity, and follow it through when appropriate; or put all discussion on implementation in the data structures section.

3.1 Circular

Definition 7. *A* **circular granularity** $C_{B,G}$ *relates a linear granularity G to the bottom granularity B, if*

$$C_{B,G}(z) = z \ mod \ P(B,G) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \tag{1}$$

where z denotes the index set, B denotes a full-integer labelled bottom granularity which groups periodically into linear granularity G with regular mapping, and $P \equiv P(B,G)$ is the

9

number of granules of B in each granule of G, also called the period of the grouping (B, G).

Figure 2

C(B,G) should be above C(B,H) in figure, and yuo could add the integers to the stacked sets.

illustrates the linear and the corresponding cyclical granularities. Cyclical granularities can be considered to be cutting the linear granularity into pieces, and stacking them to match the cycles (as shown in b). B, G, H (day, week, fortnight, respectively) are linear granularities. The circular granularity $C_{B,G}$ (day-of-week)is constructed from B and G. The circular granularity $C_{B,H}$ (day-of-fortnight) is constructed from B and H. These overlapping cyclical granularities share elements from the linear granularity. Each of $C_{B,G}$ and $C_{B,H}$ consist of repeated patterns $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$ and $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 13\}$ with $P = 7$ and $P = 14$ respectively.

Each circular granularity can use descriptive label mappings. Suppose L is a label mapping that defines an unique label for each index $l \in \{0, 1, \ldots, (P-1)\}$, then the label mapping L for $C_{B,G}$ can be defined as

$$L : \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 6\} \longmapsto \{\text{Sun}, \text{Mon}, \ldots, \text{Sat}\}$$

or

$$L : \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 6\} \longmapsto \{\text{Sunday}, \text{Monday}, \ldots, \text{Saturday}\}$$

for example.

In general, any circular granularity relating two linear granularity can be expressed as $C_{(G,H)}(z) = \lfloor z/P(B, G) \rfloor \mod P(G, H)$, where linear granularity H is periodic with respect to linear granularity G with regular mapping and $P(G, H)$ is the period of the grouping (G, H) . Table 1 shows representation of circular granularities C_i relating two linear granularities with period P_i and minutes as the bottom granularity.

3.2   Quasi-circular

A **quasi-circular** granularity can not be defined using modular arithmetic since they are formed using two linear granularities with irregular mapping. However, they are still formed with linear granularities, one of which "groups periodically into" the other. Table 2 shows

a

days (B)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
weeks (G)	0							1							2							3						
fortnights (H)	0														1													
$C_{B,G}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$C_{B,H}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

b

weeks

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	1	2	3	4	5	6

...

fortnights

| 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

...

Figure 2: Illustration of circular relative to linear granularities (a). Circular granularities can be considered to be cutting the linear granularity into pieces and stacking them (b). The circular granularity creates repeated integer sequences.

some example of quasi-circular granularities (Q_i) with (P_i) denoting the plausible choices of period of the grouping of two linear granularities.

Definition 8. *A **quasi-circular granularity** $Q_{B,G'}$ relates linear granularities G' and bottom granularity B, if*

$$Q_{B,G'}(z) = z - \sum_{w=0}^{k-1} |T_{w \bmod R'}|, \quad for \quad z \in T_k \tag{2}$$

where, $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denotes the index set, B denotes a full-integer labelled bottom granularity which groups periodically into linear granularity G' with irregular mapping, P' and R' are the period of the grouping (B, G') and the number of granules of G' in P', T_w are the sets of indices of B describing $G'(w)$ such that $G'(w) = \bigcup_{z \in T_w} B(z)$ and $|T_w|$ is the cardinality of set T_w.

Consider a linear granularity G' such that $G' = Alter^2_{(1,-2)}(B, Group_7(B))$, which implies it is made up of shrinking every 1st granule of $Group_7(B)$ by 2 granules. Then $Q_{B,G'}$

circular granularity	expression	period
minute-of-hour	$C_1 = z \bmod 60$	$P_1 = 60$
minute-of-day	$C_2 = z \bmod 60 * 24$	$P_2 = 1440$
hour-of-day	$C_3 = \lfloor z/60 \rfloor \bmod 24$	$P_3 = 24$
hour-of-week	$C_4 = \lfloor z/60 \rfloor \bmod 24 * 7$	$P_4 = 168$
day-of-week	$C_5 = \lfloor z/24 * 60 \rfloor \bmod 7$	$P_5 = 7$

Table 1: Examples of circular granularities with bottom granularity minutes. Circular granularity C_i relates two linear granularities one of which groups periodically into the other with regular mapping and period P_i. Circular granularities could be expressed using modular arithmetic due to their regular mapping.

is a repetitive categorization of time, similar to circular granularities, except that the number of granules of B is not necessarily the same across different granules of G'. Here, $T_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $T_1 = \{7, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$. Hence using Definition 8 we will have:

$$Q_{B,H'}(10) = 10 - \sum_{w=0}^{1-1} |T_{w \bmod 2}|, \quad since \quad 10 \in T_1$$
$$= 3$$
(3)

If linear granularity G' is periodical with respect to B with irregular mapping, then there exist $R', P' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that if $G'(w) = \bigcup_{z \in T_w} B(z)$ then

$$G'(w) = \bigcup_{z \in T_{w \bmod R'}} B(P' * \lfloor w/R' \rfloor + z)$$

(from Definition 6) . Here $w \bmod R'$ represents the index that must be shifted to obtain $G'(w)$. The idea here is if we know the composition of each of the granules of G' in terms of granules of B for one period, we can find the composition of any granule of G' beyond a period since the "pattern" repeats itself along the time domain due to the periodic property. The periodic property also ensures that $|T_w| = |T_{w \bmod R'}|$ since every wth and $(w + R')^{\text{th}}$ granule of G' will have the same number of granules of B. The term $\sum_{w=0}^{k-1} |T_w|$ in Definition 8 denotes the number of granules of B till the $(k-1)^{\text{th}}$ granule of G'. Since

quasi-circular granularity	potential period lengths
Q_1 = day-of-month	$P_1 = 31, 30, 29, 28$
Q_2 = hour-of-month	$P_2 = 24 * 31, 24 * 30, 24 * 29, 24 * 28$
Q_3 = day-of-year	$P_3 = 366, 365$
Q_4 = week-of-month	$P_4 = 5, 4$

Table 2: Examples of quasi-circular granularities Q_i with potential period lengths P_i. These quasi-circular granularities relate two linear granularities one of which groups periodically into the other with irregular mapping leading to many potential period lengths and hence can not be expressed through modular arithmetic.

