- Fecal microbiota signatures are associated with
- response to Ustekinumab therapy among
- Crohn's Disease patients

4 Running title: Microbiota of Ustekinumab-treated Crohn's subjects.

- Matthew K. Doherty<sup>1</sup>, Tao Ding<sup>1 $\alpha$ </sup>, Charlie Koumpouras<sup>1</sup>, Shannon E. Telesco<sup>2</sup>, Calixte Monast<sup>2</sup>,
- $_{6}$  Anuk Das $^{2}$ , Carrie Brodmerkel $^{2}$ , and Patrick D. Schloss $^{1\dagger}$
- <sup>7</sup> † To whom correspondence should be addressed: Patrick D. Schloss, pschloss@umich.edu
- 8 1. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- 9 2. Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA
- $_{10}$   $\alpha$  Currently at Department of Biology, New York University, New York, NY, USA.

### □ Abstract

The fecal microbiota is a rich source of biomarkers that have previously been shown to be predictive 12 of numerous disease states. Less well studied is the effect of immunomodulatory therapy on the microbiota and its role in response to therapy. This study explored associations between the fecal microbiota and therapeutic response of ustekinumab (UST; STELARA<sup>6</sup>) treated Crohn's disease 15 (CD) patients in the phase 2 CERTIFI study. Using stool samples collected over the course of 16 22 weeks, the composition of these subjects' fecal bacterial communities was characterized by 17 sequencing the 16S rRNA gene. Subjects in remission could be distinguished from those with 18 active disease 6 weeks after treatment using Random Forest models trained on subjects' baseline 19 microbiota and clinical data (AUC = 0.844, specificity = 0.831, sensitivity = 0.774). The most 20 predictive OTUs that were ubiquitous among subjects were affiliated with Faecalibacterium and 21 Escherichia/Shigella. Among subjects in remission 6 weeks after treatment, the median baseline 22 community diversity was 1.7 times higher than treated subjects with active disease (p = 0.020). 23 Their baseline community structures were also significantly different (p = 0.017). Two OTUs 24 affiliated with Faecalibacterium (p = 0.003) and Bacteroides (p = 0.022) were significantly more 25 abundant at baseline in subjects who were in remission 6 weeks after treatment than those with 26 active CD. The diversity of UST treated clinical responders increased over the 22 weeks of the 27 study, in contrast to nonresponsive subjects (p = 0.012). The observed baseline differences in 28 fecal microbiota and changes due to therapeutic response support the potential for the microbiota as a response biomarker. (word count= 246/250, TextWrangler) Importance: CD is a global health concern, with increasing incidence and prevalence, causing 31 large economic and health care impacts. Finding prognostic biomarkers that give clinicians the 32 ability to identify patients more likely to respond to CD treatment at diagnosis will reduce the 33 time subjects receive drugs that are unlikely to be beneficial. OTUs associated with remission after treatment induction, especially Faecalibacterium, could be biomarkers for successful UST treatment of anti-TNF- $\alpha$  refractory CD patients. More broadly, these results suggest the fecal

- microbiota could be a useful non-invasive biomarker for directing or monitoring the treatment of
- gastrointestinal diseases. (word count =98/150, TextWrangler)
- 39 Keywords: IBD, microbiome, biologics, prediction, biomarkers, remission, Stelara, ma-
- 40 chine learning

#### 41 Introduction

The microbiome has been correlated with a variety of diseases and has shown promise as a predictive tool for disease outcome for gingivitis (1), cardiovascular disease (2), Clostridium difficile infection (3, 4), and colorectal cancer (5, 6). Additionally, the microbiome has been shown to alter the efficacy of vaginal microbicides in African women (7), as well as cardiac drugs (8) and cancer treatments (9, 10) in murine models of disease. These results demonstrate that it is possible to use biomarkers from within the microbiome to predict response to therapeutics. In relation to inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), previous studies have shown that the bacterial gut 48 microbiota correlates with disease severity in new-onset, pediatric Crohn's disease (CD) patients (11, 12). Additionally, recent studies suggest the gut microbiota could be used to predict clinical 50 response to treatment in adult patients with IBD, including anti-integrin biologics (13, 14) and 51 treatment in pediatric IBD with anti-TNF- $\alpha$  or immunomodulators (15, 16). It remains to be 52 determined, however, whether the composition of the fecal gut microbiota can predict and monitor 53 response to biologic CD therapy directed at other targets, such as interleukin (IL-) 23. Considering 54 the involvement of the immune system and previous evidence for involvement of the microbiome. 55 we hypothesize that response to anti-IL-23 CD therapy can be predicted using microbiome data. CD is a global health concern causing large economic and health care impacts (17, 18). The 57 disease is characterized by patches of ulceration and inflammation along the entire gastrointestinal 58 tract, with most cases involving the ileum and colon. Currently, individuals with CD are treated 59 based on disease location and risk of complications using escalating immunosuppressive treatment. and/or surgery, with the goal of achieving and sustaining remission (19, 20). Faster induction of remission following diagnosis reduces the risk of irreversible intestinal damage and disability 62 (20–22). Ideally, clinicians would be able to determine personalized treatment options for CD 63 patients at diagnosis that would result in faster achievement of remission (23). Therefore, recent research has been focused on identifying noninvasive biomarkers to monitor CD severity and predict therapeutic response (24–26).

The precise etiology of CD remains unknown, but host genetics, environmental exposure, and the gut microbiome appear to be involved (17, 27). Individuals with CD have reduced microbial diversity in their guts, compared to healthy individuals, with a lower relative abundance of *Firmicutes* and an increased relative abundance of *Enterobacteraciae* and *Bacteroides* (11, 28–31). Additionally, genome-wide association studies of individuals with CD identified several susceptibility loci including loci involved in the IL-23 signaling pathway, which could impact the gut microbiota composition and function (19, 28, 32–35). If the fecal microbiota can be used to monitor disease severity and predict response to specific treatment modalities, then clinicians could use it as a noninvasive tool for prescribing therapies that may result in faster remission (36).

The FDA recently approved ustekinumab (UST; STELARA®), a monoclonal antibody directed 76 against the shared p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23, for the treatment of CD (20, 37-39). Given the 77 potential impact of IL-23 on the microbiota (32–35), we hypothesized that response to UST could 78 be influenced by differences in subjects' gut microbiota and that UST treatment may alter the fecal 79 microbiota. The effects of biologic treatment of IBD on the microbiota are not yet well described, but are hypothesized to be indirect, as these drugs act on host factors (19). We analyzed the fecal 81 microbiota of subjects who participated in a double-blinded, placebo-controlled Phase II clinical 82 trial that demonstrated the safety and efficacy of UST for treating subjects with CD refractory to 83 anti\_TNF agents (37). The original study found that UST induction treatment had an increased rate of response as well as increased rates of response and remission with UST maintenance therapy, compared to placebo. We quantified the association between the fecal microbiota and disease severity, tested whether clinical responders had a microbiota that was distinct from nonresponders, and determined whether the fecal microbiota changed in subjects treated with UST using 16S rRNA gene sequence data from these subjects' stool samples. Our study demonstrates that these associations may be useful in predicting and monitoring UST treatment outcome and suggest the fecal microbiota may be a broadly useful source of biomarkers for predicting response to treatment.

