De novo clustering methods out-perform reference-based methods for assigning 16S rRNA gene sequences to operational taxonomic units

Patrick D. Schloss[†] and Sarah L. Westcott

† To whom correspondence should be addressed: pschloss@umich.edu

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Abstract

- 2 Background. 16S rRNA gene sequences are routinely assigned to operational taxonomic units
- 3 (OTUs) that are then used to analyze complex microbial communities. A number of methods
- 4 have been employed to carry out the assignment of 16S rRNA gene sequences to OTUs leading
- 5 to confusion over which method is the best. A recent study suggested that a clustering method
- should be selected based on its ability to generate stable OTU assignments that do not change
- as additional sequences are added to the dataset. In contrast, we contend that the ability of the
- method to properly represent the distances between the sequences is more important.
- 9 **Methods.** Our analysis implemented five *de novo* clustering algorithms including the single linkage,
- complete linkage, average linkage, abundance-based greedy clustering, distance-based greedy
- clustering and two reference-based methods including the open and closed-reference methods. By
- varying the number of sequences sampled from each of two previously published datasets we used
- the Matthew's Correlation Coefficient (MCC) to assess the quality and stability of OTU assignments.
- Results. The stability of OTU assignments did not reflect the quality of the assignments. Depending
- on the dataset being analyzed, the average linkage and the distance and abundance-based greedy
- clustering methods generated more robust OTUs than the open and closed-reference methods.
- Further interrogation of the reference-based methods indicated that when USEARCH is used to
- identify the closest reference, the OTU assignments were sensitive to the order of the reference
- 19 sequences. When USEARCH was replaced by VSEARCH the quality and stability of the OTU
- 20 assignments by the reference-based methods were improved. Finally, we demonstrated that for the
- 21 greedy algorithms VSEARCH produced assignments that were comparable to those produced by
- USEARCH making VSEARCH a viable free and open source alternative to USEARCH.
- Discussion. This study demonstrates that the quality of clustering assignments needs to be
- 24 assessed for multiple methods per dataset to identify the optimal clustering method for the dataset.
- ²⁵ The analysis also calls into question the quality and stability of OTU assignments generated by the
- open and closed-reference methods as implemented in current versions of QIIME.

7 Introduction

The ability to affordably generate millions of 16S rRNA gene sequences has allowed microbial 28 ecologists to thoroughly characterize the microbial community composition of hundreds of samples. To simplify the complexity of these large datasets, it is helpful to cluster sequences into meaningful bins. These bins, commonly known as operational taxonomic units (OTUs), are used to compare 31 the biodiversity contained within and between different samples (Schloss & Westcott, 2011). Such 32 comparisons have enabled researchers to characterize the microbiota associated with the human body (e.g. Huttenhower et al., 2012), soil (e.g. Shade et al., 2013), aquatic ecosystems (e.g. Gilbert 34 et al., 2011), and numerous other environments. Within the field of microbial ecology, a convention 35 has emerged where sequences are clustered into OTUs using a threshold of 97% similarity or a distance of 3%. One advantage of the OTU-based approach is that the definition of the bins 37 is operational and can be changed to suit the needs of the particular project. However, with the 38 dissemination of clustering methods within software such as mothur (Schloss et al., 2009), QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010), and other tools (Sun et al., 2009; Edgar, 2010, 2013; Cai & Sun, 2011; Mahé et al., 2014), it is important to understand how different clustering methods implement this 41 conventional OTU threshold. Furthermore, it is necessary to understand how method choice affects the precision and accuracy of assigning sequences to OTUs. Broadly speaking, three approaches have been developed to assign sequences to OTUs. The first approach has been referred to as phylotyping (Schloss & Westcott, 2011) or closed

reference clustering (Navas-Molina et al., 2013). This approach involves comparing sequences to a 46 curated database and then clustering sequences into the same OTU that are similar to the same 47 reference sequence. Reference-based clustering methods suffer when the reference does not adequately reflect the biodiversity of the community. If a large fraction of sequences are novel, then they cannot be assigned to an OTU. In addition, the reference sequences used in this application 50 are selected because they are less than 97% similar to each other over the full length of the gene; 51 however, it is known that the commonly used variable regions within the 16S rRNA gene do not evolve at the same rate as the full-length gene. Thus, a sequence representing a fragment of the 53 gene may be more than 97% similar to multiple reference sequences. Therefore, defining OTUs 54 in the closed-reference approach is complicated because although two sequences might be 97%

similar to the same reference sequence, they may only be 94% similar to each other. A subtle
alternative to this approach is to use a classifier to assign a taxonomy to each sequence so that
sequences can be clustered at a desired level within the Linnean taxonomic hierarchy (Schloss &
Westcott, 2011). The strength of the reference based approach is that the methods are generally
fast, scaling linearly with the number of sequences being clustered.

The second approach has been referred to as distance-based (Schloss & Westcott, 2011) or de 61 novo clustering (Navas-Molina et al., 2013). In this method, the distance between sequences is 62 used to cluster sequences into OTUs rather than the distance to a reference database. In contrast to the efficiency of closed-reference clustering, the speed of hierarchical de novo clustering methods 64 scale quadratically with the number of unique sequences. The expansion in sequencing throughput combined with sequencing errors inflates the number of unique sequences resulting in the need for large amounts of memory and time to cluster the sequences. If error rates can be reduced through 67 stringent quality control measures then these problems can be overcome (Kozich et al., 2013). As an alternative, heuristics have been developed to approximate the clustering of hierarchical methods (Edgar, 2010, Sun et al. (2009), Mahé et al. (2014)). One critique of de novo approaches is that OTU assignments are sensitive to the input order of the sequences (Hamady & Knight, 2009; 71 He et al., 2015). Whether the differences in assignments is meaningful is unclear; however, the variation in results could represent equally valid clustering of the data. The strength of de novo clustering is its independence of references for carrying out the clustering step. After clustering, the classification of each sequence can be used to obtain a consensus classification for the OTU (Schloss & Westcott, 2011). For this reason, de novo clustering has been preferred across the field. The third approach, open-reference clustering, is a hybrid of the closed-reference and de novo 77 approaches (Navas-Molina et al., 2013). Open-reference clustering method involves performing closed-reference clustering followed by de novo clustering on those sequences that are not 79 sufficiently similar to the reference. In theory, this method should exploit the strengths of both 80 closed-referene and de novo clustering; however, the different OTU definitions employed by both approaches poses a possible problem when the methods are combined. An alternative to this approach has been to classify sequences to a bacterial family or genus and then assigned to OTUs 83 within those levels using the average linkage method (Schloss & Westcott, 2011). For example,

all sequences assigned to the *Porphyromonadaceae* would then be assigned to OTUs using the average linkage method using a 3% distance threshold. Those sequences that did not classify to a known family would also be clustered using the average linkage method. An advantage of this approach is that it lends itself nicely to parallelization since each taxonomic group is seen as being independent and can be processed separately. Such an approach would overcome the difficulty of mixing OTU definitions between the closed-reference and *de novo* approaches.