$|T_w| = |T_{w \bmod R'}|$, the number of granules of B till the $(k-1)^{\text{th}}$ granule of G' becomes $\sum_{w=0}^{k-1} |T_{w \bmod R'}|$ in Definition 8.

3.3 Aperiodic

Aperiodic time granularities are the ones which can not be specified as a periodic repetition of a pattern of granules. Most public holidays repeat every year, but there is no reasonably small period within which their behavior remains constant. A classic example can be that of Easter, whose dates repeat only after 5,700,000 years. In Australia, if a standard public holiday falls on a weekend, a substitute public holiday will sometimes be observed on the first non-weekend day (usually Monday) after the weekend. Examples of aperiodic granularity may also include school holidays or a scheduling event. All of these are recurring events, but with non-periodic patterns. As such, plausible P_i from Table 2 could be possibly infinite for aperiodic granularities.

Aperiodic cyclic granularities are defined using aperiodic linear granularities. Consider n aperiodic linear granularities $M_i \; \forall \{i \in 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that Definition 6 does not hold true for them with respect to B (the bottom granularity). However, $B \trianglelefteq M_i \; \forall \{i \in 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Then according to Definition 5, for each index j there exists a (possibly infinite) subset $T_{\{i_j\}}$ of the integers such that $M_i(j) = \bigcup_{z \in T_{i_j}} B(z)$. Suppose $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ is formed by collecting the granules of $\{M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n\}$. Here, index $\{i_j\}$ stands for the jth granule of

the ith linear aperiodic granularity.

Definition 9. *An* **aperiodic cyclic granularity** $A_{B,M}$ *relates a linear granularity M to the bottom granularity B, if*

$$A_{B,M}(z) = \begin{cases} i, & for \quad z \in T_{i_j} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where, $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denotes the index set, B denotes a full-integer labelled bottom granularity which groups into linear granularity M but not periodically, T_{i_j} are the sets of indices of B describing aperiodic linear granularities M_i such that $M_i(j) = \bigcup_{z \in T_{i_j}} B(z)$, and $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} M_i$.

Consider a school semester which always lasts for 18 weeks and 2 days, starting with one week of orientation followed by an in-session period of 6 weeks, a semester-break of 1 week and again an in-session period of 7 weeks and 1 week study break before final exams which continue for 9 days. Let the linear granularities M_1 and M_2 denote in-session semester period and semester break periods respectively. Both M_1, M_2 and $M = M_1 \bigcup M_2$ denoting the semester week type are aperiodic with respect to days (B) or weeks (G). Hence $A_{B,M}$ denoting day of the semester week type would be an aperiodic cyclic granularity. This is because the placement of the semester within an year would vary across years. Figure 3 b shows the stack display of time with granules representing same categories (semester break/in-session) stacked on top of one another. Figure 3 (a) representing the linear display of time is useful in manifesting how the categories would be determined with respect to the bottom granularities using Definition 9. It is interesting to note here that $Q_{H,M}$ denoting semester week of the semester week type would be a quasi-circular granularity since the distribution of semester weeks within a semester is assumed to remain constant over years. Here $Q_{H,M}$ with a period of 18.3 weeks will have irregular mapping since each semester week types consists of different number of semester weeks.

4 Cyclic calendar algebra

In Section 3, we discussed how we can define cyclic granularities by extending the framework of linear granularities defined in Bettini et al. (1998). In this section, we will see how to

a

days (B)	0	1	...	48	49	50	...	55	56	57	...	62	...	91	...	97	98	...	104	105	...	111	...	147	...	153	154	...	160	161	...	167	168	...	174	175	176	.	...	.	...
weeks (G)	0	0	...	6	7				8				...	13			14			15			...	21			22			23			24			25			...		
semester weeks(H)	-	-	...	-	0				1				...	6			7			8			...	14			15			16			17			18		-	...	-	-
semester week type (M)	-	-	...	-	0				1								2						3				4				5					-	...	-	-
$Q_{H,M}$	-	-	...	-	0				0				...	5			0			0			...	6			0			0			1			2		-	...	-	-
$A_{B,M}$	0	0	...	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	...	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	...	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	...	0	...

b

semester weeks

"orientation week" "first half of semester"

"semester week" "second half of semester"

"study week" "finals"

Figure 3: Quasi-circular and aperiodic cyclic granularities illustrated through linear (a) and stack (b) display of time. The linear display shows linear granularities days, weeks, semester weeks, semester week type distributed linearly from past to future. Here a semester lasts for 18.3 weeks, each starting with one week of orientation followed by an in-session period of 6 weeks, a semester-break of 1 week and again an in-session period of 7 weeks and 1 week study break before final exams which continue for 1.3 weeks. This pattern remains same for all semester and hence $Q_{H,M}$ with $P = 18.3$ weeks will be a quasi-circular granularity with repeating patterns. $A_{B,M}$ will be an aperiodic cyclic granularity since the placement of the semester within an year varies across years.

obtain cyclic granularities through an algebraic representation of other cyclic granularities through cyclic calendar algebra operations.

The cyclic calendar algebra consists of broadly two kinds of operations: (1) **single-to-multiple** and (2) **multiple-to-single** which entails the representation of *multiple-order-up* cyclic granularities from *single-order-up* cyclic granularities and vice versa. The hierarchical structure of time creates a natural nested ordering which can produce single-order-up or multiple-order-up granularities. We shall use the notion of a hierarchy table and order to define them.

Order of a linear granularity can be comprehended as the level of coarseness associated with a linear granularity. For example, for two linear granularities G and H, if G groups into or finer than H or H is composed of higher number of granules of the bottom granularity than G, then G is of lower order than H. In any hierarchy table, linear granularities are arranged from lowest to highest order.

