# Analysis In Several Variables Homework 10

#### Spencer Brouhard

#### March 2024

### 1 Question 1

Show  $int(\partial A) = \phi$  if A is open or A is closed

If A is open:

Every point in A is an interior point

For some  $y \in \partial A$ ,  $\exists x \in B(y)$  such that  $\exists B(x) \subseteq A$  (If y is a boundary point, then every open ball contains a point x which is an interior point of A)

If  $y \in int(\partial A)$ ,  $\exists B(y)$  such that  $B(y) \in \partial A$ 

There is some open ball which contains only boundary points of A. But we have every open ball contains an interior point (which by definition is not boundary point), therefore there are no points in  $int(\partial A)$  and  $int(\partial A) = \phi$ 

If A is closed it is the compliment of an open set M-A and the  $\partial A=\partial\{M-A\}$  (I think this proof is trivial! It falls out of the definition of a boundary point) Therefore  $int(\partial A)=int(\partial\{M-A\})$  and since this holds for open sets:  $int(\partial\{M-A\})=\phi$  so  $int(\partial A)=\phi$ 

Give an example where  $int(\partial A) = M$ 

If we consider the metric space  $\mathbb R$  with euclidean distance function, let our subset be  $\mathbb O$ 

Every point in  $\mathbb R$  is a boundary point of  $\mathbb Q$ , as every open ball in  $\mathbb R$  contains rational and irrational points

Therefore  $\partial \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{R}$ 

And since  $\mathbb{R}$  is an open set, it is equal to its interior

So  $int(\partial \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{R}$ 

Done!

## 2 Question 2

Let  $x_n$  be a sequence where  $x_0 \in (0,1)$  and  $x_{n+1} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - x_n}$ Show that  $\{x_n\}$  is decreasing

Proof:

Fact:  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ 

```
Show that if x_n \in (0,1), x_{n+1} \in (0,1) and x_{n+1} \le x_n If a \in (0,1): 0 < a < \sqrt{a} < 1 Also 1-a \in (0,1) (was told in class we could use these facts) Therefore 0 < 1-a < \sqrt{1-a} < 1 -1 < -a < -1 - \sqrt{1-a} < 0 1 > a > 1 + \sqrt{1-a} > 0 Also \sqrt{1-a} is between 0 and 1 therefore: 1 > a > 1 - \sqrt{1-a} > 0 Apply: x_n > x_{n+1} Done!
```

## 3 Question 3

```
If x_n \to x and y_n \to y

For some \epsilon let d(x_n, x) < \epsilon/2 and d(y_n, y) < \epsilon/2

d(x_n, x) + d(y_n, y) < \epsilon

By the triangle inequality we have:

d(x, y) < d(x_n, x) + d(y_n, y) + d(x_n, y_n)

d(x, y) < d(x_n, y_n) + \epsilon

d(x, y) - d(x_n, y_n) < \epsilon

Therefore d(x_n, y_n) \to d(x, y)
```

## 4 Question 4

Prove that in a compact metric space: every sequence has a subsequence which converges in S

Since S is compact, it is closed and bounded (in any metric space)

If the range of  $S_n$  is finite, it is convergent. This was proved in class

Assume  $S_n$  is not finite

Therefore the range of  $S_n$  contains infinite points in S

By the bolzano-weirstrauss theorem,  $Range(S_n)$  has an accumulation point. Call this point p

Therefore every open ball centered at p contains a point in  $S_n$ 

We now have  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ suchthat d(S_n, p) < \epsilon$ 

Let a subsequence consist of these  $S_n$ 's, and we now have a subsequence which converges to p!

Finally we know p is in S because S is closed, and if p were not an element of S it would clearly be a boundary point, and S contains all of its boundary points Done!

### 5 Question 5

If a sequence  $S_n$  is increasing and bounded above, then it converges to its supremem Since  $S_n$  is a bounded sequence, it has a suprememum a

By the approximation property,  $\forall r < sup(A), \exists x \in S_n \text{ such that } r < x \leq a$ 

Since  $S_n$  is non decreasing, we know that  $\forall n > m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $s_n > s_m$ 

Therefore if x (in the approximation property) is  $S_m$ , all previous terms in the sequence are strictly less and all consequent terms are either equal or greater Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ 

By the approximation property, there is some  $S_m$  between  $\epsilon$  and a and all  $S_{m+i}$  where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  are between the suprement and  $S_m$  (their distance is less than epsilon)

Therefore  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall n > N, d(S_n, a) < \epsilon \text{ and } S_n \to \epsilon$ 

Exact same proof for the infemum of a bounded decreasing sequence

If  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  both converge to 0, then  $a_n + b_n$  converges to 0

We showed earlier than  $d(a_n, b_n) \to d(a, b)$ 

 $d(a_n, b_n) \le d(a_n, 0) + d(b_n, 0)$ 

 $a_n + b_n < d(a_n, b_n)$  (I think this is obviously true?)

Therefore  $a_n + b_n < d(a_n, 0) + d(b_n, 0)$ 

And since  $\forall \epsilon, \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ s.t. \forall n > N, d(a_n, 0) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and same for  $b_n$ 

, we have  $d(a_n) + d(b_n) < \epsilon$ 

Therefore  $a_n + b_n < \epsilon$ 

Which is the same as saying they that  $d(a_n + b_n, 0) < \epsilon$ 

Therefore  $a_n + b_n \to 0$ 

# 6 Question 6

This is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ 

We nknow that all cauchy sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$  converge, therefore if  $S_n$  is not cauchy, it does not converge

To be cauchy:

 $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ s.t. } \forall m, n > N, d(S_m, S_n) < \epsilon$ 

Let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ 

If N is even, let n = N and m = N + 1

 $d(S_n, S_m) = 1$ 

 $1 > \epsilon$  Therefore  $S_n$  is not cauchy and not convergent

Note: Exact same idea holds if N is odd, because  $(S_n, S_m)$  is still 1