The growth in options for assigning sequences using each of these three broad approaches has 91 been considerable. It has been difficult to objectively assess the quality of OTU assignments. Some have focused on the time and memory required to process a dataset (Sun et al., 2009; Cai & 93 Sun, 2011; Rideout et al., 2014). These are valid parameters to assess when judging a clustering 94 method, but have little to say about the quality of the clustering. Others have attempted to judge the quality of an method by its ability to generate data that parallels classification data (Sun et al., 2011; Cai & Sun, 2011; Chen et al., 2013; Edgar, 2013). This approach is problematic because bacterial 97 taxonomy often reflects historical biases amongst bacterial systematicists. Furthermore, it is well known that the rates of evolution across lineages are not the same (Wang et al., 2007; Schloss, 2010). Others have assessed the quality of clustering based on their ability to generate the same 100 OTUs generated by other methods (Rideout et al., 2014; Schmidt, Rodrigues & Mering, 2014). This is problematic because it does not solve the fundamental question of which method is most correct. 102 We recently proposed an approach for evaluating OTU assignments using the distances between 103 pairs of sequences (Schloss & Westcott, 2011). Those sequences that were similar to each other 104 and found in the same OTU were called true positives while those that were similar and found in different OTUs were called false negatives. Meanwhile, those sequences that were different from 106 each other and found in the same OTU were called false positives and those that were dissimilar 107 and found in different OTUs were called true negatives. Counting the frequency of these different classes allowed us to judge how each method balanced the ratio of true positives and negatives to 109 false positives and negatives using the Matthew's correlation coefficient (MCC: Matthews, 1975). 110 This is an objective approach to assessing the quality of the OTU assignments. 111

A recent analysis by He and colleagues He et al. (2015) attempted to characterize the three general clustering approaches by focusing on what they called stability. They defined stability as the ability

of an method to provide the same clustering on a subset of the data as was found in the full dataset.

Their concept of stability did not account for the accuracy of the OTU assignments and instead focused on the precision of the assignments. A method may be very precise, but low in accuracy.

In the current analysis, we assessed the accuracy and precision of the various clustering methods.

Building on our previous analysis of clustering methods, our hypothesis was that the methods praised by the He study for their stability actually suffered a lack of accuracy. In addition, we assess these parameters in light of sequence quality using the original 454 dataset and a larger and more modern dataset generated using the MiSeq platform.

Results and Discussion

126

127

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

Summary and replication of He study. We obtained the Canadian soil dataset from Roesch et al. Roesch et al. (2007) and processed the sequences as described by He and colleagues. Using these data, we reconsidered three of the more critical analyses performed in the He study.

First, we sought to quantify whether the OTU assignments observed for a subset of the data represented the same assignments that were found with the full dataset. The He study found that when they used the open and closed-reference methods the OTUs formed using the subsetted data most closely resembled those of the full dataset. Among the de novo methods they observed that the abundance-based greedy clustering (AGC) method generated the most stable OTUs followed by the single linkage (SL), distance-based greedy clustering (DGC), complete linkage (CL), and average linkage (AL) methods. We first sought to assess calculated the MCC for the OTU assignments generated by each of the clustering methods using 20, 40, 60, and 80% of the sequences relative to the OTU composition formed by the methods using the full dataset (Figure 1A). Similar to the He study, we replicated each method and subsample 30 times because a random number generator is used in some of methods to break ties where pairs of sequences have the same distance between them. Across these sequencing depths, we observed that the stability of the OTUs generated by the SL and CL methods were highly sensitive to sampling effort relative to the OTUs generated by the AL, AGC, and DGC methods (Figure 1A). Our results (Figure 1B) largely confirmed those of Figure 4C in the He study with one notable exception. The He study observed a broad range of MCC values among their AL replicates when analyzing OTUs generated using 60% of the data. This result appeared out of character and was not explained by the authors. They observed a mean MCC value of approximately 0.63 (95% confidence interval between approximately 0.15 and 0.75). In contrast, we observed a mean value of 0.93 (95% confidence interval between 0.91 and 0.95). This result indicates that the AL assignments were far more stable than indicated in the He study. Regardless, although the assignments are quite stable, it does support the assertion that the OTU assignments observed for the subset of the data do not perfectly match the assignments that were found with the full dataset as they did with the reference-based methods; however, the significance of these differences is unclear.

Second, the He study and the original Roesch study showed that rarefaction curves calculated using CL-generated OTU assignments obtained using a portion of the dataset did not overlap with rarefaction curves generated using OTU assignments generated from the full dataset. The He and Roesch studies both found that the CL method produced fewer OTUs in the subset than in the rarefied data. In addition, the He study found that the SL method produced more OTUs, the AGC produced fewer, and the other methods produced similar numbers of OTUs than expected when comparing the subsetted data to the rarefied data. Our results support those of these previous studies (Figure 2). It was clear that inter-method differences were generally more pronounced than the differences observed between rarefying from the full dataset and from clustering the subsetted data. The number of OTUs observed was largest using the CL method, followed by the open-reference method. The AL, AGC, and DGC methods all provided comparable numbers of OTUs. Finally, the closed-reference and SL methods generated the fewest number of OTUs.