Hierarchy table Let $H_n : (G, C, K)$ be a hierarchy table containing n linear granularities. G_l and G_m represent the linear granularity of order l and m respectively with $l < m$. $K \equiv P(l, m)$ represents the period length of the grouping (G_l, G_m) for a circular granularity and $K \equiv k(l, m)$ represents the operation to obtain G_m from G_l for a quasi-circular granularity $C_{G(l),G(m)}$, $\forall l, m \in 1, 2, \ldots, n$. We assume that the cyclic granularity $C_{G(l),G(m)}$ is either circular or quasi-circular. It is difficult to position an aperiodic linear granularity in a hierarchy and hence the possibility of an aperiodic cyclic granularity is not considered.

We refer to granularities which are nested within multiple levels of the hierarchy table as *multiple-order-up* and those concerning a single level as *single-order-up* granularities.

So far we have used example of cyclic granularities from Gregorian calendar as it is the most widely used calendar. But it is far from being the only one. The day is the basic unit of time underlying most calendars (Reingold & Dershowitz 2001). Various calendars, however, use different conventions to structure days into larger units: weeks, months, years and cycle of years. The French revolutionary calendar divided each day into 10 "hours", each "hour" into 100 "minutes" and each "minute" into 100 "seconds". Nevertheless, for any calendar a hierarchy table can be defined. For example, in Mayan calendar, one day was referred to as 1 kin and the calendar was structured such that 1 kin = 1 day; 1 uinal

Table 3: Hierarchy table for Mayan calendar with all circular single-order-up granularities.

linear (G)	single-order-up cyclic (C)	period length/conversion operator (K)
kin	kin-of-uinal	20
uinal	uinal-of-tun	18
tun	tun-of-katun	20
katun	katun-of-baktun	20
baktun	1	1

= 20 kin; 1 tun = 18 uinal; 1 katun = 20 tun and 1 baktun = 20 katun. Table 3 represents the hierarchy table for the Mayan calendar.

Examples of multiple-order-up granularities can be kin-of-tun or kin-of-baktun whereas examples of single-order-up granularities may include kin-of-uinal, uinal-of-tun etc.

4.1 Single-to-multiple

4.1.1 All circular single order-up granularities

Circular single-order-up granularities can be used recursively to obtain multiple order up circular granularity. Since, the operation requires the use of modular arithmetic, it is important that the label mapping of the individual circular single order-up granularity is an identity function, that is, $L(x) = x \quad \forall x$. The label mapping of the resultant multiple-order-up granularity can however be chosen depending on the context.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{G_l,G_m}(z) &= C_{G_l,G_{l+1}}(z) + P(l,l+1)C_{G_{l+1},G_m}(z) \\
&= C_{G_l,G_{l+1}}(z) + P(l,l+1)(C_{G_{l+1},G_{l+2}}(z) + P(l+1,l+2)C_{G_{l+2},G_m}(z)) \\
&= C_{G_l,G_{l+1}}(z) + P(l,l+1)C_{G_{l+1},G_{l+2}}(z) + P(l,l+1)P(l+1,l+2)C_{G_{l+2},G_m} \\
&= C_{G_l,G_{l+1}}(z) + P(l,l+1)C_{G_{l+1},G_{l+2}}(z) + P(l,l+2)C_{G_{l+2},G_{l+m}}(z) \quad (5) \\
&\vdots \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-l-1} P(l,l+i)C_{G_{l+i},G_{l+i+1}}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

, for $l < m - 1$ and $P(i, j) = 1, \quad \forall i = j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m - l - 1\}$

Example: Let us use Equation 5 to compute the multiple-order-up granularity $C_{uinal,katun}$ for Mayan calendar with the index set $Z = \{z : z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ mapping "kins" to a set of positive integers.

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{uinal,baktun}(z) =& C_{uinal,tun}(z) + P(uinal, tun)C_{tun,katun}(z) + P(uinal, katun)C_{katun,baktun}(z) \\
=& \lfloor z/20 \rfloor \bmod 18 + 18 * \lfloor z/20 * 18 \rfloor \bmod 20 \\
& + 18 * 20 \lfloor z/20 * 18 * 20 \rfloor \bmod 20
\end{aligned}$$

(6)

4.1.2   Circular or quasi-circular single order-up granularities

We consider the case of only one quasi-circular single order-up granularity in the hierarchy table. Any multiple-order-up quasi-circular granularity $C_{l,m}(z)$ can then be obtained as a function of a single (or multiple) order-up circular granularity $C_{l,m'}(z)$ and single order-up quasi-circular granularity $C_{m',m}(z)$ or vice versa. Two different approaches need to be employed leading to the following cases:

- $C_{l,m'}(z)$ is circular and $C_{m',m}(z)$ is quasi-circular

$$C_{G_l,G_m}(z) = C_{G_l,G_{m'}}(z) + P(l, m')C_{G_{m'},G_m}(z) \tag{7}$$

- $C_{l,m'}(z)$ is quasi-circular and $C_{m',m}(z)$ is circular

$$C_{G_l,G_m}(z) = C_{G_l,G_{m'}}(z) + \sum_{w=0}^{C_{m',m}(z)-1} (|T_w|) \tag{8}$$

where, T_w is such that $G_{m'}(w) = \bigcup_{z \in T_w} G_l$ and $|T_w|$ is the cardinality of set T_w.

Example: Suppose we have a hierarchy using linear granularties from a Gregorian calendar in Table 4. Since months consists of unequal number of days, any linear granularity with higher order than months will also have unequal number of days. This is an example of a hierarchy structure which has both circular and quasi-circular single-order-up granularities. Only one single-order-up granularity day-of-month is quasi-circular.