### 3 Results

#### 94 Study design

We characterized the fecal microbiota in a subset of anti-TNF- $\alpha$  refractory CD patients, with moderate to severe CD, who took part in a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled phase 2b clinical trial that demonstrated the efficacy of UST in treating CD (37). Demographic and baseline disease characteristics of this subset are summarized in Table 1. Subjects were randomly assigned to a treatment group in the induction phase of the study and were re-randomized into maintenance therapy groups 8 weeks after induction based on their response (Figure 1A). In the 100 current study, response was defined as a decrease in a subject's initial Crohn's Disease Activity Index (CDAI) greater than 100 points or remission. Remission was defined as a CDAI below 150 points. The CDAI is the standard instrument for evaluating clinical symptoms and disease activity in CD (40, 41). The CDAI weights patient reported stool frequency, abdominal pain, and 104 general well being over a week, in combination with weight change, hematocrit, opiate usage for 105 diarrhea, and the presence of abdominal masses or other complications to determine the disease 106 severity score (40, 41). Subjects provided stool samples at baseline (screening) and at 4, 6, and 107 22 weeks after induction for analysis using 16S rRNA gene sequencing (Figure 1B). The number 108 of subjects in each treatment group at the primary and secondary endpoints are summarized in 109 Table 2 by their treatment outcome. 110

### 111 Association of baseline microbial signatures with treatment remission

We investigated whether the composition of the baseline fecal microbiota could predict therapeutic remission (CDAI < 150) 6 weeks after induction. To test this hypothesis, we generated Random Forest (RF) models to predict which subjects would be in remission 6 weeks after induction based on the relative abundance of the fecal microbiota at baseline, clinical metadata at baseline, and the combination of microbiota and clinical data. We determined the optimal model based the largest area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for the RF model (6, 42). Clinical data included components of the CDAI, biomarkers for inflammation,

and subject metadata described further in the methods section. We trained these models using 232 baseline stool samples from subjects induced with UST; 31 of which achieved remission 120 (Table 2). Clinical data alone resulted in an AUC of 0.616 (specificity = 0.801, sensitivity = 121 0.452) (Figure 2A). Using only fecal microbiota data the model had an AUC of 0.838 (specificity 122 = 0.766, sensitivity = 0.806). Finally, when combining clinical metadata with the microbiota we 123 achieved an AUC of 0.844 (specificity = 0.831, sensitivity = 0.774) for remission 6 weeks after 124 induction. Prediction with clinical metadata alone did not perform as well as models using the 125 baseline fecal microbiome (p = 0.001) or the combined model (p = 0.001); however, there was 126 not a significant difference between the baseline fecal microbiota model and the combined model 127 (p = 0.841).128

Optimal predictors were determined based on their mean decrease in accuracy (MDA) in the ability 129 of the model to classify remission from active CD (Figure 2B). The majority of OTUs identified 130 as optimal predictors in our model for remission had low abundance. However, two OTUs were 131 differentially abundant for subjects in remission 6 weeks after induction. The relative abundance 132 of Escherichia/Shigella (OTU1) was lower in subjects in remission 6 weeks after induction (median 133 = 1.07%, IQR = 0.033-3.70) compared to subjects with active CD (median = 4.13%, IQR =134 0.667-15.4). Also, the relative abundance of Faecalibacterium (OTU7) was not only higher in 135 subjects in remission 6 weeks after induction (median = 7.43%, IQR = 1.43-11.9) than subjects 136 with active CD (median = 0.167%, IQR = 0.00-5.10), but it was also present prior to the start 137 of UST treatment in every subject who was in remission 6 weeks after induction. 138

#### Association of baseline microbial signatures with treatment response

139

To test whether the composition of the baseline fecal microbiota could predict therapeutic response (CDAI decrease  $\geq$  100 points or remission) 6 weeks after induction, we again used RF models to classify responders from non-responders 6 weeks after induction (Table 2). Clinical data alone resulted in an AUC of 0.651 (specificity = 0.545, sensitivity = 0.724) (Figure 2C). Using only microbiota data, the model predicted response with an AUC of 0.762 (specificity =

0.558, sensitivity = 0.882). When combining clinical metadata with the microbiome, the model predicted response with an AUC of 0.733 (specificity = 0.724, sensitivity = 0.684).

The microbiota model was significantly better able to predict response than the metadata alone (p=0.017), whereas this was not true for the combined model (p=0.069). Additionally, the combined model and the fecal microbiota model were not significantly different in their ability to predict response (p=0.263). Optimal predictors were again determined based on their MDA in the ability of the model to classify response (Figure 2D). Also, the baseline combined model was significantly better at classifying remission compared to response (p=0.036), whereas this was not true for the fecal microbiota model (p=0.117).

#### 154 Comparison of baseline microbiota based on clinical outcome

As the RF models identified OTUs abundant across this cohort that were important in classifica-155 tion of outcome, we further investigated differences in the baseline microbiota to assess whether they could serve as potential biomarkers for successful UST treatment. We compared the base-157 line microbiota of all 306 subjects who provided a baseline sample based on treatment group and 158 treatment outcome 6 weeks after induction to assess diversity measures (Table 2). There was no 159 significant difference in diversity based on response 6 weeks after induction, however the baseline 160  $\beta$ -diversity was significantly different by response (p = 0.018). No phyla were significantly differ-161 ent by treatment and response (Fig. S1) and no OTUs were significantly different based on UST 162 response or among subjects receiving placebo for induction, regardless of response and remission 163 status. 164

Subjects in remission 6 weeks after induction with UST had significantly higher baseline  $\alpha$ -diversity based on the inverse Simpson diversity index than subjects with active CD (respective median values = 11.6 (IQR = 4.84-13.4), 6.95 (IQR = 4.25-11.8), p = 0.020). The baseline community structure was also significantly different based on remission status in subjects 6 weeks after induction (p = 0.017). Finally, 2 OTUs were significantly more abundant in subjects in remission 6 weeks after induction compared to subjects with active CD: *Bacteroides* (OTU19) (p = 0.022)

and  $\it Faecalibacterium$  (OTU7) (p = 0.003) (Figure 3).

