# Revisiting Short-Chain Fatty Acids and the Microbiota in Colorectal Cancer

Running title: SCFAs and Colorectal Cancer
Marc A Sze $^1$ , Nicholas A Lesniak $^1$ , Mack T Ruffin IV $^2$ , Patrick D. Schloss $^1\dagger$
To whom correspondence should be addressed: pschloss@umich.edu
Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109
2 Department of Family Medicine and Community Medicine, Penn State Hershey Medical Center Hershey, PA

1 Abstract

<sub>2</sub> Introduction

3 Results

# 4 Discussion

5 Conclusions

### 6 Materials and Methods

- <sup>7</sup> **Study design and sampling.** The overall protocol has been described in detail previously (1, 2).
- 8 In brief, this study used fecal samples obtained at either a single cross-sectional time point (n=490)
- 9 or from before and after treatment for their tumor (n=67). For patients undergoing treatment for their
- tumor the length of time between their initial and follow up sample ranged from 188 546 days. Our
- use of treatment has been previously defined as encompassing removal of a tumor with or without
- chemotherapy and radiation (1). Diagnosis of tumor was made by colonoscopic examination and
- histopathological review of biopsies obtained (1, 2). The University of Michigan Institutional Review
- Board approved the study and informed consent was obtained from all participants in accordance
- to the guidelines set out by the Helsinki Decleration.
- Measuring specific SCFAs. Our protocol for the measurement of acetate, butyrate, and propionate
- followed a previously published protocol (3). The following changes to this protocol included the
- use of frozen fecal samples suspended in 1ml of PBS instead of fecal suspensions in DNA Genotek
- omniGut tubes, and the use of the acutal weight of fecal samples instead of the average weight for
- <sup>20</sup> SCFA concentration normalizations. These changes did not affect the overall median concentrations
- of these SCFAs between the two studies (see Table 1 (3) and Figure 1 in this report).
- 16s rRNA gene sequencing. The workflow and processing have been described previously (1,
- 4, 5). The major differences from these previous reports include: the use of version 1.39.5 of the
- <sup>24</sup> mothur software package and clustering Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) at 97% similarity
- used the OptClust algorithm (6).
- 26 Generating imputed metagenomes. The use of PICRUSt version 1.1.2 with the recommended
- 27 standard operating protocol (7) was used. Briefly, the mothur shared file and metadata was
- s converted into a biom formated table using the biom convert function, the subsequent biom
- 29 file was processed with the normalize\_by\_copy\_number.py function, and subsequent imputed
- metagenomes created using the predict metagenomes.py function.
- Obtaining OPFs from metagenomes. A subset of the cross-sectional group (n=490) containing
- 32 a total of 85 individuals (normal n=29 normal, adenoma n=28, and carcinoma n=28) was shotgun

- sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq with 125 bp paired end reads using a previously described method
  (8). Briefly, the sequences were quality filtered and sequences aligning to the human genome
  were removed prior to contig assembly with MEGAHIT (9). Open Reading Frames (ORFs) were
  identified using Prodigal (10), counts generated using Diamond (11), subsequent clustering into
  Operational Protein Families (OPFs) used mmseq2 (12), and OPF gene alignment used the KEGG
  database (13).
- Pulling genes involved with SCFA synthesis. Specific genes located near the end of the pathways involved in the synthesis of acetate, butyrate, and propionate were analyzed for any differences between individuals with normal colons and those with tumors. These genes were based on pathways from KEGG as well as previous research (13, 14) and can be found in the supplemental material [Table S1].
- Statistical analysis workflow. All analysis was performed using the statistical language R (15).

  Generally, differences between the different disease groups used a Kruskal-Walis rank sum test
  with a Dunn's post-hoc test. Models to classify high or low SCFA concentration based on 16S rRNA
  gene sequencing data were created using Random Forest (16). Regression models to classify the
  exact SCFA concentration based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing data also were built using the
  Random Forest algorithm. The measured SCFA concentrations were first tested for differences
  between groups. The ability of 16S rRNA gene sequencing to classify these concentrations were
  then assessed. Next, the imputed gene counts of important mediators of SCFA creation were
  tested. Finally, the counts generated for OPFs that matched important genes involved with SCFA
  creation were analyzed.