Third, the authors attempted to describe the effects of the OTU assignment instability on comparisons of communities. They used Adonis to test whether the community structure represented in subsetted communities resembled that of the full dataset when only using the unstable OTUs (Anderson, 2001). Although they were able to detect significant p-values, they appeared to be of marginal biological significance. Adonis R statistics close to zero indicate the community structures from the full and subsetted datasets overlapped while values of one indicate the communities are completely different. The He study observed adonis R statistics of 0.02 (closed-reference), 0.03 (open-reference), 0.07 (CL, AGC, DGC), and 0.16 (SL and AL). Regardless of the statistical or biological significance of these results, the analysis does not make

sense since, by definition, representing communities based on their unstable OTUs would yield differences. Furthermore, the *de novo* and open-reference approaches do not consistently label the OTUs that sequences belong to when the clustering methods are run multiple times with different random number seeds. To overcome this, the authors selected representative sequences from each OTU and used those representative sequences to link OTU assignments between the different sized sequence sets. The justification for this analysis is specious as the OTU assignments are based on the data available in the dataset when the sequences are clustered and comparing assignments in this manner are irreconcilable. It is not surprising that the only analysis that did not provide a significant p-value was for the closed-reference analysis, which is the only analysis that provides consistent OTU labels. Finally, the authors built off of this analysis to count the number of OTUs that were differentially represented between the subsetted and full datasets by each method. This analysis assumes that the OTUs generated using the full dataset were correct, which was an unsubstantiated assumption since the authors did not assess the quality of the OTU assignments. Because this analysis was so poorly designed, we did not seek to reproduce it.

OTU assignment methods vary in their accuracy. More important than the stability of OTUs is whether sequences are assigned to the correct OTUs. A method can generate highly stable OTUs, but the OTU assignments may be meaningless if they poorly represent the specified cutoff and the actual distance between the sequences. To assess the quality of OTU assignments by the various methods, we made use of the pairwise distance between the unique sequences to count the number of true positives and negatives and the number of false positives and negatives for each method and sampling depth. This enabled us to calculate the average MCC value as a measure of a method's accuracy and its variation as a measure of its precision. We made three important observations. First, each of the *de novo* methods varied in how sensitive their MCC values were to additional sequences (Figure 1C). The SL and CL methods were the most sensitive; however, the accuracy of the OTU assignments did not meaningfully differ when 80 or 100% of the data were assigned to OTUs using the *de novo* methods. Second, the AL method had higher MCC values than the other methods followed by DGC, AGC, CL, open-reference, and closed-reference, and SL (Figure 1D). Third, with the possible exception of the CL method, the MCC values for each of the only demonstrated a small amount of variation between runs of the method with a different ordering

of the input sequences. This indicates that although there may be variation between executions of the same method, they produce OTU assignments that are equally good. Revisiting the concept of stability, we question the value of obtaining stable OTUs when the full dataset is not optimally assigned to OTUs. Our analysis indicates that the best method for assigning the Canadian soils sequences to OTUs using a 97% threshold is the AL method.

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

211

212

213

214

215

216

218

219

220

221

222

223

225

226

228

Deep sampling of 16S rRNA genes. Three factors make the Canadian soil dataset less than desirable to evaluate clustering methods. First, it was one of the earliest 16S rRNA gene sequence datasets published using the 454 FLX platform. Developments in sequencing technology now permit the sequencing of millions of sequences for a study. In addition, because the original Phred quality scores and flowgram data are not available, it was not possible for us to adequately remove sequencing errors (Schloss, Gevers & Westcott, 2011). The large number of sequences that one would expect to remain in the dataset are likely to negatively affect the performance of all of the clustering methods. Second, the dataset used in the He study covered the V9 region of the 16S rRNA gene. For a variety of reasons, this region is not well represented in databases, including the reference database used by the closed and open-reference methods. Of the 99,322 sequences in the default QIIME database, only 99,310 fully cover the V9 region. In contrast, 99,310 of the sequences fully covered the V4 region. Inadequate coverage of the V9 region would adversely affect the ability of the reference-based methods to assign sequences to OTUs. Third, our previous analysis has shown that the V9 region evolves at a rate much slower than the rest of the gene (Schloss, 2010). With these points in mind, we compared the clustering assignment for each of these methods using a time series experiment that was obtained using mouse feces (Schloss et al., 2012; Kozich et al., 2013). The MiSeg platform was used to generate NA seguences from the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene of 360 samples. Parallel sequencing of a mock community indicated that the sequencing error rate was approximately 0.02% (Kozich et al., 2013). Although no dataset is perfect for exhaustively testing these clustering methods, this dataset was useful for demonstrating several points. First, when using 60% of the data the stability relationships amongst the different methods were similar to what we observed using the He dataset (Figure 3AB). With the exception for the clusters generated using CL, the methods all performed very well with stabilities greater than 0.91. Second, the MCC values calculated relative to the distances between sequences

were generally higher than was observed for the Canadian soil dataset for all of the methods except the CL and SL methods. Surprisingly, the MCC values for the DGC (0.77) and AGC (0.76) methods were comparable to the AL method (0.76; Figure 3CD). This result suggests that the optimal method is likely to be database-dependent. Finally, as was observed with the Canadian soil dataset, there was little variation in MCC values observed among the 30 randomizations. Therefore, although the methods have a stochastic component, the OTU assignments do not vary meaningfully between runs. The results from both the Canadian soil and murine microbiota datasets demonstrate that the *de novo* methods can generate stable OTU assignments and that the assignments are highly reproducible. Most importantly, these analyses demonstrate that the OTU assignments using the AL, AGC, and DGC *de novo* methods are consistently more robust than either of the reference-based methods.

