

1) Information about the course participant: Stage of your doctoral project	2) Course & Application of Knowledge: The modular course structure was clear and logical.	2) Course & Application of Knowledge: Do you have any specific comment on the modular structure according to different levels?	2) Course & Application of Knowledge: The learning objectives were clearly formulated.	2) Course & Application of Knowledge: The amount of information and the methods used were appropriate.	2) Course & Application of Knowledge: The online format of the course and the time frame were appropriate for the topic.	2) Course & Application of Knowledge: The input I acquired during the course will be relevant and practically useful for me.	3) Trainer: The trainer made a competent and qualified impression to me.	3) Trainer: The trainer was able to explain complicated issues.	3) Trainer: The trainer responded to individual questions from the participants.	3) Trainer: The trainer has an inspiring/enthusiastic attitude.	4) Overall Evaluation: Overall I was able to expand or improve my competencies and skills.	4) Overall Evaluation: My expectations of the course were met.	4) Overall Evaluation: I would recommend this course to my colleagues.	4) Overall Evaluation: My overall rating of the course.	5) Final Questions: What did you especially like about the course?	5) Final Questions: If you have given a negative rating in the previous questions, please indicate some specific feedback on this.
2nd year	5 - strongly agree	no	4	5 - strongly agree	4	4	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	4	5 - strongly agree	4		
4th year	5 - strongly agree	-	5 - strongly agree.	4	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	4	5 - strongly agree	5 - excellent	The course provided a good refresher on using R, highlighted some features I did not know especially in relation to timeseries but also taught me an approach to R that I was unfamiliar with (the tidyverse) which seems to be a significant improvement on how I used R before.	
1st year	4	no	4	4	2	4	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	4	4	4	4	4	The teacher was resourceful and gave some tips and tricks about how to optimize working in R	The timeframe needs to change. Give the teacher atleast one or two extra days to get through all the topics in detail.
1st year	5 - strongly agree	no	3 - neither agree nor disagree	2	4	2	5 - strongly agree	4	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	2	2	3 - neither agree nor disagree	3 - fair	It was a good refresher since I haven't used R in a while, and I learnt a few nice hacks. The trainer did a very good job at presenting the content.	I expected a more advanced class. The first two days could have been summarised in half a day max, I didn't really learn anything.
2nd year	3 - neither agree nor disagree	I participated in all three days and I liked it. So I can't really comment if it would have been feasible to e.g. join on the second day.	5 - strongly agree.	4	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	3 - neither agree nor disagree	5 - strongly agree	5 - excellent	Even though I know and use R, I learned some useful tools to properly structure my code and approach different tasks by efficient code.	I would have been really happy, if there would have been more statistics content but unfortunately, there was no time - an additional course for statistic basics would be awesome!
3rd year	5 - strongly agree	I really appreciated the modular structure	4	4	4	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	4	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - excellent		Maybe even more time necessary to deepen the knowledge and apply R to individual research
3rd year	5 - strongly agree	I would rate this as a beginners course and would wish for a second workshop with deeper statistics	5 - strongly agree.	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - strongly agree	5 - excellent	That R was explained so easy	I would wish for more statistic knowledge in the next course
2nd year	3 - neither agree nor disagree	no	3 - neither agree nor disagree	3 - neither agree nor disagree	5 - strongly agree	2	3 - neither agree nor disagree	4	5 - strongly agree	2	2	1 - strongly disagree	1 - strongly disagree	2		The course's entry-level requirements were poorly specified. The description stated that "Basic knowledge of R is required," which, in practice, was inaccurate. The trainer spent 33% of the course (the entire first day) explaining how to start with R. For those already familiar with R, this was a waste of time. The second day offered some useful information, with the third day being the most valuable. The trainer provided excellent notes, but going through them during the training was inefficient, as much of the content appeared to be copy-pasted and could have been reviewed independently. In my opinion, it would have been better to focus on the trainer's own expertise—of which they seem to have plenty—and real-world usage examples, rather than covering basic R material. This is especially important since the course was advertised with the expectation that participants already had "basic knowledge of R"