

Analysis In Several Variables Homework 2

Spencer Brouhard

February 2024

1 Question 1

Show that the sup and inf are uniquely determined:

Let S be a non-empty subset of \mathbb{R}

Suppose $\exists x_1, x_2$ such that $x_1 = \text{Sup}(S)$ and $x_2 = \text{Sup}(S)$ but $x_1 \neq x_2$

Since $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, one of the following holds by Field Axiom 6

a) $x_1 = x_2$:

It is stated that $x_1 \neq x_2 \rightarrow \leftarrow$

b) $x_1 < x_2$:

$x_2 = \text{Sup}(S)$ which means that there is no real number less than x_2 which is an upper bound of S , but $x_1 < x_2$ and is an upper bound. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

c) $x_2 < x_1$:

$x_1 = \text{Sup}(S)$ which means that there is no real number less than x_1 which is an upper bound of S , but $x_2 < x_1$ and is an upper bound. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

Therefore $\text{Sup}(S)$ is unique

Suppose $\exists x_1, x_2$ such that $x_1 = \text{Inf}(S)$ and $x_2 = \text{Inf}(S)$ but $x_1 \neq x_2$

Since $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, one of the following holds by Field Axiom 6

a) $x_1 = x_2$:

It is stated that $x_1 \neq x_2 \rightarrow \leftarrow$

b) $x_1 > x_2$:

$x_2 = \text{Inf}(S)$ which means that there is no real number greater than x_2 which is a lower bound of S , but $x_1 > x_2$ and is a lower bound. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

c) $x_2 > x_1$:

$x_1 = \text{Inf}(S)$ which means that there is no real number greater than x_1 which is a lower bound of S , but $x_2 > x_1$ and is a lower bound. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

Therefore $\text{Inf}(S)$ is unique

2 Question 2

Let A, B be positive non-empty subsets of \mathbb{R} where $a = \text{Sup}(A)$ and $b = \text{Sup}(B)$.
Let C be the set of all products of the form xy where $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Prove that $ab = \text{Sup}(C)$

Part 1: Show that ab is an upper bound of C

By the definition of Sup :

$$\forall x \in A, x \leq a \text{ and } \forall y \in B, y \leq b$$

$$xy \leq ay$$

$$xy \leq ab \text{ as } y \leq b$$

Since all product $xy \leq ab$, ab is an upper bound of C

Part 2: Show ab is the least upper bound of C

Assume towards $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ that ab is not the least upper bound of C

Let $w = \text{Sup}(C)$

We have $w < ab$

$w = x_1y_1$ where $x_1 \in A$ and $y_1 \in B$ Note: We can do this because we know that $w < ab$, so we must be able to multiply to w from elements in a and b

By the approximation theorem we have:

$\exists x_2y_2 \in A, B$ where

$$x_1 < x_2 \leq a \text{ and}$$

$$y_1 < y_2 \leq b$$

And since C is the set of all products of the elements of A and B , $x_2y_2 \in C$ but

$$x_2y_2 > x_1y_1 \Rightarrow x_2y_2 > w$$

$\rightarrow\leftarrow$

Therefore ab is the least upper bound of C

Done!

3 Question 3

Suppose that $S, T \subset \mathbb{R}$ are nonempty and

$$\forall s \in S \exists t \in T \text{ such that } s \leq t$$

Show: $\text{Sup}(S) \leq \text{Sup}(T)$

Assume towards contradiction that $\text{Sup}(S) > \text{Sup}(T)$

$\text{Sup}(S)$ is:

a) An upper bound for S : $\forall s \in S, s \leq \text{Sup}(S)$

b) The least upper bound: $\nexists x \in S$ s.t. $\forall s \in S, s \leq x \leq \text{Sup}(S)$

Consider the value $\text{Sup}(T)$

We have $\forall t \in T, t \leq \text{Sup}(T)$ and $\text{Sup}(T) < \text{Sup}(S)$

But $\forall s \in S, \exists t \in T$ s.t. $s \leq t$

Therefore $\text{Sup}(T)$ must be an upper bound of S as well

But $\text{Sup}(T) < \text{Sup}(S)$ which contradicts $\text{Sup}(S)$ being the least upper bound.
Therefore $\text{Sup}(S) \leq \text{Sup}(T)$

4 Question 4

Let $S, T \subset \mathbb{R}$ be non empty sets where

$\forall s \in S$ and $t \in T, s \leq t$

Show $\text{Sup}(S) \leq \text{Inf}(T)$

Part 1: Show that the inf and sup exist:

Show $\text{Sup}(S)$ exists:

Fix $t \in T$

Since $\forall s \in S, s \leq t$, t is an upper bound of S

Therefore S is bounded above

By the completeness axiom, S has a supremum

Show $\text{Inf}(T)$ exists:

Fix $s \in S$

Since $\forall t \in T, t \geq s$, s must be a lower bound of T

Since T has a lower bound, it is bounded below

Therefore, T has an infimum. Note: The book claims this is a consequence of the completeness axiom

Part 2: Show that $\text{Sup}(S) \leq \text{Inf}(T)$

Assume towards a contradiction that $\text{Sup}(S) > \text{Inf}(T)$

Fix some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{Inf}(T) < a < \text{Sup}(S)$

By the approximation property:

$\exists x \in S$ s.t. $a < x \leq \text{Sup}(S)$

$\exists y \in T$ s.t. $\text{Inf}(T) \leq y < a$

From this we have:

$y < a < x \Rightarrow y < x$

But $y \in T$ and $x \in S$

This is a contradiction since every element in S is less than every element in T

Therefore $\text{Sup}(S) \leq \text{Inf}(T)$

Done!

The reason these properties are stronger:

Question 3 is a stronger form of the comparison property as it does not require the sets to be disjoint from each other. It only requires that there is some t which is greater than every element s , not that every t is greater than every s

Question 4 is stronger as it is showing that not only is $\text{Sup}(T)$ greater than $\text{Sup}(S)$, but $\text{Inf}(T)$ is greater than $\text{Sup}(S)$! Greatest lower bound is still greater than the least upper bound.