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

Evalution of an open-source alternative to USEARCH. For some datasets the AGC and DGC methods appear to perform as well or better than the hierarchical clustering methods. As originally described in the He study, the AGC and DGC methods utilized the USEARCH program (Edgar, 2010), which is not available as open source code and is only available for free to academic users as a 32-bit program. Access for non-academic users and those needing the 64-bit version is available commercially from the developer. An alternative to USEARCH is VSEARCH, which is being developed in parallel to USEARCH as a open-source alternative. One subtle difference between the two programs is that USEARCH employs a heuristic to generate candidate alignments whereas VSEARCH generates the actual global alignments. The VSEARCH developers claim that this difference enhances the sensitivity of VSEARCH relative to USEARCH. Using the two datasets, we determined whether the AGC and DGC methods, as implemented by the two programs, yielded OTU assignments of similar quality. In general the overall trends that we observed with the USEARCH-version of AGC and DGC were also observed with the VSEARCH-verion of the methods (Figure 4). When we compared the two implementations of the AGC and DGC methods, the OTUs generated by the VSEARCH-verion of the methods were as stable or more stable than the USEARCH-version when using 60% of the datasets. In addition, the MCC values for the entire datasets, calculated relative to the distance matrix, were virtually indistinguishable. These results

are a strong indication that VSEARCH is a suitable and possibly better replacement for USEARCH for executing the AGC and DGC methods.

Problems with reference-based clustering in general and as implemented in QIIME. The He 259 study and our replication attempt validated that the closed-reference method generated perfectly 260 stable OTUs. This was unsurprising since, by definition, the method represents a one-to-one 261 mapping of reads to a reference and treats the input sequences independently. An important test 262 that was not performed in the He study was to determine whether the clustering was sensitive 263 to the order of the sequences in the database. The default database used in QIIME, which was 264 also used in the He study and by others, contains full-length sequences that are at most 97% 265 similar to each other. We randomized the order of the reference sequences 30 times and used 266 them to carry out the closed-reference method with the full murine dataset, which contained NA unique sequences. Surprisingly, we observed that the number of OTUs generated was not the 268 same in each of the randomizations. On average there were 28,059 sequences that mapped to 269 a reference OTU per randomization (range from 28,007 to 28,111). The original ordering of the 270 reference resulted in 27,876 sequences being mapped, less than the minimum observed number 271 of mapped sequences when the references were randomized. This surprising result was likely due 272 to the performance of the USEARCH heuristic. To test this further, we substituted VSEARCH for 273 USEARCH in the closed-reference method. When we used VSEARCH the original ordering of the reference sequences and all randomizations were able to map 27,737 sequences to reference 275 OTUs. When we calculated the true distance between each of the murine sequences and the 276 references, we were able to map 28,238 of the murine sequences to the reference sequences 277 when using a 97% similarity threshold without the use of a heuristic. This result indicates that 278 the closed reference approach, whether using USEARCH or VSEARCH, does not exhaustively or 279 accurately map reads to the closest reference. To quantify this further, we calculated the MCC for 280 the USEARCH and VSEARCH assignments relative to the assignments using the non-heuristic 281 approach. Using USEARCH the average MCC was 0.78 (range: 0.75 to 0.8) and using VSEARCH 282 the average MCC was 0.65 (range: 0.64 to 0.66). The two methods had similar sensitivities 283 (USEARCH: 0.98 and VSEARCH: 0.97), but the USEARCH specificity (0.73) was considerably 284 higher than VSEARCH 0.73. Overall, these results indicate that although heuristic approaches may 285

be fast, relative to non-heuristic approaches, they do a poor job of mapping reads to the correct reference sequence.

We also observed that regardless of whether we used USEARCH or VSEARCH, the reference 288 OTU labels that were assigned to each OTU differed between randomizations. When we used 289 USEARCH to perform closed-reference clustering, an average of 57% of the labels were shared 290 between pairs of the 30 randomizations (range=56 to 60). If we instead used VSEARCH an average 291 of 56% of the labels were shared between pairs of the 30 randomizations (range=54 to 59). To 292 better understand this result, we further analyzed QIIME's reference database. We hypothesized 293 that within a given region there would be sequences that were more than 97% similar and possibly 294 identical to each other. When a sequence was used to search the randomized databases, it would 295 encounter a different reference sequence as the first match with each randomization. Among those reference sequences that fully overlap the V4 region, there were 7,785 pairs of sequences 297 that were more than 97% similar to each other over the full length of the 16S rRNA gene. When 298 the extracted V4 sequences were dereplicated, we identified 88,347 unique sequences. Among 299 these dereplicated V4 sequences there were 311,430 pairs of sequences that were more than 300 97% similar to each other. The presence of duplicate V4 reference sequences explains the lack 301 of labeling stability when using either USEARCH or VSEARCH to carry out the closed-reference 302 method. We suspect that the reference database was designed to only include sequences that 303 were at most 97% similar to each other way to overcome the limitations of the USEARCH search 304 heuristic. 305

Beyond comparing the abundance of specific OTUs across samples, the reference database is used in the open and closed-reference methods to generate OTU labels that are used in several downstream applications. It is commonly used to extract information from a reference phylogenetic tree to carrying out UniFrac-based analyses (Hamady & Knight, 2009) and to identify reference genomes for performing analyses such as PICRUSt (Langille et al., 2013). Because these downstream applications depend on the correct and unique labeling of the OTUs, the lack of stability of the labeling is problematic. As one illustration of the effects that incorrect labels would have on an analysis, we asked whether the duplicate sequences had the same taxonomies. Among the 3,132 reference sequences that had one duplicate, 443 had discordant taxonomies.

306

307

308

309

310

311

313

Furthermore, among those 1,699 sequences with two or more duplicates, 698 had discordant 315 taxonomies. Two sequences mapped to 30 and 10 duplicate sequences and both contained 7 different taxonomies. Among the sequences within the database, there was also a sequence had 317 131 duplicates and contained 5 different taxonomies. When we analyzed the 28,238 sequences that 318 mapped to the reference sequences using a non-heuristic approach, we observed that 18,315 of 319 the sequences mapped to more than one reference sequence. Of these sequences, 13,378 (73%) 320 mapped to references that were identical over the V4 region and 4,937 (27%) mapped equally well to two or more references that were not identical over the V4 region. Among the combined 322 18,315 sequences that mapped to multiple reference sequences, the taxonomy of the multiple reference sequences conflicted for 3,637 (20%). Together, these results demonstrate some of the considerable problems with the reference-based clustering of sequences. 325