172

#### Variation in the baseline microbiota is associated with variation in clinical phenotypes

Based on the associations we identified between baseline microbial diversity and response, we 173 hypothesized that there were associations between the microbiota and clinical variables at baseline 174 that could support the use of the microbiota as a non-invasive biomarker for disease activity (36). 175 To test this hypothesis, we compared the baseline microbiota with clinical data at baseline for 176 all 306 samples provided at baseline (Supplemental Table 1). We observed small, but significant 177 correlations for lower lpha-diversity correlating with higher CDAI (ho= -0.161, p = 0.014), higher 178 frequency of loose stools per week ( $\rho=$  -0.193, p = 0.003), and longer disease duration ( $\rho=$ 179 -0.225, p = 0.001). Corticosteroid use was associated with 1.45 times higher lpha-diversity (p = 180 0.001). No significant associations were observed between  $\alpha$ -diversity and CRP, fecal calprotectin, 181 or fecal lactoferrin. However, the  $\beta$ -diversity was significantly different based on CRP (p = 182 0.033), fecal calprotectin (p = 0.006), and fecal lactoferrin (p = 0.004). The  $\beta$ -diversity was 183 also significantly different based on weekly loose stool frequency (p= 0.024), age (p = 0.033), 184 the tissue affected (p = 0.004), corticosteroid use (p = 0.010), and disease duration (p = 0.004). 185 No significant differences in  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  diversity were observed for BMI, weight, or sex. 186

## 187 The diversity of the microbiota changes following UST therapy

We tested whether treatment with UST altered the microbiota by performing a Friedman test 188 comparing  $\alpha$ -diversity, based on the inverse Simpson diversity index, at each time point within 189 each treatment group based on the subject's response 22 weeks after induction. We included 48 190 subjects induced and maintained with UST (18 responders, 30 non-responders) and 14 subjects 191 induced and maintained with placebo (8 responders, 6 non-responders), who provided samples 192 at every time point (Figure 1). We saw no significant difference in the  $\alpha$ -diversity over time in 193 subjects who did not respond 22 weeks after induction, regardless of treatment, and in subjects 194 who responded to placebo (Figure 4). However, the median  $\alpha$ -diversity of responders 22 weeks 195 after UST induction significantly changed over time (p = 0.012) having increased from baseline 196

(median = 6.65, IQR = 4.60 - 9.24) to 4 weeks after UST induction(median = 9.33, IQR = 6.54 - 16.7), decreased from 4 to 6 weeks after induction (median = 8.42, IQR = 4.93 - 17.5), and was significantly higher than baseline (p < 0.05) at 22 weeks after induction (median = 10.7, IQR = 5.49 - 14.6).

#### The microbiota after induction can distinguish between treatment outcomes

Having demonstrated the microbiome changes in subjects who responded to UST treatment, 202 we hypothesized that the microbiota could be used to monitor response to UST therapy by 203 classifying subjects based on disease activity (36). We again constructed RF classification models 204 to distinguish between subjects by UST treatment outcome based on their fecal microbiota 6 205 weeks after induction (6, 42). The study design resulted in only 75 stool samples week 22 from 206 subjects induced and maintained with UST, so we focused our analysis on the 220 stool samples 207 collected at week 6 from subjects induced with UST. We were again better able to distinguish 208 subjects in remission from subjects with active CD than subjects in clinical response versus non-209 response (p = 0.005; Figure 5A). Our model could classify response 6 weeks after induction 210 using week 6 stool samples from subjects treated with UST with an AUC of 0.720 (sensitivity 211 = 0.563, specificity = 0.812). For classifying subjects in remission from subjects with active 212 CD 6 weeks after UST induction using week 6 stool samples, the model had an AUC of 0.866 213 (sensitivity = 0.833, specificity = 0.832). OTUs that were important for these classifications again 214 included Faecalibacterium (OTU7), as well as Blautia (OTU124), Clostridium XIVa (OTU73), 215 Ruminococcaceae (OTU53), and Roseburia (OTU12). These all had higher median relative 216 abundance in subjects in remission 6 weeks after induction than those with active disease (Figure 217 5B). 218

#### 219 Discussion

This study sought to determine whether fecal microbiota can be used to identify patients who will respond to UST therapy and to gain a more detailed understanding of how UST treatment

may affect the microbiota. We demonstrated that the microbiota could identify patients more likely to achieve remission following UST therapy, compared to clinical metadata alone, in this unique cohort. If this can be validated in future studies with independent cohorts, than it may lead to a clinically useful prognostic tool. We also found the fecal microbiota to be associated with CD severity metrics and treatment outcomes. Finally, we found that the microbiota of treated responders changed over time. These results helped further our understanding of the interaction between the human gut microbiota and CD in adult subjects with moderate-to-severe CD refractory to anti-TNF- $\alpha$  therapies.

The development of predictive models for disease or treatment outcome is anticipated to have
a significant impact on clinical decision-making in health care (43). These models may help
clinicians decide on the correct course of disease treatment or interventions for disease prevention
with their patients. Additionally, patients may benefit with more individualized care that may
potentially reduce adverse effects and result in faster recovery, reduce expenses from ineffective
therapies, or increase quality of life by preventing disease in patients at high risk.

Our predictive model revealed potential microbial biomarkers indicative of successful UST ther-236 apyand, which are summarized in Table 3. This allowed us to generate hypotheses about the 237 biology of CD as it relates to the microbiome and UST response. Faecalibacterium frequently 238 occurred in our models. It is associated with health, comprising up to 5% of the relative abun-239 dance in healthy individuals, and is generally rare in CD patients (28, 30, 44, 45). Each subject 240 in remission 6 weeks after UST induction had measurable Faecalibacterium present at baseline. 241 This supports the hypothesis that Faecalibacterium impacts CD pathogenesis. It may even be 242 beneficial to administer Faecalibacterium as a probiotic during therapy. Escherichia/Shigella also 243 occurred frequently in our models. This OTU is associated with inflammation and has been shown 244 to be associated with CD (45). Many other taxa observed in our analysis had low abundance or 245 were absent in the majority of subjects. However, in many cases these taxa are related and may serve similar ecologic and metabolic roles in the gut environment. We hypothesize that these

microbes may have genes that perform redundant metabolic functions. Performing metagenomics
on stool samples in future studies, especially in patients who achieve remission, could reveal these
functions, which could be further developed into a clinically useful predictive tool.

We were better able to predict whether a subject would achieve clinical remission rather than clinical response, as determined by CDAI score. We hypothesize that this was due to the relative nature of the response criteria compared to the threshold used to determine remission status. While the field appears to be moving away from CDAI and towards patient reported outcomes and more objectively quantifiable measures such as endoscopic verification of mucosal healing (21, 46), research is ongoing to discover less invasive and more quantifiable biomarkers (36, 47, 48).

