

Rajib & Sayma	Motivating Topic	Research Questions	Lit Review & Contributions	Methods & Feasibility	Overall Presentation	Totals
Peers	2.67	2.56	2.33	2.56	2.56	12.67
Pam	4	3	2.5	2.75	3	15.25
					<b>Average</b>	13.96
					<b>Final Grade</b>	70%

#### Pam Feedback:

- See my handwritten notes on your printed rubric
- Motivation and research questions were better than in the original paper.

#### Positive Feedback:

- Good definition of how working with Airbnb host is important and aligned with their research.
- The background space of the problem was well explained in different areas of detail
- The presenters gave understandable examples of smart home devices in the introduction section. Overall, the presenters did a good job introducing their research topic
- Awesome motivation. The problems of privacy and security were presented in a way that makes sense.
- The literature review was very detailed.
- It was nice that the term 'Sharing Economy' was defined when it was first used in the presentation. This made it helpful to know what the topic was and helped to prevent getting lost when it was used several times later in the presentation.
- Your literature review was comprehensive and well scoped
- Their literature review was very vast and informative.
- Good use of the Slides as a spring board rather than a crutch
- It is a really cool and important research topic.
- Research Questions were scoped well
- The presenters clearly motivated the research topic by stating that there is a need to study and know how Airbnb hosts share the smart home devices with their guests and if there are privacy concerns that hosts are aware of or are not aware of when using the shared smart home devices
- The first topic for the literature review was concept-centric and very strong in backing up the research.
- The methods were comprehensive and explained in good detail. They provided good details on what will be included in preliminary survey.
- It seemed like the presenters were nervous at the very beginning, but then they quickly got over it and didn't seem nervous beyond the first slide. Good job, that isn't easy to do. They spoke clearly and were understandable.
- Your slides were well designed
- Lit review was put in 2 sections which helped understand their vast literature.
- Rajib had good eye contact and body language
- Presenters did good research on the current state of the art to provide facts to us that this is important and valuable - and also novel.
- Literature was extensively covered in a high level of detail
- The presenters demonstrated methods in details by showing that they will have a pre-screening survey, reach out to 50 potential participants and choose 10 of them who meet the study

requirement criteria. Additionally, the presenters gave examples of interview questions they will ask their participants

- The presentation was well organized and I was able to understand your project in good detail
- Appreciation for Sayma for working on and doing a good job with public speaking!
- Good job motivating the topic. I got a real sense of why the research needs to be done. It would be helpful to have a 'guest mode' or something similar on smart devices for renting property such as AirBnB. It makes me wonder if hotels/motels would need the same kind of thing to give their guests access to music and other features in their rooms. As mentioned, too many of these devices are designed for personal use by a single person. I hope your research results turn out well and motivate companies to incorporate such features.
- Your expected timeline seems reasonable and thorough.
- Their research topic (after Pam pointed it out) seems novel.
- Simplistic slides that contained useful information and didn't distract the audience from what was being said.

#### **Constructive Feedback:**

- They had challenges with the presentation flow. Maybe practicing their presentation more can improve that flow.
- A lot of time was spent reading off the slides instead of physically engaging with the audience
- The presenter said they would reach out to 50 Airbnb hosts and select only 10 of them. However, it would be better to have a clear goal on how many participants will be recruited. Probably reaching out to 50 participants will not be enough (depending on the response rate)
- The literature review was somewhat lacking. I would like to see one more topic/area of research to tie into your research.
- In the motivation for the project, it was confusing whether they were motivated to work on better security for smart home devices or better sharing (since both of these factors were mentioned).
- Early into the presentation, a study in 2018 was mentioned, but I didn't catch the researcher's names and there was no citation for it in the slide. When mentioning studies, credit should be given to the researchers. This will also add credit to the statement and it will help to be consistent with the rest of the slides which had citations.
- Rajib seemed like he needed to read each section of his slides before discussing them. It might have helped if he'd practiced the presentation more or if you used the powerpoint presenter view that lets you see the slide that is coming next
- It was initially a little tough to understand their topic. They could have explained it with more clarity early on.
- You could have summed up your literature review better by picking specific research done on each subtopic and talking about them instead of everything.
- The lit review wasn't presented that well, it should be concept specific presentation not separate research specific. It provided a lot of details about separate lit reviews. It looked like they did a lot of work and a good work - but maybe structuring it a little better will be better transferred to us- the audience.
- The slides themselves tended to be overloaded with information that made it hard to follow the general idea of each slide

- The presenters should introduce and explain related work done on users sharing their smart home devices. Especially, the presenters should cover the research done with Airbnb users as it is directly related to their research topic. The presenters said that they are going to investigate which smart home devices Airbnb hosts are using. But has not it already been researched? It should be clearly stated
- The second literature review topic presented (about Airbnb specifically) could use more sources, as it seems not to strengthen your research much otherwise
- Hardly any time was spent on explaining the research questions, they were barely skimmed over. The focus of the project would be understood better if more time was spent on the RQs.
- IoT was mentioned several times and seemed like a critical term, but I didn't catch it being defined. When a term or acronym is critical to the work, it is helpful to take extra care to make sure the definition is received by the audience so they do not get lost. Never assume the audience knows a technical term or an acronym as many acronyms have different meanings across different industries.
- I had some trouble understanding the problem that motivated your research. I didn't really get a sense that sharing smart home devices was a big problem for Airbnb hosts. Concrete examples of some of the issues they face might have helped with this
- They could have explained that their target were 10 Airbnb hosts instead of specifying the initial 50.
- Putting your contribution after the literature review would make more sense as your talking about what has been done and what you'll add to it.
- Better structure for the goal of the research, of what it is and what you are actually trying to find answers to. I am biased about what Pam said, but it makes sense.
- Methods seemed at odds with the research questions - asking questions concerning both users of shared economies but only involving property renters in the study
- Overall, the presentation slides are needed to be more organized and consistent. For example, the literature review slide had a lot of sentences in it, the content should be more representative and include key details about the researched topic
- I would have liked to know more about your recruitment strategy and why the goal is to recruit only 5 participants.
- Some comments for the literature review: Although a detailed lit review was done, the presenters did not clarify how their research was different from what was already done. Some literature talked about smart home device sharing between families when that was not exactly the focus of their project. Lastly, a lot of the time was spent on literature review.
- A lot of time was spent on the related work section and there were a lot of related works. It would be helpful to lump the related works together and give a brief overview rather than listing individual contributions. Having so much detail mentioned in the related works section caused me to get lost, forget what the project was proposing, and lose understanding on how it is different from so many works. I'd recommend shortening this section and instead use the time for going into more detail on some of the other slides.
- I would have liked to hear more about your method and the types of questions that you'll be asking in the interviews. I got the sense that you'd thought things through but the presentation seemed a little light on details.
- It wasn't explained what the participants compensation was.

- Sayma shouldn't have sat down after she finished talking as it appeared she was bored of the presentation and gave off the feeling of not caring about the presentation itself.