Conclusions

321

324

It is worth noting that the entire design of the He study was artificial. First, their analysis was based 327 on a single soil sample. Researchers generally have dozens or hundreds of samples that are pooled 328 and clustered together to enable comparison across samples. Second, all of the sequence data from these datasets is pooled for a single analysis. It is unclear why anyone would ever perform an 330 analysis based on a subset of their data. Because of these points, the value of identifying stable 331 OTUs is unclear. Greater emphasis should be placed on obtaining an optimal balance between 332 splitting similar sequences into separate OTUs and merging disparate sequences into the same 333 OTU. Through the use of the pairwise distances between sequences, we were able to use the MCC 334 to demonstrate that, in general, the AL, AGC, and DGC methods perform better than the others. 335 Although there is concern that running the methods multiple times yields different clusterings, 336 we have shown that there is little variation in their MCC values. This suggests that the different 337 clusterings by the same method are equally good. Finally, it is impossible to obtain a clustering with 338 no false positives or false negatives and the optimal method may vary by dataset. With this in mind, researchers are encouraged to run the AL and VSEARCH AGC or DGC methods and calculate 340 and report their MCC values. 341

Our analysis of those methods that implemented USEARCH as a method for clustering sequences revealed that its heuristic limited its specificity. When we replaced USEARCH with VSEARCH, the clustering quality improved. Although there may be parameters in USEARCH that can be tuned to improve the heuristic, these parameters are likely dataset dependent. Based on the data presented in this study, its availability as an open source, and free program, VSEARCH should replace USEARCH in these clustering methods. Furthermore, although not tested in our study, VSEARCH can be parallelized leading to potentially significant improvements in speed. Although USEARCH and VSEARCH do not utilize aligned sequences, it is important to note that a sequence curation pipeline including denoising, alignment, trimming to a consistent region of the 16S rRNA gene, and chimera checking are critical to making proper inferences (Schloss, Gevers & Westcott, 2011; Schloss, 2012; Kozich et al., 2013).

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

367

368

We have assessed the ability of reference-based clustering methods to capture the actual distance between the sequences in a dataset in parallel with de novo methods. Several studies have lauded both the open and closed-reference approaches for generating reproducible clusterings (Navas-Molina et al., 2013; Rideout et al., 2014; He et al., 2015), yet we have shown that both reference-based approaches did a poor job of representing the distance between the sequences compared to the de novo approaches. Although the OTU assignments are reproducible and stable across a range of library sizes, the reference-based OTU assignments are a poor representation of the data. We also observed that the assignments were not actually reproducible when the order of the reference sequences was randomize. When USEARCH was used, the actual number of sequences that mapped to the reference changed depended on the order of the reference. Perhaps most alarming was that the default order of the database provided the worst MCC of any of the randomizations we attempted. Even when we used VSEARCH to perform closed-reference clustering and were able to obtain a consistent clusterings, we observed that the labels on the OTUs differed between randomizations. Because the OTU labels are frequently used to identify representative sequences for those OTUs, variation in labels, often representing different taxonomic groups, will have a detrimental effect on the interpretation of downstream analyses.

Because the open-reference method is a hybrid of the closed-reference and DGC methods, it is also negatively affected by the problems with using USEARCH for both methods. An added

problem with the open-reference method is that the two phases of the method employ different thresholds to define its OTUs. In the closed-reference step, sequences must be within a threshold of a reference to be in the same OTU. This means that two sequences that are 97% similar to a reference and are joined into the same OTU, may only be 94% similar to each other. In the DGC step, the goal is to approximate the AL method which requires that, on average, the sequences within an OTU are, on average, 97% similar to each other. The end result of the open-reference approach is that sequences that are similar to previously observed sequences are clustered with one threshold while those that are not similar to previously observed sequences are clustered with a different threshold.

As the throughput of sequencing technologies have improved, development of clustering algorithms 380 must continue to keep pace. De novo clustering methods are considerably slower and more computationally intensive than reference-based methods and the greedy de novo methods are faster 382 than the hierarchical methods. In our experience (Kozich et al., 2013), the most significant detriment 383 to execution speed of the de novo methods has been the inadequate removal of sequencing error 384 and chimeras. As the rate of sequencing error increases so do the number of unique sequences 385 that must be clustered. The speed of the de novo methods scales approximately quadratically, so 386 that doubling the number of sequences results in a four-fold increase in the time required to execute the method. The rapid expansion in sequencing throughput has been likened to the Red Queen 388 in Lewis Carroll's, Through the Looking-Glass who must run in place to keep up to her changing surroundings (Schloss et al., 2009). Microbial ecologists must continue to refine clustering methods 390 to better handle the size of the datasets, but they must also take steps to improve the quality of the underlying data. Ultimately, objective standards must be applied to assess the quality of the data 392 and the quality of OTU clustering. 393

Methods

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

381

387

389

391

396

397

454 FLX-generated Roesch Canadian soil dataset After obtaining the 16S rRNA gene fragments from GenBank (accessions EF308591-EF361836), we followed the methods outlined by the He study by removing any sequence that contained an ambiguous base, was identified as being a chimera, and fell outside a defined sequence length. Although they reported observing a total of 50,542 sequences that were represented by 13,293 unique sequences, we obtained a total of 50,946 sequences that were represented by 13,393 unique sequences. Similar to the He study, we randomly sampled, without replacement, 20, 40, 60, and 80% of the sequences from the full data set. The random sampling was repeated 30 times. The order of the sequences in the full dataset was randomly permuted without replacement to generate an additional 30 datasets. To perform the hierarchical clustering methods and to generate a distance matrix we followed the approach of the He study by calculating distances based on pairwise global alignments using the pairwise.dist command in mothur using the default Needleman-Wunsch alignment method and parameters. It should be noted that this approach has been strongly discouraged (Schloss, 2012). Execution of the hierarchical clustering methods was performed as described in the original He study using mothur (v.1.37) and using the QIIME (v.1.9.1) parameter profiles provided in the supplementary material from the He study for the greedy and reference-based clustering methods.