We identified several associations between the microbiota and clinical variables that could impact how CD is monitored and treated in the future. Serum CRP, fecal calprotectin, and fecal lacto-259 ferrin are widely used as biomarkers to measure inflammation and CD severity. In this study, 260 the microbial community structure was different among subjects based on these markers. These 261 results support the hypothesis that the fecal microbiota could function as a biomarker for mea-262 suring disease activity in patients, especially in concert with established inflammatory biomarkers 263 (36, 47, 48). Higher CDAI scores were also associated with lower microbial diversity. This is 264 consistent with other studies on the microbiota in individuals with CD compared to healthy in-265 dividuals and studies looking at active disease compared to remission (11, 36, 49). However, 266 the CDAI sub score of weekly stool frequency may have driven likely drove these differences 267 (Supplementary Table 1), which as we did not observe significant associations between microbial 268 diversity and the other quantitative CDAI sub scores. Our observed association between high 269 loose stool frequency and low microbial diversity is consistent with previous studies (50). We also 270 observed differences in the microbial community structure based on disease localization, which 271 is consistent with a study by Naftali et al (44). Our study also showed that corticosteroid use 272 impacts the composition of the human fecal microbiota, which is consistent with observations in 273

mouse models (51). We also observed that longer disease duration is associated with a reduction in fecal microbial diversity. We hypothesize that prolonged disease duration and the associated inflammation results in the observed decrease in diversity.

Further research into fecal microbiota as a source of biomarkers for predicting therapeutic response 277 could eventually allow for the screening of patients using stool samples at diagnosis to better 278 inform treatment decisions for a wide range of diseases. For CD specifically, using the microbiota to predict response to specific treatment modalities could result in more personalized treatment 280 and faster achievement of remission, thereby increasing patients' quality of life and reducing 281 economic and health care impacts for CD patients. Our results showing that the  $\alpha$ -diversity of 282 clinical UST responders increased over time, in contrast to non-responsive subjects, and our ability 283 to classify subjects in remission from those with active disease following UST treatment are again 284 consistent with other studies suggesting the microbiota could be a useful biomarker for predicting 285 or monitoring response to treatment (36). These predictive biomarkers will need to be validated 286 using independent cohorts in future studies. Additionally, the positive and negative associations 287 between the microbiota and CD allow us to predict the types of mechanisms most likely to alter the 288 microbiota in order to increase the likelihood of acheiveing a therapeutic response or to monitor 289 disease severity. Prior to the initiation of therapy, patients could have their fecal microbiome 290 analyzed. Then the microbial community data could be used to direct the modification of a 291 patient's microbiota prior to or during treatment with the goal of improving treatment outcomes. 292 Since it has been shown experimentally that the microbiome can alter the efficacy of treatments 293 for a variety of diseases (7-10), if fecal microbiota can be validated as biomarkers to non-invasively 294 predict response to therapy, then patients and clinicians will be able to more rapidly ascertain 295 effective therapies that result in increased patient quality of life.

#### 297 Methods

### 298 Study Design and Sample Collection

Previously, a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled phase II clinical study of approxi-299 mately 500 subjects assessed the safety and efficacy of UST for treating anti-TNF- $\alpha$  refractory, 300 moderate to severe CD subjects (37) (Figure 1). Institutional review board approval was ac-301 quired at each participating study center and subjects provided written informed consent (37). 302 Inclusion/exclusion criteria and concomitant medication handling are described in full in the 303 supplementary "Protocol" of the published clinical study (37). Briefly, for inclusion subjects 304 must have been over the age of 18 and diagnosed with CD for at least 3 months prior to study initiation, have active CD with a baseline CDAI score between 220-450, and refractory to anti-TNF- $\alpha$  treatment. Subject data was de-identified for our study. Participants provided a 307 stool sample prior to the initiation of the study and were then divided into treatment groups. 308 Additional stool samples were An additional stool sample was provided 4 weeks after induction. 309 At 6 weeks after induction an additional stool sample was collected, subjects were scored for their 310 response to UST based on CDAI, and then divided into groups receiving either subcutaneous 311 injection of UST or placebo at weeks 8 and 16 as maintenance therapy. Clinical response was 312 defined as a reduction from baseline CDAI score of 100 or more points or as remission in subjects 313 with a baseline CDAI score between 220 to 248 points (37). Remission was defined as a CDAI 314 below the threshold of 150. Finally, at 22 weeks subjects provided an additional stool sample and 315 were then scored using CDAI for their response to therapy. Of these samples, 306 were provided 316 prior to treatment as well as 258 provided at week 4, 289 at week 6, and 205 at week 22 after 317 treatment, for a total of 1,058 samples. Stool samples were collected at the clinical sites and 318 frozen immediately. Frozen fecal samples were shipped to the University of Michigan and stored 319 at -80°C prior to DNA extraction. 320

### DNA extraction and 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Microbial genomic DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil-htp 96 Well Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories) and an EPMotion 5075 pipetting system (5, 6). The V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene from each sample was amplified and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq™ platform (48). Sequences were curated as described previously using the mothur software package 325 (v.1.34.4) (52, 53). Briefly, we curated the sequences to reduce sequencing and PCR errors 326 (54), aligned the resulting sequences to the SILVA 16S rRNA sequence database (55), and used 327 UCHIME to remove any chimeric sequences (56). Sequences were clustered into operational 328 taxonomic units (OTU) at a 97% similarity cutoff using the average neighbor algorithm (57). All 329 sequences were classified using a naive Bayesian classifier trained against the RDP training set 330 (version 14) and OTUs were assigned a classification based on which taxonomy had the majority 331 consensus of sequences within a given OTU (58). 332

Following sequence curation using the mothur software package (52), we obtained a median of 333 13,732 sequences per sample (IQR = 7,863-21,978). Parallel sequencing of a mock community 334 had an error rate of 0.017%. To limit effects of uneven sampling, we rarefied the dataset to 3,000 335 sequences per sample. Samples from subjects that completed the clinical trial and had complete 336 clinical metadata were included in our analysis. Of these samples, 306 were provided prior to 337 treatment as well as 258 provided at week 4, 289 at week 6, and 205 at week 22 after treatment, 338 for a total of 1,058 samples. All raw sequence files and a MIMARKS spreadsheet with de-identified 339 clinical metadata have been uploaded into the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRP125127) and 340 are available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA418765. Additionally, detailed 341 and reproducible descriptions of how the data were processed and analyzed can be found at 342 https://github.com/SchlossLab/Doherty CDprediction mBio 2017.

