# Analysis In Several Variables Homework 8

### Spencer Brouhard

## March 2024

#### Question 1 1

Let F be a collection of sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $S = \bigcup_{A \in F} A$  and  $T = \bigcap_{A \in F} A$ Either prove or provide a counterexample to the following:

If x is an accumulation point of T, then x is an accumulation point of each set A in F

This statement is true

Let x be an accumulation point of T

 $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \ B(x,r) \cap (T - \{x\} \neq \phi)$ 

Therefore for all open balls centered at x,  $\exists y \in B(x,r) \cap (T-\{x\})$  which is not equal to x

Since  $y \in T$ ,  $y \in A$  for each  $A \in T$ 

Therefore for all r, the open ball centered at x of radius r contains an element y in each A, therefore  $x \in A'$  (for each A) Done!

If x is an accumulation point of S, then x is an accumulation point of at least one set A in F

Consider  $F = \{(0, x) | x = \frac{n}{n+1} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  $F = \{(0, \frac{1}{2}), (0, \frac{2}{3}), (0, \frac{3}{4})...\}$ 

 $\bigcup_{A \in F} A = (0,1)$ 

1 is an accumulation point of  $\bigcup_{A \in F} A$ , but is not an accumulation point of any individual  $A \in F$ 

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Question 2

Prove that the set S of rational numbers in the interval (0,1) can not be expressed as the intersection of a con- $\overline{S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3...\}}$ 

Assume towards contradiction that S can be expressed as the intersection of a countable collection of open sets

$$S = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$$

Let  $x_1$  be a rational number in S

 $S_1$  is an open set, therefore it is the union of disjoint component intervals  $x_1$  is an element of <u>one</u> of these component intervals (a,b)

Let  $Q_1$  be a closed interval from [c,d] s.t.  $x_1 \notin Q_1$  and  $Q_1 \subseteq (a,b)$ 

Note: we can do this by making the closed interval  $[a + \epsilon, x - \epsilon]$  for some  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Now let  $x_2$  be a rational number in  $Q_1$ . Therefore  $x_2 \in S$  and  $x_2 \in S_2$ Since  $x_2 \in S_2$ , we can construct another closed interval  $Q_2 \subseteq S_2$  with the same logic

Add the condition that  $Q_2 \subseteq Q_1$  (this only requires that the endpoints of  $Q_2$ are greater than/less than the endpoints of  $Q_1$  and a rational number clearly exists in a closed interval which meets this requirement

Note that  $Q_2 \subseteq Q_1 \subseteq S_1 \cap S_2$ 

Continue inductively

By cantor's intersection theorem,  $\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k \neq \phi$ This is a contradiction, as this means there exists a rational number which is not contained in any of the  $S_k s$ 

Done!

#### 3 Question 3

Give an example of a set S which is closed but not bounded and exhibit a countable open covering F s.t. no finite subset of F covers SLet  $S = \mathbb{Z}^+$ 

This is a closed set since it contains all of its accumulation points vacuously A countable open cover of this set is  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (0,n)$ 

This cover has no finite subcover

## Question 5

Given a set S in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the property that for every x in S there is an n-ball B(x,r) such that  $B(x,r)\cap S$  is countable Prove that S is countable

For each  $x \in S$ , let  $A_x = B(x,r)$  where B(x,r) is an n-ball who's intersection with S is countable

Fact:  $F = \bigcup_{A \in F} A$  is an open cover of S Proof: fix  $x \in S$ 

```
x \in A_x therefore x \in F
```

```
By the Lindelof covering theorem, there is some countable subcover of F called G which also covers S Since G is countable, it can be written \{G_1,G_2,G_3...\} S=S\cap (G_1\cup G_2\cup G_3...) By demorgans: S=\bigcup_{G_i\in G}(S\cap G_i) And each G_i is an open ball which has countably many points intercepted with
```

Therefore S is the countable union of countable sets, which is countable Therefore S is countable

# 5 Question 6

This is the contrapositive of question 5 which is already proven