Using Equations 7 and 8, we have:

Table 4: Hierarchy table for Gregorian calendar with both circular and quasi-circular single-order-up granularities.

linear (G)	single-order-up cyclic (C)	period length/conversion operator (K)
minute	minute-of-hour	20
hour	hour-of-day	18
day	day-of-month	k(day, month)
month	month-of-year	20
year	1	1

- $C_{hour,month}(z) = C_{hour,day}(z) + P(hour, day) * C_{day,month}(z)$
- $C_{day,year}(z) = C_{day,month}(z) + \sum_{w=0}^{C_{month,year}(z)-1}(|T_w|)$, where, T_w is such that $month(w) = \bigcup_{z \in T_w} day(z)$

4.2 Multiple-to-single

4.2.1 Multiple order-up circular granularities

For a hierarchy table $H_n : (G, C, K)$ with $l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2 \in 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $l_2 < l_1$ and $m_2 > m_1$, we have

$$C_{G_{l_1}, G_{m_1}}(z) = \lfloor C_{G_{l_2}, G_{m_2}}(z)/P(l_2, l_1) \rfloor \bmod P(l_1, m_1) \tag{9}$$

Considering the same example of Mayan Calendar, it is possible to compute the single-order-up granularity tun-of-katun given the multiple-order-up granularity uinal-baktun using equation 9.

$$C_{tun,katun}(z) = \lfloor C_{uinal,baktun}(z)/18 \rfloor \bmod 20 \tag{10}$$

4.2.2 Muliple order-up quasi-circular granularities

Single-order-up quasi-circular granularity could be obtained from multiple-order-up quasi-circular granularity and single/multiple-order-up circular granularity using Equations 7 and

8.

5 Data structure

Effective exploration or good visualization require good data structures. It is recognized that there is a crucial influence of linear vs cyclic time characteristics on the expressiveness of visualization and analysis. Moreover, one can use calendars based on application domain that define contextual system of linear and cyclic granularities. A recent tidy data structure to support exploration and modeling of temporal data is tsibble (Wang et al. 2020b), where data is structured in a semantic manner with reference to observations and variables, with the time index stated explicitly. Since all cyclic granularities can be expressed in terms of the index set, we consider the data structure in Figure 4 for exploration of temporal data of this kind. This is a two-dimensional array extending the columns of tsibble by including the cyclic granularities C_i and v denotes the measurement variable. The total number of cyclic granularities would be based on the number of linear granularities considered in the hierarchy table and presence of any aperiodic cyclic granularities. Suppose we have n linear granularities in the hierarchy table, $n(n-1)/2$ circular or quasi-circular cyclic granularities could be constructed. Any attempt to encode all or many cyclic granularities at the same time to develop insights on periodicity might fail or become clumsy. Instead, this big problem is broken down by focusing on two cyclic granularities at a time. Hence data sets of the form $<C_i,\ C_j,\ v>$ forms the basis for exploration and analysis of the measured variable.

5.1 Harmonies and Clashes

The way cyclic granularities relate become important when we consider the data structure in Figure 4. Let us consider two cyclic granularities C_1 and C_2, such that C_1 maps index set to a set $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots, A_l\}$, and C_2 maps index set to a set $\{B_1, B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_m\}$. Here, A_i's or B_j's are the levels/categories corresponding to C_1 and C_2 respectively. Let S_{ij} be a subset of the index set such that for all $s \in S_{ij}$, $C_1(s) = A_i$ and $C_2(s) = B_j$. Data subsets for each combination of levels $(A_i,\ B_j)$ like $<A_i,\ B_j,\ v(s)>$ can be obtained for all

index	key	measurements	C_1	C_2	C_3	C_4	C_5	...

Figure 4: The data structure for exploring periodicities in data by including cyclic granularities in the tsibble structure with index, key and measured variables.

$i \in 1, 2, \ldots, l$ and $j \in 1, 2, \ldots, m$ which will lead to lm data subsets. Now, some situations can lead to few or many of these sets being empty. We will discuss few cases, where one or more of these lm sets will be empty either due to the structure of the calendar, duration and location of events in a calendar or just by the construction of the cyclic granularities.

Definition 10. *A* **clash** *is a pair of cyclic granularities which contains structurally, event-driven or build-based empty combinations of its categories.*

Definition 11. *A* **harmony** *is a pair of cyclic granularities that do not contain any missing combinations of its categories.*

Firstly, empty combinations can arise due to the structure of the calendar or hierarchy. These are called "structurally" empty combinations. Let us take a specific example, where C_1 be day-of-month with 31 levels and C_2 be week-of-month with 5 levels. There will be $31 \times 5 = 155$ sets S_{ij} corresponding to possible combinations of C_1 and C_2. Many of these like $S_{1,5}$, $S_{21,2}$ are empty. This is also intuitive since the first day of the month can never correspond to fifth week of the month. Hence the pair (day-of-month, week-of-month) is a clash.

Secondly, empty combinations can turn up due to differences in event location or duration in a calendar. These are called "event-driven" empty combinations. Let us consider C_1 be day-of-week with 7 levels and C_2 be WorkingDay/NonWorkingDay with 2 levels. While potentially all of these 14 sets S_{ij} can be non-empty (it is possible to have a public holiday

on any day-of-week), in practice many of these will probably have very few observations. For example, there are few (if any) public holidays on Wednesdays or Thursdays in any given year in Melbourne, Australia.

Thirdly, empty combinations can be a result of how granularities are constructed. These are called "build-based" empty combinations. Let C_1 be Business-days, which are days from Monday to Friday except holidays and C_2 be day-of-month. Then the days denoting weekends in a month would not correspond to any Business days. This is different from structurally empty combinations because structure of the calendar does not lead to these missing combinations, but the construction of the granularities does.

An example when there will be no empty combinations could be where C_1 and C_2 maps index set to day-of-week and month-of-year respectively. Here C_1 can have 7 levels while C_2 can have 12 levels. So there are $12 \times 7 = 84$ sets S_{ij}. All of these are non-empty because every day-of-week can occur in every month. Hence, the pair (day-of-week, month-of-year) is a harmony.

5.2 Choosing harmonies to show

Even after screening harmonies from the list of all possible combinations of cyclic time granularities that could be plotted together, the options could be too many and it might be useful to rank these harmonies. Ranking could be based on how well a harmony pair displays the variation in distributions of the measured variable within and across each levels of the cyclic granularities. For each facet level, the most interesting display is the one in which the difference in the distribution of the measured variable between the x-axis categories is maximum. And the harmony pair which has more variation between x-axis levels for all facet levels on an average is likely to be a good measure of how important a harmony pair is displaying variation in distributions. Probability distributions are represented through sample percentiles instead of kernel density estimate so that there is minimal dependency on selecting kernel or bandwidth. Moreover, distances between these probability distributions of the measured variable across x-axis levels are computed using Jenson-Shannon distances which is a symmetric divergence obtained from Kullback-Leibler divergence measure.