#### 44 Gut microbiota biomarker discovery and statistical analysis

R v.3.3.2 (2016-10-31) and mothur were used to analyze the data (59). To assess  $\alpha$ -diversity, the inverse Simpson index was calculated for each sample in the dataset. Spearman correlation tests were performed to compare the inverse Simpson index and continuous clinical data. Wilcoxon

rank sum tests were performed for pairwise comparisons and Kruskal-Wallis rank sum tests for comparisons with more than two groups (60, 61). To measure  $\beta$ -diversity, the distance between 349 samples was calculated using the  $\theta YC$  metric, which takes into account the types of bacteria 350 and their abundance to calculate the differences between the communities (62). These distance 351 matrices were assessed for overlap between sets of communities using the non-parametric analysis 352 of molecular variance (AMOVA) test as implemented in the adonis function from the vegan R 353 package (v.2.4.4) (63). Changes in  $\alpha$ -diversity over time based on week 22 response was assessed 354 using a Friedman test on subjects who provided a sample at each time point (64). The Friedman 355 test is a function in the stats R package (v.3.4.2). Multiple comparisons following a Friedman test 356 were performed using the friedmanmc function in the pgirmess package (v.1.6.7) (65). Changes 357 in  $\beta$ -diversity over time by treatment group and response were assessed using the adonis function 358 in vegan stratified by subject. We used the relative abundance of each OTU,  $\alpha$ -diversity, age, sex, 359 current medications, BMI, disease duration, disease location, fecal calprotectin, fecal lactoferrin, 360 C-reactive protein, bowel stricture, and CDAI sub scores as input into our RF models constructed 361 with the AUCRF R package (v.1.1) (66), to identify phylotypes or clinical variables that distinguish 362 between various treatment and response groups, as well as to predict or determine response 363 outcome (67). Optimal predictors were determined based on their mean decrease in accuracy (MDA) of the model to classify subjects. Differentially abundant OTUs and phyla were selected through comparison of clinical groups using Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcox tests, where appropriate, to identify OTUs/phyla where there was a p-value less than 0.05 following a Benjamini-Hochberg 367 correction for multiple comparisons (68). Other R packages used in our analysis included ggplot2 368 v.2.2.1 (69), dplyr v.0.7.4 (70), pROC v.1.10.0 (71), knitr v.1.17 (72), gridExtra v.2.3 (73), 369 devtools v.1.13.3 (74), knitcitations v.1.0.8 (75), scales v.0.5.0 (76), tidyr v.0.7.2 (77), Hmisc 370 v.4.0.3 (78), and cowplot v.0.8.0 (79). A reproducible version of this analysis and manuscript are 371 available at https://github.com/SchlossLab/Doherty\_CDprediction\_mBio\_2017.

	_	• •		. •
373	Func	ling	intor	mation.

374 Janssen Research and Development provided financial and technical support for this study.

# Tables

# Table 1: Summary of clinical metadata of cohort at baseline

Clinical Variable	Treated	Placebo	Total
	n = 232	n = 74	n = 306
Age (years)	$38 \pm 13$	40 ± 14	39 ± 13
Sex (% Male)	36.6	43.2	38.2
Race (% Caucasian)	91.8	93.2	92.2
Corticosteroid Use (%)	40.1	52.7	43.1
BMI (kg/m^2)	$26 \pm 6.7$	$25 \pm 4.9$	$25 \pm 6.3$
Disease Duration (years)	12 ± 8.4	13 ± 10	12 ± 8.8
CDAI	$330 \pm 62$	310 ± 69	$320 \pm 64$
Bowel Stricture (%)	12.5	10.8	12.1
Tissue Involvement (%) Colon/Ileocolic/Ileal	28.9/51.3/46	24.3/39.2/36.5	27.8/48.4/23.9

377

No significant differences were observed between placebo and treated groups for any of the listed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>379</sup> *variables (all P>0.05).* 

# 380 Table 2: Summary of subjects in each treatment group by endpoint and outcome

Clinical Variable	Treated (n)	Placebo (n)
Week 6 Response (No, Yes)	156, 76	48, 26
Week 6 Remission (No, Yes)	201, 31	62, 12
Week 22 Response (No, Yes)	77, 43	14, 11
Week 22 Remission (No, Yes)	96, 24	18, 7

# Table 3: Summary of microbial associations with remission at baseline and following

# 3 UST induction in treated subjects

Microbial Association with Remission	At Baseline	6 weeks post UST treatment	
Escherichia/Shigella (OTU1)	lower relative abundance	-	
Faecalibacterium (OTU7)	higher relative abundance	higher relative abundance	
Roseburia (OTU12)	-	higher relative abundance	
Bacteroides (OTU19)	higher relative abundance	-	
Ruminococcus (OTU35)	higher relative abundance	-	
Ruminococcaceae (OTU53)	-	higher relative abundance	
Clostridium XIVa (OTU73)	-	higher relative abundance	
Blautia (OTU124)	-	higher relative abundance	
$\alpha$ – Diversity	higher	-	

384

# $_{\mbox{\tiny 385}}$ Supplemental Table 1: Diversity differences based on clinical metadata of cohort at

## baseline

387

Clinical Variable	Correlation	Alpha-Diversity (p-value)	Beta-Diversity (p-value)
CDAI	$\rho = -0.2$	0.014	0.324
Loose Stool Frequency (per week)	$\rho = -0.2$	0.003	0.024
C-Reactive Protein (mg/L serum)	$\rho = 0.06$	0.394	0.033
Fecal Calprotectin (µg/g)	$\rho = 0.08$	0.254	0.006
Fecal Lactoferrin (µg/g)	$\rho = 0.1$	0.070	0.004
ВМІ	$\rho = 0.07$	0.299	0.277
Weight (kg)	$\rho = 0.07$	0.299	0.112
Age (years)	$\rho = -0.05$	0.472	0.033
Sex (F/M)	-	0.539	0.277
Corticosteroid Use (Y/N)	-	0.001	0.010
Disease Duration (years)	$\rho = -0.2$	0.001	0.004
Tissue Involvement	-	0.190	0.004

## **Figures**

- Figure 1: Experimental design as adapted from Sandborn et al 2012. (A) Participants
  were divided into treatment groups receiving placebo or UST by IV for induction. At week 8,
  subjects were divided into groups receiving either subcutaneous injection of UST or placebo at
  weeks 8 and 16 as maintenance therapy, based on response at week 6. Finally, at 22 weeks
  subjects were scored using CDAI for their response to therapy. (B) Stool sampling, treatment,
  and response evaluation time line. ↑, treatment administration; IV, intravenous; PE, primary
  endpoint; R, randomization; RR, re-randomization (only for subjects receiving UST induction
  therapy); SC, subcutaneous.
- Figure 2: Prediction of week 6 treatment outcome in subjects treated with UST, using baseline samples Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for (A) response and (C) remission using microbiota data (blue), clinical metadata (black), and a combined model (red). Top predictive OTUs for the microbiota model based on mean decrease in accuracy (MDA) for (B) response and (D) remission. Black bars represent the median relative abundance.
- Figure 3: Differential taxa in baseline stool samples from subjects treated with UST, based on week six remission status The baseline relative abundance of each OTU was compared between subjects in remission and those with active CD 6 weeks after induction using a Wilcoxon rank sum test followed by a Benjamini-Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons. This identified 2 OTUs with significantly different relative abundance at baseline (p < 0.05). Black bars represent the median relative abundance.
- Figure 4: Change in alpha diversity over time by induction treatment and week 22 response status. The  $\alpha$ -diversity of 48 subjects induced and maintained with UST and 14 subjects induced and maintained with placebo was assessed at each time point. Friedman test were performed within each treatment and responder group. Whiskers represent the range and boxes represent the 25-75% interquartile range of the median (black bar). \* indicates week 22 is significantly different from baseline (p <0.05).

