OptiFit: a fast method for fitting amplicon sequences to existing OTUs

2020-12-10

Kelly L. Sovacool¹, Sarah L. Westcott², M. Brodie Mumphrey¹, Gabrielle A. Dotson¹, Patrick D. Schloss²†

- 1 Department of Computational Medicine and Bioinformatics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109
 - 2 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

† To whom correspondence should be addressed: pschloss@umich.edu

- **Abstract**
- ₂ Importance

Introduction

- Assigning amplicon sequences to Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) is an important step in characterizing the composition of microbial communities across large datasets. OptiClust, a *de novo* OTU clustering method in the mothur program, has been shown to produce higher quality OTU assignments than other methods and at comparable or faster speeds (1, 2). A notable difference between *de novo* clustering and database-dependent methods is that OTU assignments clustered with *de novo* methods are not stable when new sequences are added to a dataset (3). However, in some cases one may wish to incorporate new samples into a previously clustered dataset without performing clustering again on all sequences, such as when deploying a machine learning model where OTUs are features (4). To provide an efficient and robust method to fit amplicon sequence data to existing OTUs, we developed the OptiFit algorithm as a new component of the mothur program.
 - TODO: Describe OptiClust and vsearch.

7 Results

16

18

19

20

23

24

25

26

- TODO: brief description of OptiFit algorithm. the method we came up with is the result. OptiClust paper had toy example to walk through the algorithm.
- TODO: Paragraph at beginning to describe datasets: why picked, how processed.
- We used four published datasets isolated from soil (5), marine (6), mouse (7), and human (8) samples.
 - 16S rRNA gene sequence datasets from human gut, mouse gut, marine, and soil
 environments as well as the greengenes, silva, and RDP public databases were
 processed with mothur and clustered de novo with mothur's OptiClust algorithm.
 - All clustering was performed at a distance threshold of 0.03 and repeated with 100

27 different random seeds.

32

33

35

37

44

49

• The Matthews Correlation Coefficient was calculated to serve as a measure of OTU quality. OTU quality was evaluated using the Matthews Correlation Coefficient (MCC) with a sequence dissimilarity threshold of 0.03% as described previously (3, 9).

31 Reference clustering with public databases

- Public reference databases were clustered de novo using OptiClust, then query datasets were fit to the de novo OTUs using OptiFit.
 - In open-reference mode, OTU quality was similar between fitting the datasets to reference OTUs with OptiFit and clustering the datasets *de novo* with OptiClust.
 This held true for all datasets and reference databases.
 - However, in closed-reference mode, OTU quality was slightly worse when fitting
 to greengenes and silva, and much worse when fitting to RDP as compared to
 OptiClust. OptiFit was able to map more query sequences to reference OTUs
 created with the greengenes and silva databases than with RDP.
 - In terms of runtime, closed-reference OptiFit outperformed OptiClust, while
 OptiClust out-performed open-reference OptiFit.

Reference clustering with split datasets

- TODO: compare optifit performance for split dataset vs public database
- TODO: double-check with Sarah that MCCs are from full OTU dataset or just query
 sequnce OTUs
- TODO: double-check reference fractions aren't flipped (fraction mapped plot looks
 weird)
 - Datasets were randomly split into a reference fraction and a query fraction. Reference

sizes from 10% to 80% of the sequences were created, with the remaining sequences used for the query. Reference sequences were clustered *de novo* with OptiClust, then query sequences were fit to the *de novo* OTUs with OptiFit.

- OTU quality from fitting split datasets was highly similar to that from de novo clustering the whole dataset.
- Closed-reference OptiFit with split datasets was faster than OptiClust on whole datasets.
 - OptiClust performed faster than open-reference OptiFit only when the OptiFit reference fraction was 30% or less.
- Different methods for selecting the sequences to be used as the reference were tested; a simple random sample, weighting sequences by relative abundance, and weighting by similarity to other sequences in the dataset.
 - OTU quality was similar with the simple and abundance-weighted sampling,
 but slightly worse with similarity-weighted sampling.
 - * The fraction of query sequences that are able to be fit to the reference OTUs in closed-reference mode decreases as the reference fraction increases.

