Analysis I, Exercise 6

David Schmitz

Task 1

Decide which of the following statements are true or false and justify.

- (a) Every bounded sequence converges.
- (b) There exists a sequence that converges and diverges.
- (c) Every divergent sequence is unbounded.
- (d) For every convergent sequence there exists a maximum $\max_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n$.
- (e) Every bounded sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}>1$ is convergent.
- (f) Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a convergent sequence with limit a. If $a_n>0$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, then a>0.

Solution.

- (a) The statements is false, for example $a_n = (-1)^n$ is bounded (e.g. $|a_n| < 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$) and does not converge.
- (b) The statement is false. If divergence is interpreted as "non-convergence", this is obvious (a sequence cannot converge and not converge at the same time). If it is interpreted as "divergence to $\pm \infty$ ", the statement is also false, since then every divergent series is unbounded and in particular cannot converge.
- (c) The statement is true if divergence is interpreted as "divergence to ±∞", but false if interpreted as "non-convergence" (since (-1)ⁿ would then be divergent and bounded). Since divergence is usually understood in the second sense (in particular in our lecture), the statement is false.
- (d) The statement is false, for example $a_n = 1 \frac{1}{n}$ converges to 1, but the sequence has no maximum (only the supremum 1).
- (e) The statement is true. Note that $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} > 1$ implies $a_n \neq 0$ for all n and additionally, a_n and a_{n+1} have the same sign. Thus, all numbers in the sequence have the same sign. If $a_n > 0$ for all n (i.e. if all elements of the sequence are positive), then we can multiply the inequality by $a_n > 0$ to obtain $a_{n+1} > a_n$. Hence, the sequence is bounded and monotonic, so it must converge (by 2.27b in the lecture notes).
 - If, on the other hand, $a_n < 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. all terms of the sequence are negative), then we can multiply by $a_n < 0$ to obtain $a_{n+1} < a_n$. Again, the sequence is bounded and monotonic, so it must converge.
- (f) The statement is false, for example $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$ converges to 0, but all terms a_n are positive.

Task 2: Sequential continuity of the distance function d

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is called sequentially continuous if for all $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sequences in X converging to a point $a \in X$ we have that the sequence $(f(a_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers converges to the number f(a).

This exercise is designed to let you prove that, given a metric space (X, d), the distance function $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a sequentially continuous function, through the following steps.

- 1. Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that the numerical sequence $(d(x_n,x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero and the sequence $(d(x_n,y))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero, for $x,y\in X$. Then x=y.
- 2. Suppose that there exists (now we know it is unique) $x \in X$ such that $(d(x_n, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero. Show that for any fixed $y \in X$ the numerical sequence $(d(x_n, y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to the number d(x, y).
- 3. Suppose that $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are sequences such that $x_n \stackrel{d}{\to} x$ and $y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} y$. Prove that $(d(x_n, y_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \to d(x, y)$.
- 4. (Application) Let (X, d) be a metric space. We define the function $d': X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$d'(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1 + d(x,y)}, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Show that also d' is a distance in X, and that a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X converges to $x\in X$ if and only if the sequence of real numbers $(d'(x_n,x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero.

Solution.

1. Suppose $x \neq y$. Then by positive-definiteness, it follows that $d(x,y) = \varepsilon > 0$. Since $d(x_n,x)$ converges to zero, there is some $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n,x) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Similarly, $d(x_n,y)$ converges to zero, so there is some $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n,y) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N_2$.

Let now $M = \max(N_1, N_2)$.

Then by the triangle inequality,

$$\varepsilon = d(x, y) \le d(x, x_M) + d(x_M, y) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon,$$

a clear contradiction. Hence, x = y.

2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(d(x_n, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero, there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$.

Thus, for $n \geq N$, it follows by the triangle inequality that

$$d(x_n, y) \le d(x_n, x) + d(x, y) < \varepsilon + d(x, y),$$

so $d(x_n, y) - d(x, y) < \varepsilon$. Similarly, we use the triangle inequality to deduce

$$d(x,y) \le d(x,x_n) + d(x_n,y) < \varepsilon + d(x_n,y),$$

so $d(x,y) - d(x_n,y) < \varepsilon$.