MiSeq-generated Murine gut microbiota dataset The murine 16S rRNA gene sequence data generated from the V4 region using an Illumina MiSeq was obtained from http://www.mothur.org/MiSeqDevelopmentData/StabilityNoMetaG.tar and was processed as outlined in the original study (Kozich et al., 2013). Briefly, 250-nt read pairs were assembled into contigs by aligning the reads and correcting discordant base calls by requiring one of the base calls to have a Phred quality score at least 6 points higher than the other. Sequences where it was not possible to resolve the disagreement were culled from the dataset. The sequences were then aligned to a SILVA reference alignment (Pruesse et al., 2007) and any reads that aligned outside of the V4 region were removed from the dataset. Sequences were pre-clustered by combining the abundances of sequences that differed by 2 or fewer nucleotides of a more abundant sequence. Each of the samples was then screened for chimeric sequences using the default parameters in UCHIME (Edgar et al., 2011). The resulting sequences were processed in the same manner as the Canadian soil dataset with the exception that the distance matrices were calculated based on the SILVA-based alignment.

Analysis of reference database. We utilized the 97% OTUs greengenes reference sequence and taxonomy data (v.13.8) that accompanies the QIIME installation. Because the greengenes reference alignment does a poor job of representing the secondary structure of the 16S rRNA gene

(Schloss, 2010), we realigned the fasta sequences to a SILVA reference alignment to identify the V4 region of the sequences.

Calculation of Matthew's Correlation Coefficient (MCC). The MCC was calculated by two 429 approaches in this study using only the dereplicated sequence lists. First, we calculated the 430 MCC to determine the stability of OTU assignments following the approach of the He study. We 431 assumed that the clusters obtained from the 30 randomized full datasets were correct. We counted 432 the number of sequence pairs that were in the same OTU for the subsetted dataset and the full 433 dataset (i.e. true positives; TP), that were in different OTUs for the subsetted dataset and the full dataset (i.e. true negatives; TN), that were in the same OTU for the subsetted dataset and different 435 OTUs in the full dataset (i.e. false positives; FP), and that were in different OTUs for the subsetted 436 dataset and the same OTU in the full dataset (i.e. false negatives; FN). For each set of 30 random 437 subsamplings of the dataset, we counted these parameters against the 30 randomizations of the 438 full dataset. This gave 900 comparisons for each fraction of sequences being used in the analysis. 439 The Matthew's correlation coefficient was then calculated as:

$$MCC = \frac{TP \times TN - FP \times FN}{\sqrt{(TP + FP)(TP + FN)(TN + FP)(TN + FN)}}$$

Second, we calculated the MCC to determine the quality of the clusterings as previously described (Schloss & Westcott, 2011). Briefly, we compared the OTU assignments for pairs of sequences to the distance matrix that was calculated between all pairs of aligned sequences. For each dataset that was clustered, those sequences that were in the same OTU and had a distance less than 3% were TPs, those that were in different OTUs and had a distance greater than 3% were TNs, those that were in the same OTU and had a distance greater than 3% were FPs, and those that were in different OTUs and had a distance less than 3% were FNs. The MCC was counted for each dataset using the formula above as implemented in the sens.spec command in mothur.

Software availability. A reproducible workflow including all scripts and this manuscript as a literate programming document are available at https://github.com/SchlossLab/Schloss_Cluster_PeerJ_ 2015. The workflow utilized QIIME (v.1.9.1; Caporaso et al., 2010), mothur (v.1.37.0; Schloss et al., 2009), USEARCH (v.6.1; Edgar, 2010), VSEARCH (v.1.5.0; Rognes et al., 2015), and R (v.3.2.0; R

- ⁴⁵³ Core Team, 2015). The knitr (v.1.10.5; Xie, 2013), Rcpp (v. 0.11.6; Eddelbuettel, 2013), rentrez (v.
- 1.0.0; Winter, 2015), and jsonlite (v. 0.9.16; Ooms, 2014) packages were used within R.

455 Figures

Comparison of the stability (A, B) and quality (C, D) of de novo and Figure 1. 456 reference-based clustering methods using the Canadian soil dataset. The average 457 stability of the OTUs were determined by calculating the MCC with respect to the OTU assignments for the full dataset using varying sized subsamples (A). Thirty randomizations were performed for 459 each fraction of the dataset and the average and 95% confidence interval are presented when using 460 60% of the data. The quality of the OTUs were determined by calculating the MCC with respect to 461 the distances between the sequences using varying sized subsamples (C). Thirty randomizations 462 were performed for each fraction of the dataset and the average and 95% confidence interval are 463 presented when using the full dataset (D). The vertical gray line indicates in A and C indicates the 464 fraction of the dataset represented in B and D, respectively. 465

Figure 2. The clustering methods varied in their ability to generate the same number of
OTUs using a subset of the data as were observed when the full dataset was rarefied. The
subsetted data are depicted by closed circles and the data from the rarefied full dataset is depicted
by the open circles.

Comparison of the stability (A, B) and quality (C, D) of de novo and Figure 3. 470 reference-based clustering methods using the murine dataset. The average stability of 471 the OTUs were determined by calculating the MCC with respect to the OTU assignments for the 472 full dataset using varying sized subsamples (A). Thirty randomizations were performed for each 473 fraction of the dataset and the average and 95% confidence interval are presented when using 474 60% of the data. The quality of the OTUs were determined by calculating the MCC with respect to 475 the distances between the sequences using varying sized subsamples (C). Thirty randomizations were performed for each fraction of the dataset and the average and 95% confidence interval are 477 presented when using the full dataset (D). The vertical gray line indicates in A and C indicates the 478 fraction of the dataset represented in B and D, respectively.

Figure 4. The VSEARCH OTUs generated by the AGC and DGC methods were comparable to those generated using USEARCH.