1. Suppose C_1 and C_2 are two cyclic granularities such that C_1 maps index set to a set

$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots, A_l\}$, and C_2 maps index set to a set $\{B_1, B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_m\}$ and v is the measured variable. Hence for each combination (A_i, B_j), we have the time series variable $v_{ij} \subseteq v$, $\forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$ and $\forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$

2. There will be m time series variable corresponding to each level A_i of C_1. We compute the pairwise differences in distributions of these m time series variables. Thus there will be $\binom{m}{2}$ pairwise distances corresponding to each A_i.

3. Pairwise distances are computed between different quantiles of the time series variables using Jensen-Shanon distance. Suppose q is the sample quantile vector computed for probability vector p. Then Jensen-Shanon distance between two sample quantiles q_j and q_k will be given by

$$d_{jk} = [D(q_j, r) + D(q_k, r)]/2 \quad where \quad r = (q_j + q_k)/2$$

where,

$$D(q_j, q_k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_j(x) log \frac{q_j(x)}{q_k(x)} \, dx$$

is the Kullback-Leibler divergence between q_j and q_k.

4. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$, the maximum pairwise distance could be chosen from $\binom{m}{2}$ distances using $D_i = max(d_{jk})/m(max(d_{jk}) - min(d_{jk}))$ $\forall j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Here, maximum is being adjusted against the range of the data to accommodate for comparison of maximum distances for different ranges.

5. The mean maximum pairwise distance (MMPD) over $i = 1, 2, \ldots, l$ is computed by $MMPD = (1/l) \sum_{i=1}^{l} D_i$.

6. Steps 1 to 5 are repeated for every harmony pair and harmony pairs rearranged from highest to lowest MMPD. Harmony pair with higher MMPD would be more interesting as it would mean that the harmony pair exhibits more variations in distributions on an average between different levels of C_2.

5.3 Summarizing the measured variable

Restructuring time from linear to cyclic time granularities leads to re-organisation of the data structure, where each level of a cyclic granularity corresponds to multiple values of

the measured variable. This is unlike the case of linear granularities where each time point corresponds to an unique value of the measured variable. It is common to see summarization of these multiple values through aggregation or an unique summary statistic like mean or median to have each level of cyclic granularity correspond to an unique value of the measured variable. But that approach hides the distributions of the measured variable induced by the re-organised data structure. Summarizing the distribution of these multiple observations across cyclic granularities using some summary statistics, each of which can highlight different features of the data or through probability densities could be a potential way to compare and contrast periodicities in the data.

However, we need to consider the effect of number of observations on the summarization even for harmonies. Suppose we have T observations, and two cyclic granularities C_1 and C_2 with l and m categories respectively. Each element of C_1 occurs approximately T/l times while each element of C_2 occurs approximately T/m times. There are no empty combinations, and each combination will occur on average an equal number of times as $T \rightarrow \infty$, so the average number of observations per combination is $T/(lm)$. If we require at least k observations per combination to create a meaningful summarization, then only $T \geq lmk$ will be acceptable. The value of k will depend on the statistical transformation we are producing. For computing deciles, $k = 10$ may be acceptable, but for density estimates, $k \geq 30$ is suggested. Rarely occurring categories such as the 366th day of the year, or the 31st day of the month can suffer from such problem.

6   Visualization

The grammar of graphics introduced a framework to construct statistical graphics by relating data space to the graphic space (Wilkinson 1999). The layered grammar of graphics proposed by (Wickham 2016), which is an alternate and modified parametrization of the grammar suggests that graphics are made up of distinct layers of grammatical elements. Drawing from the grammar of graphics, if $<C_1, C_2, v>$ serves as the basis of visualizing the distribution of the measured variable, the following layers can be specified:

- Data: $<C_1, C_2, v>$

- Aesthetic mapping (mapping of variables to elements of the plot): C_1 mapped to x position and v to y position
- Statistical transformation (data summarization): Any descriptive or smoothing statistics that summarizes distribution of v
- Geometric objects (physical representation of the data): Any geometry displaying distribution, for example, boxplot, letter value, violin, ridge or highest density region plots
- Facet (split plots): C_2

6.1 Choice of statistical transformations and geometric objects

Choice of plots are dictated by the statistical transformations and geometric objects used for the visualization. The basic plot choice for our data structure is the one that can display distributions. We will discuss few conventional and recent ways to plot distributions using both Kernel density estimates and descriptive statistics. Descriptive statistics based displays include box plots (Tukey 1977) or different variations of it like Notched box plots (Mcgill et al. 1978). More recent ways are the letter-value box plot (Hofmann et al. 2017) or Quantile plots which display quantiles instead of quartiles in a traditional boxplot. Kernel density based plots for displaying a distribution include violin plots (Hintze & Nelson 1998), summary plot (Potter et al. 2010), ridge line plots, highest density regions (HDR) box (Hyndman 1996). Each type of density display has different parameters, that need to be estimated given the data. Each is equipped with some benefits and challenges which should be borne in mind while using them for exploration.

6.2 Facet and aesthetic variables

6.2.1 Levels

We will discuss the effect of the levels of cyclic granularities on the choice of plots since space and resolution might become a problem if the number of levels are too high. A potential approach could be to categorize the levels as very high/high/medium/low for each cyclic granularity and define some criteria based on usual cognitive power, display size available

and the aesthetic mappings. Default values for these categorizations can be chosen based on levels of common temporal granularities like days-of-month, days-of-fortnight and days-of-week.

6.2.2 Synergy of cyclic granularities

If there are levels of cyclic granularities plotted across x-axis which are not spanned by levels of cyclic granularities plotted across facets or vice versa, we will have empty sets leading to potential ineffective graphs. We hypothesize that the synergy of these cyclic granularities are thus playing a role while deciding if the resulting plot would be a good candidate for exploratory analysis. Harmonies are pairs of granularities that do not contain empty combinations and thus aid exploratory data analysis, and clashes are pairs that do not aid the process of exploratory analysis because they contain empty combinations. As a result, we should avoid plotting clashes. For illustration, Figure 5 (a) shows the distribution of half-hourly electricity consumption through letter value plot across months of the year faceted by quarter-of-year. This plot does not work because every quarter does not correspond to all months of the year, for example, the first quarter would not correspond to the month December of an year.