Figure 5: Classification of week 6 response or remission status using week 6 stool samples from subjects treated with UST (A) ROC curves for week 6 outcome based on the week 6 microbiota. (B) Predictive OTUs from week 6 stool for remission status at 6 weeks after induction, based on mean decrease in accuracy. Black bars represent the median relative abundance.

Supplemental Figure 1: Phyla from baseline stool samples in subjects treated with
UST by week six outcome The relative abundance of each phylum in UST treated subjects
were compared based on (A) response and (B) remission status using a Wilcoxon rank sum test
and to identify phyla where there was a p-value less than 0.05 following a Benjamini-Hochberg
correction for multiple comparisons. No comparisons were significant. Whiskers represent the
range and boxes represent the 25-75% interquartile range of the median (black bar).

# References

- 1. Huang S, Li R, Zeng X, He T, Zhao H, Chang A, Bo C, Chen J, Yang F, Knight R, Liu J, Davis
- 427 C, Xu J. 2014. Predictive modeling of gingivitis severity and susceptibility via oral microbiota.
- 428 ISME J 8:1768-80.
- 2. Wang Y, Ames NP, Tun HM, Tosh SM, Jones PJ, Khafipour E. 2016. High molecular weight
- barley -glucan alters gut microbiota toward reduced cardiovascular disease risk. Front Microbiol
- 431 7.
- 3. Schubert AM, Sinani H, Schloss PD. 2015. Antibiotic-induced alterations of the murine gut
- microbiota and subsequent effects on colonization resistance against clostridium difficile. MBio
- 434 6:e00974.
- 4. Seekatz AM, Rao K, Santhosh K, Young VB. 2016. Dynamics of the fecal microbiome in
- patients with recurrent and nonrecurrent clostridium difficile infection. Genome Med 8.
- 437 5. Zackular JP, Rogers MA, Ruffin MT th, Schloss PD. 2014. The human gut microbiome as a
- screening tool for colorectal cancer. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 7:1112–21.
- 439 6. Baxter NT, Ruffin MT th, Rogers MA, Schloss PD. 2016. Microbiota-based model improves
- the sensitivity of fecal immunochemical test for detecting colonic lesions. Genome Med 8:37.
- 7. Klatt NR, Cheu R, Birse K, Zevin AS, Perner M, Noel-Romas L, Grobler A, Westmacott G,
- <sup>442</sup> Xie IY, Butler J, Mansoor L, McKinnon LR, Passmore JS, Abdool Karim Q, Abdool Karim SS,
- 443 Burgener AD. 2017. Vaginal bacteria modify hiv tenofovir microbicide efficacy in african women.
- 444 Science 356:938–945.
- 8. Haiser HJ, Gootenberg DB, Chatman K, Sirasani G, Balskus EP, Turnbaugh PJ. 2013. Pre-
- dicting and manipulating cardiac drug inactivation by the human gut bacterium eggerthella lenta.
- 447 Science 341:295-8.
- 9. Sivan A, Corrales L, Hubert N, Williams JB, Aquino-Michaels K, Earley ZM, Benyamin FW, Lei

- YM, Jabri B, Alegre ML, Chang EB, Gajewski TF. 2015. Commensal bifidobacterium promotes antitumor immunity and facilitates anti-pd-l1 efficacy. Science 350:1084–9.
- 10. Vetizou M, Pitt JM, Daillere R, Lepage P, Waldschmitt N, Flament C, Rusakiewicz S, Routy B,
- Roberti MP, Duong CP, Poirier-Colame V, Roux A, Becharef S, Formenti S, Golden E, Cording S,
- Eberl G, Schlitzer A, Ginhoux F, Mani S, Yamazaki T, Jacquelot N, Enot DP, Berard M, Nigou J,
- Opolon P, Eggermont A, Woerther PL, Chachaty E, Chaput N, Robert C, Mateus C, Kroemer G,
- Raoult D, Boneca IG, Carbonnel F, Chamaillard M, Zitvogel L. 2015. Anticancer immunotherapy
- by ctla-4 blockade relies on the gut microbiota. Science 350:1079–84.
- 11. Gevers D, Kugathasan S, Denson LA, Vazquez-Baeza Y, Van Treuren W, Ren B, Schwager
- E, Knights D, Song SJ, Yassour M, Morgan XC, Kostic AD, Luo C, Gonzalez A, McDonald D,
- Haberman Y, Walters T, Baker S, Rosh J, Stephens M, Heyman M, Markowitz J, Baldassano R,
- 460 Griffiths A, Sylvester F, Mack D, Kim S, Crandall W, Hyams J, Huttenhower C, Knight R, Xavier
- RJ. 2014. The treatment-naive microbiome in new-onset crohn's disease. Cell Host Microbe
- 462 15:382-92.
- 463 12. Wang F, Kaplan JL, Gold BD, Bhasin MK, Ward NL, Kellermayer R, Kirschner BS, Heyman
- 464 MB, Dowd SE, Cox SB, Dogan H, Steven B, Ferry GD, Cohen SA, Baldassano RN, Moran
- <sup>465</sup> CJ, Garnett EA, Drake L, Otu HH, Mirny LA, Libermann TA, Winter HS, Korolev KS. 2016.
- def Detecting microbial dysbiosis associated with pediatric crohn disease despite the high variability
- of the gut microbiota. Cell Rep.
- 468 13. Tew GW, Hackney JA, Gibbons D, Lamb CA, Luca D, Egen JG, Diehl L, Eastham Anderson
- J, Vermeire S, Mansfield JC, Feagan BG, Panes J, Baumgart DC, Schreiber S, Dotan I, Sandborn
- WJ, Kirby JA, Irving PM, De Hertogh G, Van Assche GA, Rutgeerts P, O'Byrne S, Hayday A, Keir
- 471 ME. 2016. Association between response to etrolizumab and expression of integrin alphaE and
- granzyme a in colon biopsies of patients with ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 150:477–87.e9.
- 14. Ananthakrishnan AN, Luo C, Yajnik V, Khalili H, Garber JJ, Stevens BW, Cleland T, Xavier
- 474 RJ. 2017. Gut microbiome function predicts response to anti-integrin biologic therapy in inflam-

