Derivatives

September 17, 2023

Contents

1	Zero and non-zero derivatives	1
2	Mean value theorem	1
3	Taylor's theorem	2
4	Limit of derivative	3

1 Zero and non-zero derivatives

Theorem 1.1 (non-zero derivatives). Let $f:(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$ and c an interior point of (a,b). If f'(c) > 0 or $f'(c) = \infty$, then there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $(c - \delta, c + \delta) \subseteq (a,b)$. For all $x \in (c,c+\delta)$ we have f(x) > f(c) and for all $x \in (c - \delta,c)$ we have f(x) < f(c). Similar statement holds for f'(c) < 0 or $f'(c) = -\infty$, with relation between f(x) and f(c) reversed.

Proof. If
$$f'(c) = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} > 0$$
, there is $B(c, \delta) \subseteq (a, b)$ such that for all $x \in B(c, \delta)$, we have $\frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} > 0$.

Fermat's theorem gives us relation between interior extrema and zero derivatives.

Theorem 1.2 (Fermat's theorem). If $f:(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$ attains local extremum at some interior point $c \in (a,b)$ and f has derivative at c, then f'(c) must be zero.

Proof. Assume c is maximum. If f'(c) > 0 or ∞ , for every x in some $(c, c + \delta)$ we have f(x) > f(c) [contradiction!]. If f'(c) < 0 or $-\infty$, for every x in some $(c - \delta, c)$ we have f(x) > f(c) [contradiction!]. So f'(c) = 0. Proof is similar when c is minimum.

2 Mean value theorem

Theorem 2.1 (Rolle's theorem). Assume real-valued function $f \in C[a,b] \cap D(a,b)$, and f(a) = f(b), then there is $c \in (a,b)$ such that f'(c) = 0.

Proof. Since f is continuous on compact interval [a,b], we know f attains maximum and minimum values on [a,b]. If either of them is interior point of [a,b], then by Fermat's theorem the proof is done. If both of them are end points, then f is constant since f(a) = f(b).

We use Rolle's theorem to prove mean value theorem (MVT).

Theorem 2.2 (Cauchy's mean value theorem). Given $f, g \in C[a, b] \cap D(a, b)$, there is $c \in (a, b)$ such that

$$[f(b) - f(a)]g'(c) = [g(b) - g(a)]f'(c).$$

$$(1)$$

Proof. Let h(x) = [f(b) - f(a)]g(x) - [g(b) - g(a)]f(x), then (1) is equivalent to h'(c) = 0. The idea is to use Rolle's theorem on h. Note that h(b) - h(a) = 0, and indeed $h \in C[a,b] \cap D(a,b)$ since f and g do.

Let g to be g(x) = x and we obtain the usual MVT. MVT and CMVT can be considered as extensions of Rolle's theorem.

3 Taylor's theorem

Theorem 3.1 (Taylor's theorem). Assume $f \in D^n(\alpha, \beta) \cap C^{n-1}[\alpha, \beta]$ and $a \in [\alpha, \beta]$. For $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and $x \neq a$, there is $c \in (a, x)$ or (x, a) such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k + \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{n!} (x-a)^n.$$

We prove Taylor's theorem by the following extended theorem.

Theorem 3.2 (Taylor's theorem extended). Assume $f, g \in D^n(\alpha, \beta) \cap C^{n-1}[\alpha, \beta]$ and $a \in [\alpha, \beta]$. For $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and $x \neq a$, there is $c \in (a, x)$ or (x, a) such that

$$\left[f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x - a)^k \right] g^{(n)}(c) = \left[g(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x - a)^k \right] f^{(n)}(c). \tag{2}$$

The key idea is to use CMVT. In order to do so, we have to make (2) to match the form $[F(*) - F(*)]G'(\cdot) = [G(*) - G(*)]F'(\cdot)$. Consider the Taylor polynomial:

$$f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + \frac{f^{(2)}(a)}{2!}(x-a)^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(a)}{(n-1)!}(x-a)^{n-1}$$
.

If we keep to think x as the variable, this polynomial will never produce f(x). Instead if we consider the expansion point a as variable, then expansion at x will give us f(x), and expansion at a will give us the summation part in the square bracket.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume x > a. Let $F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(t)}{k!} (x-t)^k$ and

$$G(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(k)}(t)}{k!} (x-t)^k. \text{ Since } f, g \in D^n(\alpha, \beta) \cap C^{n-1}[\alpha, \beta], \text{ we have } F, G \in C^{n-1}[a, x] \cap C^{n-1}[a, \beta]$$

 $D^n(a,x)$. So apply CMVT to F and G, there is some $c \in (a,x)$ such that

$$[F(x) - F(a)]G'(c) = [G(x) - G(a)]F'(c).$$
(3)

Now F(x) = f(x), G(x) = g(x), and we have

$$F(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k, \quad G(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k,$$

$$F'(a) = \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{(n-1)!}(x-a)^{n-1}, \quad G'(a) = \frac{g^{(n)}(a)}{(n-1)!}(x-a)^{n-1}.$$

Substitute into (3), we obtain (2).

By taking $g(x) = (x - a)^n$, we get the usual Taylor's theorem (3.1) with Lagrange form of remainder. Take G(t) = t, we get Cauchy form of remainder

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k + \frac{f^{(n)}(c)}{(n-1)!} (x-c)^{n-1} (x-a).$$

4 Limit of derivative

Theorem 4.1 (Limit of derivative (one-sided)). Let $f:(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $c \in (a,b)$. If $f \in C[c,c+h] \cap D(c,c+h)$ for some h > 0, and $\lim_{x\to c+} f'(x)$ exists, then $f'_+(c)$ exists and equals this limit. Similar statement holds for left limit and left derivative.

Proof. Let $\lim_{x\to c+} f'(x) = L$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon \text{ for } x \in (c, c + \delta).$$

We have

$$f'_{+}(c) = \lim_{x \to c+} \frac{f(x) - f(c)}{x - c} = \lim_{x \to c+} f'(\xi),$$

where $\xi \in (c, x) \subseteq (c, c + \delta)$, so $|f(\xi) - L| < \varepsilon$. Therefore $\lim_{x \to c^+} f'(\xi) = L$.

Intuitively, since $\xi \in (c, x)$, we have $\xi \to c$ as $x \to c$, so $\lim_{x \to c+} f'(\xi)$ can be replaced by $\lim_{\xi \to c+} f'(\xi)$. Another way of seeing this is to consider ξ as a function of x (since the choice of ξ depends on x). So $f'(\xi)$ actually means $f'(\xi(x))$. Now apply the limit rule of composite functions, note that: (1) $\lim_{x \to c+} f'(x) = L$; (2) $\lim_{x \to c+} \xi(x) = c$ (and approaches c from above); (3) ξ never attains c when x is near c. Therefore $\lim_{x \to c+} f'(\xi(x)) = \lim_{x \to c+} f'(x) = L$.

If limit of derivative exists for both sides, that is limit of derivative exists, then the derivative at this point exists and equals this limit.