All in all, $|d(x,y)-d(x_n,y)|<\varepsilon$, so $(d(x_n,y))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to d(x,y), as desired.

3. We begin with a small lemma.

Lemma (Inverse triangle inequality for arbitrary metric spaces). Let $a, b, c \in X$. Then $|d(a,b) - d(a,c)| \le d(b,c)$.

Proof. By the ordinary triangle inequality, $d(a,b) \le d(a,c) + d(c,b)$, so $d(a,b) - d(a,c) \le d(b,c)$. Similarly, $d(a,c) \le d(a,b) + d(b,c)$, so $d(a,c) - d(a,b) \le d(b,c)$.

Combining the two inequalities yields the claim.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x, by part 3, it follows that $(d(x_n, y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to d(x, y). Thus, there is some $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|d(x_n, y) - d(x, y)| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N_1$. Similarly, $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to y, so there is some $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|d(y_n, y)| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N_2$.

Define now $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$. Let $n \geq N$. Then, by the triangle inequality,

$$|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x, y)| = |d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_n, y) + d(x_n, y) - d(x, y)|$$

$$\leq |d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_n, y)| + |d(x_n, y) - d(x, y)|.$$

Using the lemma with $a = x_n, b = y_n, c = y$ implies that $|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_n, y)| \le d(y_n, y)$. Thus,

$$|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x, y)| \le d(y_n, y) + |d(x_n, y) - d(x, y)| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon = \varepsilon,$$

so $(d(x_n, y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to d(x, y), as desired.

4. Note that the denominator 1 + d(x, y) is always ≥ 1 by positive-definiteness of d. Since the numerator d(x, y) is non-negative as well, d'(x, y) is non-negative too.

Also, for d'(x, y) is zero if and only if the numerator d(x, y) is zero, i.e. if and only if x = y. Hence, d' is positive-definite.

The symmetry of d' follows immediately from the symmetry of d:

$$d'(x,y) = \frac{d(x,y)}{1 + d(x,y)} = \frac{d(y,x)}{1 + d(y,x)} = d'(y,x)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Finally, we show that d' satisfies the triangle inequality. This is simply algebraic manipulation. Let $x, y, z \in X$. Note that all denominators d(x, y) + 1, d(y, z) + 1, d(z, x) + 1 are positive, so by clearing denominators,

$$\begin{split} d'(x,z) &\leq d'(x,y) + d'(y,z) \\ \iff d(x,z)(1+d(x,y))(1+d(y,z)) &\leq d(x,y)(1+d(x,z))(1+d(y,z)) \\ &+ d(y,z)(1+d(x,z))(1+d(x,y)) \\ \iff d(x,z) + d(x,z)d(x,y) + d(x,z)d(y,z) + d(x,y)d(y,z)d(z,x) \\ &\leq d(x,y) + d(x,y)d(x,z) + 2d(x,y)d(y,z) \\ &+ 2d(x,y)d(y,z)d(z,x) + d(y,z) + d(y,z)d(x,z) \\ \iff d(x,z) &\leq d(x,y) + d(y,z) \\ &+ 2[d(x,y)d(y,z) + d(x,y)d(y,z)d(z,x)]. \end{split}$$

Here, the last inequality holds because d satisfies the triangle inequality.

Now we show that as metrics, d and d' are equivalent.

Assume that $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to x, i.e. $(d(x_n,x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero. Note that for all $x,y\in X$, we have the inequality $1+d(x,y)\geq 1$ and so $d'(x,y)=\frac{d(x,y)}{1+d(x,y)}\leq d(x,y)$. Hence, $0\leq d'(x_n,x)\leq d(x_n,x)$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, so by squeeze/sandwich theorem, $(d'(x_n,x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ also converges to zero.

Now assume that $(d'(x_n, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and define $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} > 0$. By convergence, there is some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d'(x_n, x) < \tilde{\varepsilon}$ for all $n \geq N$.

Substituting this into the defintion of d' and multiplying by $(1+d(x_n,x))(1+\varepsilon) > 0$ yields

$$\frac{d(x_n, x)}{1 + d(x_n, x)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon}$$

$$\iff d(x_n, x)(1 + \varepsilon) < (1 + d(x_n, x))\varepsilon$$

$$\iff d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, $(d(x_n, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero, or, equivalently, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x, as desired.