6.2.3 Interchangeability of mappings

We will discuss the effect of the mapping of cyclic granularities in this section. When we consider data sets of the form $<C_1, C_2, v>$ with C_1 mapped to x position and C_2 to facets, then A_i's are placed in close proximity and each B_j represent a group/facet. Gestalt theory suggests that when items are placed in close proximity, people assume that they are in the same group because they are close to one another and apart from other groups. Hence, in this case A_i's are compared against each other within each group. With the mapping of $C1$ and C_2 reversed, emphasis will shift to different behavior of the variables. Figure 5 (b) shows the letter value plot across weekday/weekend faceted by quarter-of-year and Figure 5 (c) shows the same two cyclic granularities with their mapping reversed. Figure 5 (b) helps us to compare weekday and weekend within each quarter and Figure 5 (c) helps to compare quarters within weekend and weekday.

Figure 5: Distribution of energy consumption displayed through letter value plots. Plot (a) displays consumption across month-of-year faceted by quarter-of-year, (b) across weekday/weekend faceted by quarter-of-year and (c) across quarter-of-year faceted by weekday/weekend. Plots (b) and (c) both show harmonies since each quarter consists of both weekdays and weekends. Conversely, weekend and weekday can occur in every quarter. Plot (b) helps to compare weekends and weekdays for each quarter. It can be seen that for every quarter weekend and weekday consumption are fairly similar except for the second quarter where the letter values below D and E behave differently, whereas, Plot (c) helps to compare quarters within weekdays and weekends. For example, the quartile spread of consumption shrinks/lowers from first to fourth quarter for weekdays, whereas this pattern is not true for weekends. Plot (a) shows a clash since all quarters do not correspond to all months of the year.

6.3 Number of observations and statistical transformations

Visualizing distributions can be misleading if statistical transformations are performed on rarely occurring categories (5.3) or unevenly distributed events.

Even when there are no rarely occurring events, number of observations might vary hugely within or across each facet. This might happen due to missing observations in the data or uneven locations of events in time domain. In such cases, the statistical transformations based on density should be used with caution as sample sizes would directly affect both the variance and consequently the confidence interval of the estimators.

7 Applications

7.1 Smart meter data of Australia

Smart meters provide large quantities of measurements on energy usage for households across Australia. One of the customer trial (Department of the Environment and Energy 2018) conducted as part of the Smart Grid Smart City project in Newcastle, New South Wales and some parts of Sydney provides customer wise data on energy consumption for every half hour from February 2012 to March 2014. The idea here is to show how to look at the energy consumption across different cyclic granularities in a systematic way to identify different behavioral patterns.

7.1.1 Cyclic granularities search and computation:

R package **gravitas** (Gupta et al. 2019) is used to facilitate the systematic exploration here. While trying to explore the energy behavior of these customers systematically across cyclic time granularities, the first thing we should have at our disposal is to know which all cyclic time granularities we can look at exhaustively. Let us consider conventional time deconstructions for a Gregorian calendar (second, minute, half-hour, hour, day, week, month, year). Since the interval of this tsibble is 30 minutes, the temporal granularities may range from half-hour to year. Considering 6 linear granularities half-hour, hour, day, week, month, year, there could be $(6*5/2) = 15$ circular or quasi-circular granularities

that could be formed relating two linear granularities at a time. If these options are considered too many, the most coarse temporal unit can be set to a "month" and some intermediate temporal units like hhour could be dropped. Then we will be left with six cyclic granularites namely "hour_day", "hour_week", "hour_month", "day_week", "day_month" and "week_month". Now that we have a list of cyclic granularities to look at, we should be able to compute the multiple-order-up granularities using Section 4. with the index set mapping half-hourly time instants to a set of positive integers.

7.1.2 Screening and visualizing harmonies

From the search list, we found six cyclic granularities for which we would like to derive insights of energy behavior. Recalling the data structure $<C1, C2,$ `general_supply_kwh`$>$ that we would use for exploration, each of these six cyclic granularities can either be mapped to x-axis or to facet. Thus the problem is equivalent to choosing 2 granularities at a time from 6, which essentially is equivalent to having 30 data subsets for visualization. However, harmony/clash pairs can be identified among those 30 possibilities to determine feasibility of plotting pairs together. Table 5 shows 13 harmonies pairs with any cyclic granularities with levels more than 31 removed as effective exploration becomes difficult with many levels (Section 6.2.1). For each of Figure 6 (b) and (c), $C1$ is the circular granularity day-type (weekday/ weekend) and $C2$ is hour of the day. The geometry used for displaying the distribution is chosen as area-quantiles in Figure 6 (b) and violins for Figure 6 (b). Figure 6 (a) displays reverse mapping of $C1$ and $C2$ with $C1$ now denoting hour of the day and $C2$ denoting day-type and geometry for displaying distribution is boxplots.

In Figure 6 (b), the distribution of energy consumption is plotted across the harmony pair (wknd_wday, hour_day) through an area quantile plot. The black line is the median, whereas the pink band covers 25th to 75th percentile, the orange band covers 10th to 90th percentile and the green band covers 1st to 99th percentile. The first facet represents the weekday behavior while the second one displays the weekend behavior and energy consumption across each hours of the day is shown inside each facet. The energy consumption is extremely skewed with 1st, 10th and 25th percentile lying very close whereas 75th, 90th and 99th lying further away from each other. This is common across both weekdays and

Table 5: Harmonies with two cyclic granularity one placed on facet and the other on x-axis along with their number of categories/levels. Out of 30 possible combinations of cyclic granularities, only these 13 are harmony pairs. The harmony pairs are ranked basis the average maximum variation which captures maximum pairwise distance between x-axis levels for each facets and then average them over facets.

facet variable	x-axis variable	facet levels	x-axis levels	average maximum variation
week_month	hour_day	5	24	0.38
week_month	day_week	5	7	0.34
day_week	hour_day	7	24	0.29
day_week	week_month	7	5	0.29
day_week	day_month	7	31	0.20
hour_day	day_week	24	7	0.05
hour_day	day_month	24	31	0.05
hour_day	week_month	24	5	0.05
day_month	hour_day	31	24	0.04
day_month	day_week	31	7	0.04

weekends. For the first few hours on weekdays, median energy consumption starts and continues to be higher for longer as compared to weekends.

