Runtime (and Memory) Analysis

Problem

- ► Code can take an unfeasibly long time to run.
- ► (Code can require more memory than available.)

Solution

- ▶ Analyze the runtime of your code to make it more efficient.
- ► (Analyze the memory requirement of your code to make it more efficient.)

How long does this take to run?

```
for i in range(n):
    print(i)
```

Runtime is expressed as a function of n, the size of the code's input.

```
for i in range(n):
    print(i)
```

```
for i in range(n): # 1 + n steps
    print(i) # n steps
```

1 step +2n steps $\approx 2n$ steps for large value of n

```
How long does this take to run?
for i in range(n):
    for j in range(n):
        print(i, j)
```

```
for i in range(n):
    for j in range(n):
        print(i, j)
```

```
for i in range(n): # 1 + n steps
    for j in range(n): # n * (1 + n) steps
        print(i, j) # n ~2 steps
```

1 step + 2n steps + $2n^2$ steps $\approx 2n^2$ steps for large value of n

```
How long does this take to run?
def find_anagrams(words):
    anagrams = []
    for word1 in words:
        for word2 in words:
            if word1 != word2:
                 for word in permutations(word1):
                     if word == list(word2):
                         anagrams.append(word1)
    return anagrams
```

$$3 + 2n + n^2 + n^2k + n^2k! + 2n^2(k+1)!$$
 steps

Is this a useful expression?

$$3 + 2n + n^2 + n^2k + n^2k! + 2n^2(k+1)!$$
 steps

$$ightharpoonup$$
 (n, k) = (10, 5)

$$ightharpoonup$$
 (n, k) = (100, 10)

$$ightharpoonup 3 + 200 + 1E4 + 1E5 + 4E10 + 8E11$$

With large inputs, only the largest terms of the expression are relevant.

Simplifying Runtime Analysis: Big O Notation

- ▶ Often, a single term dominates the runtime expression.
- Runtime analysis can be simplified by eliminating lower order terms.
- ▶ Big O notation provides a principled way to do this.

Big O Definition

Let f and g be two functions: $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$. We say that

$$f(n) \in O(g(n))$$

(read f is Big-"O" of g)

if there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every integer $n \geq n_0$,

$$f(n) \leq cg(n)$$

Big O Intuitive Summary

$$f = O(g)$$

"f is bounded by g" (roughly speaking)

- ▶ *f* is bounded by some constant multiple of g
- ▶ (only true for sufficiently large input values)

Practical runtime analysis only cares about large input values and approximate bounds.

Big O Conventions

Be Precise

- ▶ Technically, if a function is O(n), then it is also $O(n^2)$.
 - ▶ But a more precise upper bound is more useful.
- ▶ Therefore, give the lowest upper bound possible.
 - ▶ I.e., don't say $O(n^2)$ when you could instead say O(n).

Ignore Constants

- ▶ If a function is O(n), then it is also O(2n).
- ▶ Saying O(2n) is highly unconventional.

Big O Example

What's the big O analysis of this expression? (from the earlier anagrams example)

$$3 + 2n + n^2 + n^2k + n^2k! + 2n^2(k+1)!$$
 steps

Big O Example

```
How long does this take to run?
def find_anagrams(words):
    anagrams = []
    d = defaultdict(list)
    for word in words:
        d[tuple(sorted(word))].append(word)
    for _, words in d.items():
        if len(words) > 1:
            anagrams.extend(words)
    return anagrams
```

```
def find_anagrams(words):
    anagrams = []
    d = defaultdict(list)
    for word in words:
        d[tuple(sorted(word))].append(word)
    for _, words in d.items():
        if len(words) > 1:
            anagrams.extend(words)
    return anagrams
```

```
def find_anagrams(words):
 anagrams = []
                                        # 0(1)
 d = defaultdict(list)
                                        # 0(1)
 for word in words:
                                        \# O(n)
  d[tuple(sorted(word))].append(word) # O(n * k * log(k))
  # line is executed n times; then in series,
  \# - sorted() is O(k * log(k))
  # - d / 7 is O(1)
  \# - .append() is O(1)
 for _, words in d.items():
                                        # υ
  if len(words) > 1:
                                        # υ
   anagrams.extend(words)
                                        \# O(n)
                                        # 0(1)
 return anagrams
```