- matory bowel diseases. Cell Host Microbe 21:603–610.e3.
- 15. Kolho KL, Korpela K, Jaakkola T, Pichai MV, Zoetendal EG, Salonen A, Vos WM de. 2015.
- 477 Fecal microbiota in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease and its relation to inflammation. Am J
- 478 Gastroenterol 110:921–30.
- 16. Shaw KA, Bertha M, Hofmekler T, Chopra P, Vatanen T, Srivatsa A, Prince J, Kumar A,
- Sauer C, Zwick ME, Satten GA, Kostic AD, Mulle JG, Xavier RJ, Kugathasan S. 2016. Dysbiosis,
- inflammation, and response to treatment: A longitudinal study of pediatric subjects with newly
- diagnosed inflammatory bowel disease. Genome Med 8:75.
- <sup>483</sup> 17. Ananthakrishnan AN. 2015. Epidemiology and risk factors for IBD. Nat Rev Gastroenterol
- 484 Hepatol 12:205–217.
- 18. Floyd DN, Langham S, Severac HC, Levesque BG. 2015. The economic and quality-of-life
- burden of crohn's disease in europe and the united states, 2000 to 2013: A systematic review.
- 487 Dig Dis Sci 60:299-312.
- 19. Randall CW, Vizuete JA, Martinez N, Alvarez JJ, Garapati KV, Malakouti M, Taboada CM.
- <sup>489</sup> 2015. From historical perspectives to modern therapy: A review of current and future biological
- treatments for crohn's disease. Therap Adv Gastroenterol 8:143–59.
- <sup>491</sup> 20. Wils P, Bouhnik Y, Michetti P, Flourie B, Brixi H, Bourrier A, Allez M, Duclos B, Grimaud
- JC, Buisson A, Amiot A, Fumery M, Roblin X, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Filippi J, Bouguen G, Abitbol
- 493 V, Coffin B, Simon M, Laharie D, Pariente B. 2015. Subcutaneous ustekinumab provides clinical
- benefit for two-thirds of patients with crohn's disease refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor
- agents. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.
- <sup>496</sup> 21. Colombel JF, Reinisch W, Mantzaris GJ, Kornbluth A, Rutgeerts P, Tang KL, Oortwijn A,
- 497 Bevelander GS, Cornillie FJ, Sandborn WJ. 2015. Randomised clinical trial: Deep remission in
- biologic and immunomodulator naive patients with crohn's disease a SONIC post hoc analysis.

- 499 Aliment Pharmacol Ther 41:734–46.
- 22. Baert F, Moortgat L, Van Assche G, Caenepeel P, Vergauwe P, De Vos M, Stokkers P,
- Hommes D, Rutgeerts P, Vermeire S, D'Haens G. 2010. Mucosal healing predicts sustained
- clinical remission in patients with early-stage crohn's disease. Gastroenterology 138:463-8; quiz
- 503 e10-1.
- <sup>504</sup> 23. Lichtenstein GR. 2010. Emerging prognostic markers to determine crohn's disease natural
- 505 history and improve management strategies: A review of recent literature. Gastroenterol Hepatol
- 506 (N Y) 6:99-107.
- <sup>507</sup> 24. Chang S, Malter L, Hudesman D. 2015. Disease monitoring in inflammatory bowel disease.
- 508 World J Gastroenterol 21:11246-59.
- 509 25. Boon GJ, Day AS, Mulder CJ, Gearry RB. 2015. Are faecal markers good indicators of
- mucosal healing in inflammatory bowel disease? World J Gastroenterol 21:11469–80.
- 26. Falvey JD, Hoskin T, Meijer B, Ashcroft A, Walmsley R, Day AS, Gearry RB. 2015. Disease
- activity assessment in ibd: Clinical indices and biomarkers fail to predict endoscopic remission.
- <sup>513</sup> Inflamm Bowel Dis 21:824–31.
- <sup>514</sup> 27. Sartor RB. 2006. Mechanisms of disease: Pathogenesis of crohn's disease and ulcerative
- colitis. Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol 3:390–407.
- 28. Wright EK, Kamm MA, Teo SM, Inouye M, Wagner J, Kirkwood CD. 2015. Recent advances
- in characterizing the gastrointestinal microbiome in crohn's disease: A systematic review. Inflamm
- 518 Bowel Dis 21:1219-28.
- 29. Manichanh C, Rigottier-Gois L, Bonnaud E, Gloux K, Pelletier E, Frangeul L, Nalin R, Jarrin
- 520 C, Chardon P, Marteau P, Roca J, Dore J. 2006. Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in crohn's
- disease revealed by a metagenomic approach. Gut 55:205–11.
- 30. Hansen R, Russell RK, Reiff C, Louis P, McIntosh F, Berry SH, Mukhopadhya I, Bisset WM,

- Barclay AR, Bishop J, Flynn DM, McGrogan P, Loganathan S, Mahdi G, Flint HJ, El-Omar EM,
- Hold GL. 2012. Microbiota of de-novo pediatric IBD: Increased faecalibacterium prausnitzii and
- reduced bacterial diversity in crohn's but not in ulcerative colitis. Am J Gastroenterol 107:1913–
- 526 22.
- 31. Haberman Y, Tickle TL, Dexheimer PJ, Kim MO, Tang D, Karns R, Baldassano RN, Noe JD,
- Rosh J, Markowitz J, Heyman MB, Griffiths AM, Crandall WV, Mack DR, Baker SS, Huttenhower
- <sup>529</sup> C, Keljo DJ, Hyams JS, Kugathasan S, Walters TD, Aronow B, Xavier RJ, Gevers D, Denson
- LA. 2014. Pediatric crohn disease patients exhibit specific ileal transcriptome and microbiome
- signature. J Clin Invest 124:3617–33.
- 32. Riol-Blanco L, Lazarevic V, Awasthi A, Mitsdoerffer M, Wilson BS, Croxford A, Waisman
- A, Kuchroo VK, Glimcher LH, Oukka M. 2010. IL-23 receptor regulates unconventional il-17-
- producing t cells that control infection1. J Immunol 184:1710–20.
- 33. Round JL, Mazmanian SK. 2009. The gut microbiome shapes intestinal immune responses
- during health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol 9:313–23.
- 34. Eken A, Singh AK, Oukka M. 2014. INTERLEUKIN 23 in crohn'S disease. Inflamm Bowel
- 538 Dis 20:587-95.
- 35. Shih VFS, Cox J, Kljavin NM, Dengler HS, Reichelt M, Kumar P, Rangell L, Kolls JK, Diehl L,
- Ouyang W, Ghilardi N. 2014. Homeostatic il-23 receptor signaling limits th17 response through
- il-22-mediated containment of commensal microbiota. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:13942-7.
- 36. Tedjo DI, Smolinska A, Savelkoul PH, Masclee AA, Schooten FJ van, Pierik MJ, Penders J,
- Jonkers DMAE. 2016. The fecal microbiota as a biomarker for disease activity in crohn's disease.
- 544 Scientific Reports, Published online: 13 October 2016; doi:101038/srep35216.
- 545 37. Sandborn WJ, Gasink C, Gao LL, Blank MA, Johanns J, Guzzo C, Sands BE, Hanauer
- 546 SB, Targan S, Rutgeerts P, Ghosh S, Villiers WJ de, Panaccione R, Greenberg G, Schreiber S,
- Lichtiger S, Feagan BG. 2012. Ustekinumab induction and maintenance therapy in refractory