482 References

- Anderson MJ. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral
- 484 Ecology 26:32-46. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x.
- ⁴⁸⁵ Cai Y., Sun Y. 2011. ESPRIT-tree: Hierarchical clustering analysis of millions of 16S rRNA
- pyrosequences in quasilinear computational time. *Nucleic Acids Research* 39:e95–e95. DOI:
- 487 http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr349.
- ⁴⁸⁸ Caporaso JG., Kuczynski J., Stombaugh J., Bittinger K., Bushman FD., Costello EK., Fierer N., Peña
- 489 AG., Goodrich JK., Gordon JI., Huttley GA., Kelley ST., Knights D., Koenig JE., Ley RE., Lozupone
- ⁴⁹⁰ CA., McDonald D., Muegge BD., Pirrung M., Reeder J., Sevinsky JR., Turnbaugh PJ., Walters WA.,
- Widmann J., Yatsunenko T., Zaneveld J., Knight R. 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput
- community sequencing data. *Nature Methods* 7:335–336. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303.
- ⁴⁹³ Chen W., Zhang CK., Cheng Y., Zhang S., Zhao H. 2013. A comparison of methods for clustering
- 16S rRNA sequences into OTUs. PLoS ONE 8:e70837. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.
- 495 0070837.
- Eddelbuettel D. 2013. Seamless R and C++ integration with Rcpp. New York: Springer.
- Edgar RC. 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics
- ⁴⁹⁸ 26:2460–2461. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461.
- 499 Edgar RC., Haas BJ., Clemente JC., Quince C., Knight R. 2011. UCHIME improves sensitivity
- and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27:2194-2200. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1093/
- 501 bioinformatics/btr381.
- 502 Edgar RC. 2013. UPARSE: Highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nature
- 503 Methods 10:996–998. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2604.
- Gilbert JA., Steele JA., Caporaso JG., Steinbrück L., Reeder J., Temperton B., Huse S., McHardy
- AC., Knight R., Joint I., Somerfield P., Fuhrman JA., Field D. 2011. Defining seasonal marine
- microbial community dynamics. *The ISME Journal* 6:298–308. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.
- 507 **2011.107.**

- Hamady M., Knight R. 2009. Microbial community profiling for human microbiome projects: Tools, techniques, and challenges. *Genome Research* 19:1141–1152. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1101/gr. 085464.108.
- He Y., Caporaso JG., Jiang X-T., Sheng H-F., Huse SM., Rideout JR., Edgar RC., Kopylova E., Walters WA., Knight R., Zhou H-W. 2015. Stability of operational taxonomic units: An important but neglected property for analyzing microbial diversity. *Microbiome* 3. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-015-0081-x.
- Huttenhower C., Gevers D., Knight R., Abubucker S., Badger JH., Chinwalla AT., Creasy HH., Earl 515 AM., FitzGerald MG., Fulton RS., Giglio MG., Hallsworth-Pepin K., Lobos EA., Madupu R., Magrini 516 V., Martin JC., Mitreva M., Muzny DM., Sodergren EJ., Versalovic J., Wollam AM., Worley KC., 517 Wortman JR., Young SK., Zeng Q., Aagaard KM., Abolude OO., Allen-Vercoe E., Alm EJ., Alvarado 518 L., Andersen GL., Anderson S., Appelbaum E., Arachchi HM., Armitage G., Arze CA., Ayvaz T., 519 Baker CC., Begg L., Belachew T., Bhonagiri V., Bihan M., Blaser MJ., Bloom T., Bonazzi V., Brooks 520 JP., Buck GA., Buhay CJ., Busam DA., Campbell JL., Canon SR., Cantarel BL., Chain PSG., Chen 521 I-MA., Chen L., Chhibba S., Chu K., Ciulla DM., Clemente JC., Clifton SW., Conlan S., Crabtree 522 J., Cutting MA., Davidovics NJ., Davis CC., DeSantis TZ., Deal C., Delehaunty KD., Dewhirst FE., 523 Deych E., Ding Y., Dooling DJ., Dugan SP., Dunne WM., Durkin AS., Edgar RC., Erlich RL., Farmer 524 CN., Farrell RM., Faust K., Feldgarden M., Felix VM., Fisher S., Fodor AA., Forney LJ., Foster L., 525 Francesco VD., Friedman J., Friedrich DC., Fronick CC., Fulton LL., Gao H., Garcia N., Giannoukos 526 G., Giblin C., Giovanni MY., Goldberg JM., Goll J., Gonzalez A., Griggs A., Gujja S., Haake SK., Haas BJ., Hamilton HA., Harris EL., Hepburn TA., Herter B., Hoffmann DE., Holder ME., Howarth C., Huang KH., Huse SM., Izard J., Jansson JK., Jiang H., Jordan C., Joshi V., Katancik JA., Keitel 529 WA., Kelley ST., Kells C., King NB., Knights D., Kong HH., Koren O., Koren S., Kota KC., Kovar 530 CL., Kyrpides NC., Rosa PSL., Lee SL., Lemon KP., Lennon N., Lewis CM., Lewis L., Ley RE., 531 Li K., Liolios K., Liu B., Liu Y., Lo C-C., Lozupone CA., Lunsford RD., Madden T., Mahurkar AA., 532 Mannon PJ., Mardis ER., Markowitz VM., Mavromatis K., McCorrison JM., McDonald D., McEwen 533 J., McGuire AL., McInnes P., Mehta T., Mihindukulasuriya KA., Miller JR., Minx PJ., Newsham I., Nusbaum C., O'Laughlin M., Orvis J., Pagani I., Palaniappan K., Patel SM., Pearson M., Peterson 535 J., Podar M., Pohl C., Pollard KS., Pop M., Priest ME., Proctor LM., Qin X., Raes J., Ravel J., Reid