Common runtimes to remember

- \triangleright O(1) constant
- \triangleright O(n) linear
- ightharpoonup O(nlog(n)) "n log n"
- $ightharpoonup O(n^2)$ quadratic
- \triangleright $O(n^3)$ cubic
- \triangleright $O(2^n)$ exponential (base is not necessarily 2)
- ▶ O(n!) factorial

Runtime in practical terms

n	Linear runtime	Quadratic runtime	
100	1 s	1s	
1000	10 s	100 s	
10,000	100 s	$10,000 \; \mathrm{s} = 167 \; \mathrm{min}$	
100,000	$1000\;\mathrm{s}=17\;\mathrm{min}$	$1,000,000 \; \mathrm{s} = 11 \; \mathrm{days}$	

Quadratic (n^2) algorithms are already really slow.

Linear (n) or nlog(n) are ideal; anything bigger is usually too slow for large data.

How much memory does this take to run?

```
for i in range(n):
    print(i)
```

Memory is expressed as a function of n, the size of the code's input.

```
How much memory does this take to run?
for i in range(n):
    for j in range(n):
        print(i, j)
```

```
How much memory does this take to run?
def find_anagrams(words):
    anagrams = []
    for word1 in words:
        for word2 in words:
            if word1 != word2:
                for word in permutations(word1):
                     if word == list(word2):
                         anagrams.append(word1)
    return anagrams
```

```
How long does this take to run?
def find_anagrams(words):
    anagrams = []
    d = defaultdict(list)
    for word in words:
        d[tuple(sorted(word))].append(word)
    for _, words in d.items():
        if len(words) > 1:
            anagrams.extend(words)
    return anagrams
```

Important data structures

- Lists
- Dictionaries
- ► Graphs
 - ► Trees

Runtime of typical Python list functions

- Appending
- Adding to the beginning or middle
- Popping from the end
- Popping from the beginning or middle
- Looking up by index
- Searching an unsorted list
- Searching a sorted list

Runtime of typical Python list functions (Time Complexity)

- ► Appending:
 - ► O(1)
- Adding to the beginning or middle:
 - ightharpoonup O(n) (have to shift elements over!)
- Popping from the end:
 - ▶ O(1)
- Popping from the beginning or middle:
 - ightharpoonup O(n) (again, have to shift elements over!)
- Looking up by index:
 - ► O(1)
- Searching an unsorted list:
 - ▶ O(n) (have to look at every item)
- Searching a sorted list:
 - ► O(logn) (binary search)



Runtime of typical Python dictionary functions

- Inserting an item
- Removing an item
- Looking up by key
- Looking up by value

Runtime of typical Python dictionary functions (Time Complexity)

- ▶ Inserting an item:
 - ► O(1)
- Removing an item:
 - ► O(1)
- ► Looking up by key:
 - ► O(1)
- Looking up by value:
 - \triangleright O(n) (have to look at every item)

More

Algorithms Notes for Professionals book

- Chapter 2: Algorithm Complexity
- ► Chapter 22: Big-O Notation

Important algorithms: Sorting

Algorithm	Best	Average	Worst	Memory
Bubble sort	n	n^2	n^2	1
Selection sort	n^2	n^2	n^2	1
Insertion sort	n2	n^2	n^2	1
Merge sort	nlog(n)	nlog(n)	nlog(n)	n (worst)
In-place	-	-	$n(\log(n))^2$	1
merge sort				
Quicksort	nlog(n)	nlog(n)	n^2	logn (average) n (worst)
Heapsort	nlog(n)	nlog(n)	nlog(n)	1

Important algorithms: Sorting

Algorithms Notes for Professionals book

- Chapters 23: Sorting
 - Chapters 24: Bubble Sort
 - ► Chapters 25: Merge Sort
 - Chapters 26: Insertion Sort
 - Chapters 27: Bucket Sort
 - Chapters 28: Quick Sort
 - ▶ Chapters 29: Counting Sort
 - Chapters 30: Heap Sort
 - ► Chapters 31: Cycle Sort
 - Chapters 32: Odd-Even Sort
 - ► Chapters 33: Selection Sort

Other important algorithms

Algorithms Notes for Professionals book

- Chapter 3: Graph
 - Chapter 4: Graph Traversals
 - Chapter 5: Dijkstra's Algorithm
- Chapter 34: Trees
 - Chapter 35: Binary Search Trees
 - Chapter 37: Binary Tree traversals
 - Chapter 41: Breadth-First Search
 - Chapter 41: Depth First Search