## 54 Acknowledgements

- The authors thank the Great Lakes-New England Early Detection Research Network for providing
  the fecal samples that were used in this study. We would also like to thank Kwi Kim and Thomas M
  Schmidt for their help in running the short-chian fatty acid analysis on the High-Performace Liquid
  Chromatography machine at the University of Michigan. Salary support for Marc A. Sze came from
  the Canadian Institute of Health Research and NIH grant UL1TR002240. Salary support for Patrick
- 60 D. Schloss came from NIH grants P30DK034933 and 1R01CA215574.

### 61 References

- 1. Sze MA, Baxter NT, Ruffin MT, Rogers MAM, Schloss PD. 2017. Normalization of the microbiota in patients after treatment for colonic lesions. Microbiome 5. doi:10.1186/s40168-017-0366-3.
- Baxter NT, Ruffin MT, Rogers MAM, Schloss PD. 2016. Microbiota-based model improves
- the sensitivity of fecal immunochemical test for detecting colonic lesions. Genome Medicine 8.
- 66 doi:10.1186/s13073-016-0290-3.
- 67 3. Venkataraman A, Sieber JR, Schmidt AW, Waldron C, Theis KR, Schmidt TM. 2016.
- 68 Variable responses of human microbiomes to dietary supplementation with resistant starch.
- 69 Microbiome 4. doi:10.1186/s40168-016-0178-x.
- <sup>70</sup> 4. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA,
- Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Horn DJV, Weber CF.
- 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software
- for describing and comparing microbial communities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology
- 74 **75**:7537–7541. doi:10.1128/aem.01541-09.
- <sub>75</sub> 5. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. 2013. Development of a
- dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on
- the MiSeq illumina sequencing platform. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 79:5112–5120.
- 78 doi:10.1128/aem.01043-13.
- 79 6. Westcott SL, Schloss PD. 2017. OptiClust, an improved method for assigning
- 80 amplicon-based sequence data to operational taxonomic units. mSphere 2:e00073–17.
- 81 doi:10.1128/mspheredirect.00073-17.
- 7. Langille MGI, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA, Clemente JC,
- Burkepile DE, Thurber RLV, Knight R, Beiko RG, Huttenhower C. 2013. Predictive functional
- 84 profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. Nature Biotechnology

- 85 **31**:814–821. doi:10.1038/nbt.2676.
- 8. Hannigan GD, Duhaime MB, Ruffin MT, Koumpouras CC, Schloss PD. 2017. Diagnostic potential & the interactive dynamics of the colorectal cancer virome. doi:10.1101/152868.
- 9. **Li D**, **Liu C-M**, **Luo R**, **Sadakane K**, **Lam T-W**. 2015. MEGAHIT: An ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de bruijn graph. Bioinformatics
- 91 10. Hyatt D, Chen G-L, LoCascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. 2010. Prodigal:
- Prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics **11**:119.
- 93 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-119.
- 11. Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. 2014. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND.
- 95 Nature Methods **12**:59–60. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3176.

31:1674–1676. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv033.

- 12. Steinegger M, Söding J. 2017. MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for
   the analysis of massive data sets. Nature Biotechnology. doi:10.1038/nbt.3988.
- 13. **Kanehisa M**, **Sato Y**, **Kawashima M**, **Furumichi M**, **Tanabe M**. 2015. KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. Nucleic Acids Research **44**:D457–D462. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1070.
- 14. **Baxter NT**, **Zackular JP**, **Chen GY**, **Schloss PD**. 2014. Structure of the gut microbiome following colonization with human feces determines colonic tumor burden. Microbiome **2**:20. doi:10.1186/2049-2618-2-20.
- 15. **R Core Team**. 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 16. Liaw A, Wiener M. 2002. Classification and regression by randomForest. R News 2:18–22.

<sup>107</sup> Insert figure legends with the first sentence in bold, for example:

Figure 1. Number of OTUs sampled among bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences for different OTU definitions and level of sequencing effort. Rarefaction curves for different OTU definitions of Bacteria (A) and Archaea (B). Rarefaction curves for the coarse environments in Table 1 for Bacteria (C) and Archaea (D).