66 Comparison to vsearch

50

51

53

54

55

63

65

67

70

71

72

73

- TODO: move this into first section with public database.
- vsearch is more permissive than mothur (radius vs diameter with 0.03 dissimilarity
 threshold).
 - To compare to existing software, vsearch was used to cluster OTUs de novo or with reference-based clustering to the greengenes database.
 - For all datasets and clustering methods (*de novo*, open reference, and closed reference), mothur's clustering algorithms produced higher quality OTUs than

- vsearch.
- When closed-reference clustering against the greengenes database, vsearch
 was able to map more query sequences to the reference than mothur's OptiFit
 algorithm.
- In terms of runtime, OptiFit genearly performed faster than vsearch when
 reference clustering, while vsearch *de novo* clustering outperformed OptiClust.

Discussion

81 Materials and Methods

82 Sequence Data Processing Steps

83 Benchmarking

84 Data and Code Availability

- 85 TODO: cite all these dependencies
- We implemented the analysis workflow in Snakemake and relied on Python, R, and GNU
- bash. Dependencies include mothur v1.45.0, vsearch v2.13.3, numpy, R Markdown, the
- 88 Tidyverse metapackage, and the conda environment manager. A reproducible version of
- the workflow, manuscript, and conda environment is available at TODO: UPDATED REPO
- 90 LINK.

91 Acknowledgements

- ⁹² KLS received support from the NIH Training Program in Bioinformatics (T32 GM070449).
- The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision
- ₉₄ to submit the work for publication.

5 Author Contributions

- KLS wrote the analysis code, evaluated the algorithm, and wrote the original draft of
 the manuscript. SLW designed and implemented the OptiFit algorithm and assisted in
 debugging the analysis code. MBM and GAD contributed analysis code. PDS conceived
 the study, supervised the project, and assisted in debugging the analysis code. All authors
 reviewed and edited the manuscript.
- 10. **Westcott SL**, **Schloss PD**. 2017. OptiClust, an Improved Method for Assigning
 102 Amplicon-Based Sequence Data to Operational Taxonomic Units. mSphere **2**:e00073–17.
 103 doi:10.1128/mSphereDirect.00073-17.
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB,
 Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger
 GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent,
 community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities.
 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 75:7537–7541. doi:10.1128/AEM.01541-09.
- 3. **Westcott SL**, **Schloss PD**. 2015. De novo clustering methods outperform reference-based methods for assigning 16S rRNA gene sequences to operational taxonomic units. PeerJ **3**:e1487. doi:10.7717/peerj.1487.
- 4. **Topçuoğlu BD**, **Lesniak NA**, **Ruffin M**, **Wiens J**, **Schloss PD**. 2019. Effective application of machine learning to microbiome-based classification problems. bioRxiv 816090. doi:10.1101/816090.
- 5. Johnston ER, Rodriguez-R LM, Luo C, Yuan MM, Wu L, He Z, Schuur EAG, Luo Y,
 Tiedje JM, Zhou J, Konstantinidis KT. 2016. Metagenomics Reveals Pervasive Bacterial
 Populations and Reduced Community Diversity across the Alaska Tundra Ecosystem. Front
 Microbiol 7. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00579.

- 6. Henson MW, Pitre DM, Weckhorst JL, Lanclos VC, Webber AT, Thrash JC. 2016.
- Artificial Seawater Media Facilitate Cultivating Members of the Microbial Majority from the Gulf of Mexico. mSphere 1. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00028-16.
- 7. Schloss PD, Schubert AM, Zackular JP, Iverson KD, Young VB, Petrosino JF. 2012.
- Stabilization of the murine gut microbiome following weaning. Gut Microbes 3:383–393.
- doi:10.4161/gmic.21008.

122

127

- 8. Baxter NT, Ruffin MT, Rogers MAM, Schloss PD. 2016. Microbiota-based model 125 improves the sensitivity of fecal immunochemical test for detecting colonic lesions. Genome 126 Med 8:37. doi:10.1186/s13073-016-0290-3.
- 9. Schloss PD. 2016. Application of a Database-Independent Approach To Assess 128 the Quality of Operational Taxonomic Unit Picking Methods. mSystems 1:e00027-16. doi:10.1128/mSystems.00027-16.

References