Consider looking at violin plots instead of quantile plots to look at the same data in Figure 6(c). There is additional information that we can derive looking at the distribution. There is bimodality in the early hours of the day, implying both low and high energy consumption is probable in the early hours of the day both for weekdays and weekends. Also hours from 7 to 13 look most volatile. If we visualize the same data with reverse mapping of the cyclic granularities, then the natural tendency would be to compare weekend and weekday behavior within each hour and not across hours. For example in Figure 6(a), it can be seen that median energy consumption for the early morning hours is extremely high for weekdays compared to weekends. Also, outliers are more prominent in the latter part of the day. All of these indicates that looking at different distribution plots or changing the mapping might shed lights on different aspect of the energy behavior for the same customer.

If the data for all keys are visualized together, it might lead to Simpson's paradox, which occurs when one `customer_id` shows a particular behavior, but this behavior is reversed when all keys are combined together. This is also intuitive because heterogeneous `customer_id`'s with very different occupation or demographics will tend to have very different energy behavior and combining them together will somehow weaken any typical or extreme behavior. A strategy for analyzing multiple keys together could be to first group them basis time series or demographic features and then look at their energy behavior. This is beyond the scope of the current work.

This case study shows systematic exploration of energy behavior for a random household to gain some insights on periodic behavior of the households. First, it helps us to find the list of cyclic granularities to look at, then shrinks the number of possible visualizations by identifying harmonies, visualize a harmony pair and shows the effect of different distribution plots or reverse mapping.

Figure 6: Energy consumption of a single customer shown with different distribution displays, and granularity arrangements. Two granularities are used: hour of the day (I) and weekday/weekend (II). Plot (a) shows granularity I facetted by granularity II, and plots (b), (c) shows the converse mapping. Plot (a) makes a comparison of usage by workday within each hour of the day using side-by-side boxplots. Generally, on a work day there is more consumption early in the day. Plots (b) and (c) examine the temporal trend of consumption over the course of a day, separately for the type of day. Plot (b) uses an area quantile to put the emphasis on the time series, for example, the median consumption over time shows prolonged usage in the morning on weekdays. Plot (c) uses a violin plot to place emphasis on distributional differences across hours. It can be seen that the morning use on weekdays is bimodal, some work days there is low usage, which might indicate the person is working from home and also having a late start.

7.2 T20 cricket data of Indian Premiere League

The method is not only restricted to temporal data. We provide an example of cricket to illustrate how this can be generalized to other applications. Although there is no conventional time component in cricket, each ball can be thought to represent an ordering from past to future with the game progressing forward with each ball. In a Twenty20 game, an over will consist of 6 balls (with some exceptions), an innings is restricted to a maximum of 20 overs, a match will consist of 2 innings and a season consists of several matches. Thus similar to time, there is a hierarchy where ball is nested within overs, overs nested within innings and innings within matches. An idea of cyclic granularities can be conceived with this hierarchy in mind. Examples may include ball of the over, over of the innings or ball of the innings. Although most of these cyclic granularities are circular in theory by design of the hierarchy, in reality these may be aperiodic. For example, in most cases an over will consist of 6 balls with some exceptions like wide balls or when an inning finishes before the over finishes. Thus, the cyclic granularity ball-of-over will be circular in most cases and aperiodic in others.

The Indian Premier League (IPL) is a professional Twenty20 cricket league in India contested by eight teams representing eight different cities in India. The ball by ball data for IPL season 2008 to 2016 is fetched from Kaggle. The `cricket` data set in the `gravitas` package summarizes the ball-by-ball data across overs and contains information for a sample of 214 matches spanning 9 seasons (2008 to 2016) such that each over has 6 balls, each inning has 20 overs and each match has 2 innings. This could be useful in a periodic world when we wish to compute any circular/quasi-circular granularity based on a hierarchy table which look like Table 6.

However, even if the situation is not periodic and a similar hierarchy can not be formed, it can be interesting to visualize the distribution of a measured variable across relevant cyclic granularities to throw light on the periodic behavior of a non-temporal data set similar to any temporal data set. There are many interesting questions that can possibly be answered with such a data set irrespective of the type of cyclic granularities.

First, it would be interesting to see if the distribution of total runs vary depending on if a team bats first or second? Mumbai Indians (MI) and Chennai Super kings (CSK)

Table 6: Hierarchy table for cricket with each over is nested within innings, innings nested within match and match within season.

linear (G)	single-order-up cyclic (C)	period length/conversion operator (K)
over	over-of-inning	20
inning	inning-of-match	2
match	match-of-season	k(match, season)
season	1	1

appeared in final playoffs from 2010 to 2015. We take their example in order to dive deeper into this question. From Figure 7(a), it can be observed that there is no clear upward shift in median and quartile deviation of runs in the second innings as compared to the first innings. This might indicate that players are vulnerable to score through each over and make more runs as they approach towards the end of the first innings, whereas if they bat in the second innings they have a target in mind and are not as vulnerable. Thus winning teams like CSK and MI have indeed different strategies when it comes to batting in the first or second innings.

Another interesting question could be if runs per over set to reduce in subsequent over if fielding is good in the previous over? For establishing that the fielder fielded well in a particular over, we can see how many catches and run outs were made in that particular over. Dismissals in previous over can lead to a batsman being either defensive or be more resilient. Figure 7(b) shows that no dismissals in the previous over will lead to a higher median and quartile spread of run rates compared to the case when there has been at least one dismissal.

Wickets per over are considered as aperiodic cyclic granularities with wickets as aperiodic linear granularities. These granularities do not appear in the hierarchy table since it is difficult to position them in a hierarchy. These are similar to holidays or special events in temporal data.