- 548 crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 367:1519-28.
- 549 38. Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Fedorak RN, Scherl E, Fleisher MR, Katz S, Johanns J, Blank M,
- Rutgeerts P. 2008. A randomized trial of ustekinumab, a human interleukin-12/23 monoclonal
- antibody, in patients with moderate-to-severe crohn's disease. Gastroenterology 135:1130–41.
- 39. Kopylov U, Afif W, Cohen A, Bitton A, Wild G, Bessissow T, Wyse J, Al-Taweel T, Szilagyi
- A, Seidman E. 2014. Subcutaneous ustekinumab for the treatment of anti-TNF resistant crohn's
- disease—the McGill experience. J Crohns Colitis 8:1516–22.
- 555 40. Peyrin-Biroulet L, Panes J, Sandborn WJ, Vermeire S, Danese S, Feagan BG, Colombel JF,
- Hanauer SB, Rycroft B. 2016. Defining disease severity in inflammatory bowel diseases: Current
- and future directions. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 14:348–354.e17.
- 558 41. Best WR, Becktel JM, Singleton JW, Kern J F. 1976. Development of a crohn's disease
- activity index. national cooperative crohn's disease study. Gastroenterology 70:439–44.
- <sup>560</sup> 42. Calle ML, Urrea V, Boulesteix A-L, Malats N. 2011. AUC-RF: A new strategy for genomic
- profiling with random forest. Human Heredity 72:121–132.
- 43. Vogenberg FR. 2009. Predictive and prognostic models: Implications for healthcare decision-
- making in a modern recession. Am Health Drug Benefits 2:218–22.
- 44. Naftali T, Reshef L, Kovacs A, Porat R, Amir I, Konikoff FM, Gophna U. 2016. Distinct
- microbiotas are associated with ileum-restricted and colon-involving crohn's disease. Inflamm
- 566 Bowel Dis 22:293-302.
- 567 45. Sartor RB, Wu GD. 2016. Roles for intestinal bacteria, viruses, and fungi in pathogenesis of
- inflammatory bowel diseases and therapeutic approaches. Gastroenterology.
- <sub>569</sub> 46. Williet N, Sandborn WJ, Peyrin-Biroulet L. 2014. Patient-reported outcomes as primary end
- points in clinical trials of inflammatory bowel disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 12:1246–56.e6.
- 571 47. Boon GJ, Day AS, Mulder CJ, Gearry RB. 2015. Are faecal markers good indicators of

- mucosal healing in inflammatory bowel disease? World J Gastroenterol 21:11469–80.
- 573 48. Chang S, Malter L, Hudesman D. 2015. Disease monitoring in inflammatory bowel disease.
- World J Gastroenterol 21:11246-59.
- 575 49. Papa E, Docktor M, Smillie C, Weber S, Preheim SP, Gevers D, Giannoukos G, Ciulla D,
- Tabbaa D, Ingram J, Schauer DB, Ward DV, Korzenik JR, Xavier RJ, Bousvaros A, Alm EJ. 2012.
- 577 Non-invasive mapping of the gastrointestinal microbiota identifies children with inflammatory
- 578 bowel disease. PLoS One 7:e39242.
- 579 50. Vandeputte D, Falony G, Vieira-Silva S, Tito RY, Joossens M, Raes J. 2016. Original
- article: Stool consistency is strongly associated with gut microbiota richness and composition,
- enterotypes and bacterial growth rates. Gut 65:57–62.
- 51. Huang EY, Inoue T, Leone VA, Dalal S, Touw K, Wang Y, Musch MW, Theriault B, Higuchi
- K, Donovan S, Gilbert J, Chang EB. 2015. Using corticosteroids to reshape the gut microbiome:
- Implications for inflammatory bowel diseases. Inflamm Bowel Dis 21:963–72.
- 52. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA,
- Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF.
- <sup>587</sup> 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software
- for describing and comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:7537–41.
- 53. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. 2013. Development of a
- 590 dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the
- miseq illumina sequencing platform. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:5112–20.
- 592 54. Schloss PD, Gevers D, Westcott SL. 2011. Reducing the effects of PCR amplification and
- sequencing artifacts on 16S rRNA-based studies. PLoS One 6:e27310.
- 55. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2013.
- The silva ribosomal rna gene database project: Improved data processing and web-based tools.

- Nucleic Acids Res 41:D590-6.
- 56. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. 2011. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27:2194–200.
- 57. Schloss PD, Westcott SL. 2011. Assessing and improving methods used in operational taxonomic unit-based approaches for 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:3219–26.
- 58. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. 2007. Naive bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:5261–7.
- 59. R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 60. Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. 1995. Biometry: The principles and practice of statistics in biological research, 3rd ed. Freeman, New York.
- 61. Magurran AE. 2004. Measuring biological diversity. Blackwell Pub., Malden, Ma.
- 62. Yue JC, Clayton MK. 2005. A similarity measure based on species proportions. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods 34:2123–2131.
- 63. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H. 2016. Vegan: Community ecology package. r package version 2.4-1.
- 614 64. Friedman M. 1937. The use of ranks to avoid the assumption of normality implicit in the 615 analysis of variance. Journal of the American Statistical Association 32:675–701.
- 65. Giraudoux P. 2016. Pgirmess: Data analysis in ecology.
- 617 66. Urrea V, Calle M. 2012. AUCRF: Variable selection with random forest and the area under

- 618 the curve.
- 619 67. Breiman L. 2001. Random forests. Machine Learning 45:5–32.
- 68. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful
- approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological)
- 622 57:289-300.
- 69. Wickham H. 2009. Ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.
- 70. Wickham H, Francois R. 2016. Dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation.
- 625 71. Robin X, Turck N, Hainard A, Tiberti N, Lisacek F, Sanchez J-C, Müller M. 2011. PROC:
- 626 An open-source package for r and s+ to analyze and compare roc curves. BMC Bioinformatics
- 627 12:77.
- 72. Xie Y. 2015. Dynamic documents with R and knitr, 2nd ed. Chapman; Hall/CRC, Boca
- Raton, Florida.
- 73. Auguie B. 2016. GridExtra: Miscellaneous functions for "grid" graphics.
- 74. Wickham H, Chang W. 2016. Devtools: Tools to make developing r packages easier.
- 75. Boettiger C. 2015. Knitcitations: Citations for 'knitr' markdown files.
- 76. Wickham H. 2016. Scales: Scale functions for visualization.
- 634 77. Wickham H. 2017. Tidyr: Easily tidy data with 'spread()' and 'gather()' functions.
- 78. Harrell Jr FE, Charles Dupont, others. 2016. Hmisc: Harrell miscellaneous.
- 79. Wilke CO. 2016. Cowplot: Streamlined plot theme and plot annotations for 'ggplot2'.