- JG., Rho M., Rhodes R., Riehle KP., Rivera MC., Rodriguez-Mueller B., Rogers Y-H., Ross MC.,
- Russ C., Sanka RK., Sankar P., Sathirapongsasuti JF., Schloss JA., Schloss PD., Schmidt TM.,
- 539 Scholz M., Schriml L., Schubert AM., Segata N., Segre JA., Shannon WD., Sharp RR., Sharpton
- TJ., Shenoy N., Sheth NU., Simone GA., Singh I., Smillie CS., Sobel JD., Sommer DD., Spicer P.,
- 541 Sutton GG., Sykes SM., Tabbaa DG., Thiagarajan M., Tomlinson CM., Torralba M., Treangen TJ.,
- Truty RM., Vishnivetskaya TA., Walker J., Wang L., Wang Z., Ward DV., Warren W., Watson MA.,
- Wellington C., Wetterstrand KA., White JR., Wilczek-Boney K., Wu Y., Wylie KM., Wylie T., Yandava
- ⁵⁴⁴ C., Ye L., Ye Y., Yooseph S., Youmans BP., Zhang L., Zhou Y., Zhu Y., Zoloth L., Zucker JD., Birren
- BW., Gibbs RA., Highlander SK., Methé BA., Nelson KE., Petrosino JF., Weinstock GM., Wilson
- 546 RK., White O. 2012. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature*
- ⁵⁴⁷ 486:207–214. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/nature11234.
- Kozich JJ., Westcott SL., Baxter NT., Highlander SK., Schloss PD. 2013. Development of a
- dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the
- 550 MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 79:5112–5120. DOI:
- 551 http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01043-13.
- Langille MGI., Zaneveld J., Caporaso JG., McDonald D., Knights D., Reyes JA., Clemente JC.,
- Burkepile DE., Thurber RLV., Knight R., Beiko RG., Huttenhower C. 2013. Predictive functional
- profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. Nat Biotechnol
- 555 31:814-821. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2676.
- Mahé F., Rognes T., Quince C., Vargas C de., Dunthorn M. 2014. Swarm: Robust and fast clustering
- method for amplicon-based studies. *PeerJ* 2:e593. DOI: http://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.593.
- 558 Matthews B. 1975. Comparison of the predicted and observed secondary structure of t4 phage
- 559 lysozyme. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Protein Structure 405:442-451. DOI: http:
- 560 //doi.org/10.1016/0005-2795(75)90109-9.
- Navas-Molina JA., Peralta-Sánchez JM., González A., McMurdie PJ., Vázquez-Baeza Y., Xu Z.,
- ⁵⁶² Ursell LK., Lauber C., Zhou H., Song SJ., Huntley J., Ackermann GL., Berg-Lyons D., Holmes
- 563 S., Caporaso JG., Knight R. 2013. Advancing our understanding of the human microbiome

- using QIIME. In: *Methods in enzymology*. Elsevier BV, 371–444. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1016/ b978-0-12-407863-5.00019-8.
- Ooms J. 2014. The jsonlite package: A practical and consistent mapping between JSON data and R objects. *arXiv:1403.2805 [stat.CO]*.
- Pruesse E., Quast C., Knittel K., Fuchs BM., Ludwig W., Peplies J., Glockner FO. 2007. SILVA: A comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. *Nucleic Acids Research* 35:7188–7196. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm864.
- R Core Team. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Rideout JR., He Y., Navas-Molina JA., Walters WA., Ursell LK., Gibbons SM., Chase J., McDonald
- D., Gonzalez A., Robbins-Pianka A., Clemente JC., Gilbert JA., Huse SM., Zhou H-W., Knight R.,
- 575 Caporaso JG. 2014. Subsampled open-reference clustering creates consistent, comprehensive
- OTU definitions and scales to billions of sequences. *PeerJ* 2:e545. DOI: http://doi.org/10.7717/
- 577 peerj.545.
- Roesch LFW., Fulthorpe RR., Riva A., Casella G., Hadwin AKM., Kent AD., Daroub SH., Camargo
- FAO., Farmerie WG., Triplett EW. 2007. Pyrosequencing enumerates and contrasts soil microbial
- diversity. *The ISME Journal*. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2007.53.
- Rognes T., Mahé F., Flouri T., McDonald; D. 2015. Vsearch: VSEARCH 1.4.0. DOI: http://doi.org/
- Schloss PD., Westcott SL., Ryabin T., Hall JR., Hartmann M., Hollister EB., Lesniewski RA.,
- Oakley BB., Parks DH., Robinson CJ., Sahl JW., Stres B., Thallinger GG., Horn DJV., Weber CF.
- ⁵⁸⁵ 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software
- for describing and comparing microbial communities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology
- ⁵⁸⁷ 75:7537–7541. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01541-09.
- sea Schloss PD. 2010. The effects of alignment quality, distance calculation method, sequence filtering,
- and region on the analysis of 16S rRNA gene-based studies. PLoS Comput Biol 6:e1000844. DOI:
- 590 http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000844.

- 591 Schloss PD., Schubert AM., Zackular JP., Iverson KD., Young VB., Petrosino JF. 2012. Stabilization
- of the murine gut microbiome following weaning. Gut Microbes 3:383–393. DOI: http://doi.org/10.
- 593 4161/gmic.21008.
- Schloss PD. 2012. Secondary structure improves OTU assignments of 16S rRNA gene sequences.
- ⁵⁹⁵ The ISME Journal 7:457–460. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.102.
- 596 Schloss PD., Westcott SL. 2011. Assessing and improving methods used in operational taxonomic
- unit-based approaches for 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. Applied and Environmental
- 598 *Microbiology* 77:3219–3226. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02810-10.
- 599 Schloss PD., Gevers D., Westcott SL. 2011. Reducing the effects of PCR amplification and
- sequencing artifacts on 16S rRNA-based studies. *PLoS ONE* 6:e27310. DOI: http://doi.org/10.
- 601 1371/journal.pone.0027310.
- 602 Schmidt TSB., Rodrigues JFM., Mering C von. 2014. Limits to robustness and reproducibility
- in the demarcation of operational taxonomic units. *Environ Microbiol* 17:1689–1706. DOI: http:
- 604 //doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12610.
- Shade A., Klimowicz AK., Spear RN., Linske M., Donato JJ., Hogan CS., McManus PS.,
- Handelsman J. 2013. Streptomycin application has no detectable effect on bacterial community
- structure in apple orchard soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 79:6617–6625. DOI:
- 608 http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02017-13.
- Sun Y., Cai Y., Liu L., Yu F., Farrell ML., McKendree W., Farmerie W. 2009. ESPRIT: Estimating
- species richness using large collections of 16S rRNA pyrosequences. Nucleic Acids Research
- 37:e76-e76. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp285.
- Sun Y., Cai Y., Huse SM., Knight R., Farmerie WG., Wang X., Mai V. 2011. A large-scale benchmark
- study of existing algorithms for taxonomy-independent microbial community analysis. Briefings in
- 614 Bioinformatics 13:107–121. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbr009.
- Wang Q., Garrity GM., Tiedje JM., Cole JR. 2007. Naive bayesian classifier for rapid assignment
- of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Applied and Environmental Microbiology
- 617 73:5261-5267. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00062-07.
- Winter D. 2015. Rentrez: Entrez in R.

Xie Y. 2013. *Dynamic documents with R and knitr*. Boca Raton, Florida: Chapman; Hall/CRC.