

Project One Oral Presentation Marking Rubric							Comments
Section/Marks	0 (Fail)	1 (Pass)	2 (Credit)	3 (Distinction)	4 (High Distinction)	Total	
Research question(s)/Aim(s)	No research question(s) or aim(s) stated	Vaguely stated	Clearly stated			x1	
Hypotheses	No hypotheses stated	H0 & H1 stated but not testable; only H0 or H1 stated	Both H0 & H1 stated & testable			x 1	
Methods <i>recount of validation procedure(s), data analysis with appropriate level of detail to allow replication</i>	No methods	Insufficient details, poorly organized, lacking logical flow	Satisfactory	Very good	Excellent	x2	
Results - display & analysis	No results	Results explained poorly, incompletely or inappropriately; results displayed inappropriately or no analysis	Satisfactory description & display of results & analysis	Very good description & display of results & analysis	Excellent description & display of results & analysis	x2	
Conclusion(s) <i>linkage between results, hypotheses & research question(s)/aim(s)</i>	No or very poor conclusions	Lacked complete or clear connection(s) between research question(s) & results	Satisfactory connection(s) /summary between research questions & results	Very good connection(s) /summary between research questions & results	Excellent connection(s) /summary between research questions & results	x2	
Limitations & future improvements	None identified	Vaguely stated, with little/no thought as to how or why limitations impacted results; impractical improvements	Satisfactory statement of limitation(s) but without clear explanation of impact; satisfactory improvement(s) suggested but without clear explanation of impact	Very good statement of limitation(s) & improvement (s) showing some critical thinking	Excellent statement of limitation(s) & improvement(s) showing insightful, critical thinking by identifying how limitations & improvements would affect results	x1	
Presentation <i>Quality of visuals</i> easy to read easy to understand no spelling/grammar/punctuation errors appropriate amount of text appropriate in-slide reference format	Only 1	Only 2	Only 3	Only 4	All 5	x1	
<i>Quality of communication</i> audible & clearly spoken appropriate pace referred to slides engaging smooth transition between speakers appropriate behaviour	Only 1	Only 2	Only 3	Only 4	All 6	x1	
Answer(s) to audience questions	Unable to answer most questions correctly	Answered easy questions & any 1 of the following: answers lacked detail, had errors, or were disorganized	Answered easy questions well; showed limited depth of understanding	Answered moderately difficult questions well, showed moderate depth of understanding	Excellent answers to all questions - focused, correct, excellent level of detail & understanding	x2	
Final Total /44 marks							
Marker's Name:	Project One Team number:						