Task 3

Prove the following statements.

(a) The following sequence converges

$$a_n := \frac{(2n^2 - 3n)(n^3 + 1)}{(n+2)(n^2 + n^4)}.$$

- (b) Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . If $(a_{n+1}-a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a, then $\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a.
- (c) Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence with $a_n>0$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}$. If $\left(\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a, then $\left(\sqrt[n]{a_n}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a.
- (d) Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be given by

$$a_n = \frac{n}{\sqrt[n]{n!}}$$
 and $b_n = \frac{1}{n^2} \sqrt[n]{\frac{(3n)!}{n!}}$.

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = e$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = \frac{27}{e^2}$.

Solution.

(a) Write

$$a_n = \frac{2n^5 - 3n^4 + 2n^2 - 3n}{n^5 + 2n^4 + n^3 + 2n^2} = \frac{2n^4 - 3n^3 + 2n - 3}{n^4 + 2n^3 + n^2 + 2n} = 2 + \frac{-7n^3 - 2n^2 - 2n - 3}{n^4 + 2n^3 + n^2 + 2n} = 2 - b_n,$$

where $b_n:=\frac{7n^3+2n^2+2n+3}{n^4+2n^3+n^2+2n}$. Observe that $n^4+2n^3+n^2+2n\geq n^4$ and $7n^3+2n^2+2n+3\leq 7n^3+2n^3+2n^3+3n^3=14n^3$, so $b_n\leq \frac{14n^3}{n^4}=\frac{14}{n}$. Hence, $0\leq b_n\leq c_n$, where $c_n=\frac{14}{n}$. Since both the zero sequence and $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge to zero, by the squeeze/sandwich theorem, $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ also converges to zero, so $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to 2.

(b) Write $b_n := a_{n+1} - a_n$. We claim that $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i = a_{n+1} - a_1$. For n = 1, this follows from the definition of b_n . Now assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i = a_{n+1} - a_1$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i = b_{n+1} + a_{n+1} - a_1 = a_{n+2} - a_{n+1} + a_{n+1} - a_1 = a_{n+2} - a_1$. Hence, our claim follows by induction.

By assumption, $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Choose $N\in\mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that $|b_n-a|<\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for all $n\geq N$.

Then for $n \geq N$, by the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{n+1} - a \right| = \left| \frac{a_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i}{n+1} - a \right| = \left| \frac{a_1 - a}{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i - a}{n+1} \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{a_1 - a}{n+1} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{b_i - a}{n+1} \right| = \frac{|a_1 - a|}{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{|b_i - a|}{n+1} + \sum_{i=N}^n \frac{|b_i - a|}{n+1}$$

$$< \frac{1}{n+1} \left(|a_1 - a| + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |b_i - a| \right) + \sum_{i=N}^n \frac{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}{n+1} = \frac{R}{n+1} + \frac{\varepsilon(n+1-N)}{2(n+1)},$$

where $R = |a_1 - a| + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |b_i - a|$ is some real number independent of n.

Denote now $R' = R - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon N$, so that $\left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{n+1} - a \right| < \frac{R'}{n+1} + \frac{\varepsilon(n+1)}{2(n+1)} = \frac{R'}{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$.

If we now let $N' \in \mathbb{N}$ be larger than $\max(\frac{2R'}{\varepsilon}, N)$, then for $n \geq N'$, we even have

$$\left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{n+1} - a \right| < \frac{R'}{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \le \frac{R'}{\left(\frac{2R'}{\varepsilon}\right)} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this implies that $\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a, as desired.

Remark. This is also a nice consequence of the Stolz-Cesàro-theorem.

(c) Write $b_n = \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$. We claim that $\prod_{i=1}^n b_i = \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_1}$. For n=1, this follows from the definition of b_n . Now assume that $\prod_{i=1}^n b_i = \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_1}$ holds for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i = b_{n+1} \cdot \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_1} = \frac{a_{n+2}}{a_{n+1}} \cdot \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_1} = \frac{a_{n+2}}{a_1}$. Hence, our claim follows by induction.

By assumption, $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to a. Let $\varepsilon\in(0,a)$ be arbitrary. Choose $N\in\mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that $|a-b_n|<\varepsilon$ for all $n\geq N$.