Figure 7: Runs per over shown with different distribution displays, and granularity arrangements. Plot (a) shows letter value plot across overs faceted by innings. There is no upward shift in runs in the second innings like that in the first implying teams are vulnerable to score more in the first innings as they approach the end of the inning. Plot (b) shows box plot across number of wickets in previous over faceted by current over. This indicates that at least one wicket in the previous over leads to lower median run rate and quartile spread in the subsequent over.

8 Discussion

Exploratory data analysis is iteratively finding and summarizing patterns. With temporal data available at more finer scales, exploring periodicity can become overwhelming with so many possible cyclic granularities that could be generated for a context. This work provides a framework to systematically explore distribution of an univariate measured variable across two cyclic time granularities by creating any cyclic granularity, choosing a list of harmonies and thereby recommending possible distribution plots for effective visualization based on relationship and levels of the cyclic granularities.

A missing piece is to enable user-defined temporal calendars. Also, computation of cyclic aperiodic granularities would require computing aperiodic linear granularities first. A few R packages like `almanac` and `gs` provide functionality to create recurring events that are not periodic. These functions can be imported in the `gravitas` package to accommodate for aperiodic cyclic granularities.

Acknowledgements

9 Supplemental Materials

Data and scripts: Data sets and R codes to reproduce all figures in this article (main.Rmd).

R-package: The ideas presented in this article have been implemented in the open-source R (R Core Team 2019) package gravitas (Gupta et al. 2019), available from CRAN. The R-package facilitates manipulation of single and multiple-order-up time granularities through cyclic calendar algebra, check feasibility of creating plots or drawing inferences for any two cyclic granularities by providing list of harmonies and recommend prospective probability distributions through factors described in the article. Version 0.1.2 of the package was used for the results presented in the article and is available from Github (`https://github.com/Sayani07/gravitas`).

R-packages: Each of the R packages used in this article are available online (URLs are provided in the bibliography).

References

Aigner, W., Miksch, S., Schumann, H. & Tominski, C. (2011), *Visualization of time-oriented data*, Springer Science & Business Media.

Allaire, J., Xie, Y., McPherson, J., Luraschi, J., Ushey, K., Atkins, A., Wickham, H., Cheng, J., Chang, W. & Iannone, R. (2020), *rmarkdown: Dynamic Documents for R*. R package version 2.1.
   URL: *https://github.com/rstudio/rmarkdown*

Bettini, C. & De Sibi, R. (2000), 'Symbolic representation of user-defined time granularities', *Ann. Math. Artif. Intell.* **30**(1), 53–92.

Bettini, C., Dyreson, C. E., Evans, W. S., Snodgrass, R. T. & Wang, X. S. (1998), A glossary of time granularity concepts, *in* O. Etzion, S. Jajodia & S. Sripada, eds, 'Temporal Databases: Research and Practice', Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 406–413.

Department of the Environment and Energy (2018), *Smart-Grid Smart-City Customer Trial Data*, Australian Government, Department of the Environment and Energy.
   URL: *https://data.gov.au/dataset/4e21dea3-9b87-4610-94c7-15a8a77907ef*

Dyreson, C., Evans, W., Lin, H. & Snodgrass, R. (2000), 'Efficiently supporting temporal granularities', *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* **12**(4), 568–587.

Goodwin, S. & Dykes, J. (2012), Visualising variations in household energy consumption, *in* '2012 IEEE Conference on Visual Analytics Science and Technology (VAST)', IEEE.

Grolemund, G. & Wickham, H. (2011), 'Dates and times made easy with lubridate', *Journal of Statistical Software* **40**(3), 1–25.
URL: *http://www.jstatsoft.org/v40/i03/*

Grolemund, G. & Wickham, H. (2017), *R for data science*, O'Reilly Media.

Gupta, S., Hyndman, R., Cook, D. & Unwin, A. (2019), *gravitas: Explore Probability Distributions for Bivariate Temporal Granularities*. R package version 0.1.0.
URL: *https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gravitas*

Hintze, J. L. & Nelson, R. D. (1998), 'Violin plots: A box Plot-Density trace synergism', *Am. Stat.* **52**(2), 181–184.

Hofmann, H., Wickham, H. & Kafadar, K. (2017), 'Letter-Value plots: Boxplots for large data', *J. Comput. Graph. Stat.* **26**(3), 469–477.

Hyndman, R. J. (1996), 'Computing and graphing highest density regions', *Am. Stat.* **50**(2), 120–126.

Mcgill, R., Tukey, J. W. & Larsen, W. A. (1978), 'Variations of box plots', *Am. Stat.* **32**(1), 12–16.

Ning, P., Wang, X. S. & Jajodia, S. (2002), 'An algebraic representation of calendars', *Ann. Math. Artif. Intell.* **36**(1), 5–38.

Potter, K., Kniss, J., Riesenfeld, R. & Johnson, C. R. (2010), 'Visualizing summary statistics and uncertainty', *Comput. Graph. Forum* **29**(3), 823–832.

R Core Team (2019), *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
URL: *https://www.R-project.org/*

Reingold, E. M. & Dershowitz, N. (2001), *Calendrical Calculations*, millennium edition edn, Cambridge University Press.

Tukey, J. W. (1977), *Exploratory data analysis*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.

Wang, E., Cook, D. & Hyndman, R. J. (2020*a*), 'Calendar-based graphics for visualizing people's daily schedules', *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* . to appear.

Wang, E., Cook, D. & Hyndman, R. J. (2020*b*), 'A new tidy data structure to support exploration and modeling of temporal data', *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* . to appear.

Wickham, H. (2016), *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*, Springer-Verlag New York.
URL: *http://ggplot2.org*

Wilkinson, L. (1999), *The Grammar of Graphics*, Springer, New York.

Xie, Y. (2015), *Dynamic Documents with R and knitr*, 2nd edn, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida.
URL: *https://yihui.name/knitr/*

Xie, Y. (2020), *knitr: A General-Purpose Package for Dynamic Report Generation in R*. R package version 1.28.
URL: *https://yihui.org/knitr/*

Xie, Y., Allaire, J. & Grolemund, G. (2018), *R Markdown: The Definitive Guide*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida.
URL: *https://bookdown.org/yihui/rmarkdown*