Denote $P = a_1 \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} b_i$, a real number independent of n. Since $a_1 > 0$ and the b_i are positive too (ratios of positive numbers), we know that P > 0. Then for all $n \ge N$,

$$a_{n+1} = a_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i = a_1 \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} b_i \prod_{i=N}^{n} b_i = P \prod_{i=N}^{n} b_i$$

 $< P \prod_{i=N}^{n} (a+\varepsilon) = P(a+\varepsilon)^{n+1-N}.$

Hence, $n+\sqrt[n]{a_{n+1}} < P^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(a+\varepsilon)^{\frac{n+1-N}{n+1}} = \left(\frac{P}{(a+\varepsilon)^N}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(a+\varepsilon)$. Analogously, for $n \ge N$,

$$a_{n+1} = a_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i = a_1 \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} b_i \prod_{i=N}^{n} b_i = P \prod_{i=N}^{n} b_i$$

> $P \prod_{i=N}^{n} (a - \varepsilon) = P(a - \varepsilon)^{n+1-N}$.

so
$$n+\sqrt[n]{a_{n+1}} > P^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(a-\varepsilon)^{\frac{n+1-N}{n+1}} = \left(\frac{P}{(a-\varepsilon)^N}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(a-\varepsilon).$$

Thus, letting $p_n = \left(\frac{P}{(a-\varepsilon)^N}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(a-\varepsilon)$ and $q_n = \left(\frac{P}{(a+\varepsilon)^N}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(a-\varepsilon)$ (note that the denominators are positive since $\varepsilon < a$), we see that

$$p_n < \sqrt[n]{a_n} < q_n$$

for all $n \ge N + 1$.

By (2.20b), we know that $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = a - \varepsilon$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} q_n = a + \varepsilon$.

Since $(p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are both convergent (and thus bounded), the union of their sets $\{p_n\mid n\in\mathbb{N}\}\cup\{q_n\mid n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded too. Since for $n\geq N+1$, each term $\sqrt[n]{a_n}$ lies inside of (p_n,q_n) , we know that $\{a_n\mid n\geq N+1\}$ is bounded. Hence, $\{a_n\mid n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded as well, since we only added finitely many terms.

Thus, $(\sqrt[n]{a_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a (finite) cluster point (for instance, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n}$). Let A be such a cluster point.

Then we can consider the subsequence $(\sqrt[n]{a_n})_{n\in M}$ converging to A, where $M\subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is infinite. Restricting our attention to the integers $n\in M\cap [N+1,\infty)$, the sequences $p_n<\sqrt[n]{a_n}< q_n$ are three convergent sequences with limits $a-\varepsilon, A, a+\varepsilon$ respectively.

Since limits preserve weak inequalities, this implies $a - \varepsilon \le A \le a + \varepsilon$ for all such cluster points A. However, $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary in the beginning. Hence, the only such A is a.

Finally, we see that $(\sqrt[n]{a_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has exactly one cluster point in A=a and that it is bounded. Hence, it must converge to a, as desired.

(d) Define $c_n = \frac{n^n}{n!}$. Then $\frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} = \frac{(n+1)^{n+1}/(n+1)!}{n^n/n!} = \frac{(n+1)^n/n!}{n^n/n!} = \frac{(n+1)^n}{n^n} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$, which converges to e by definition. Using part (c), this implies $(\sqrt[n]{c_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to e. However, $\sqrt[n]{c_n} = \frac{n}{\sqrt[n]{n!}} = a_n$, as desired.

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt[n]{(3n)!}}{n^2 \sqrt[n]{n!}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt[n]{(3n)!}}{n^3 \sqrt[n]{n!/n^n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{3^3}{3^3} \cdot \sqrt[n]{(3n)!/n^{3n}}}{\sqrt[n]{n!/n^n}}$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{3^3 \sqrt[n]{(3n)!/(3n)^{3n}}}{\sqrt[n]{n!/n^n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{27a_{3n}^{-3}}{a_n^{-1}}.$$

By the calculation rules for limits (see 2.13) and since $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to e, this equals $\frac{27/e^3}{e} = \frac{27}{e^2}$, as desired.