Analysis I, Exercise 4

David Schmitz

Task 1

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly increasing function. Show that

- 1. $f: A \to f(A)$ is bijective;
- 2. f^{-1} is strictly monotone.

Can we arrive at the same conclusion if f is monotone?

Solution.

1. Assume $a_1 \neq a_2$ with $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$. Then by the totality of $<_A$, we either have $a_1 <_A a_2$ or $a_2 <_A a_1$.

In the first case, $a_1 <_A a_2$ implies $f(a_1) <_{\mathbb{R}} f(a_2)$ since f is strictly increasing. In particular, $f(a_1) \neq f(a_2)$, a contradiction to our assumption.

In the second case, $a_2 <_A a_1$ implies $f(a_2) <_{\mathbb{R}} f(a_1)$ since f is strictly increasing. In particular, $f(a_2) \neq f(a_1)$, a contradiction to our assumption.

Hence, such a_1, a_2 cannot exists, so f is injective.

It remains to show that f is surjective. However, this is obvious: If $y \in f(A)$, then y = f(x) for some $x \in A$ by definition, so $y \in \text{Im}(f)$. Since this holds for all $y \in f(A)$, we have $f(A) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$, so f is surjective and thus, bijective.

2. Firstly $f^{-1}: f(A) \to A$ exists, since f is bijective by part 1. We claim that f^{-1} is strictly increasing and hence strictly monotone. Assume not, then there are $x, y \in f(A)$ with x < y, but $f^{-1}(x) \ge f^{-1}(y)$.

Since f is strictly increasing, it is in particular increasing, so $f^{-1}(x) \ge f^{-1}(y)$ implies $f(f^{-1}(x)) \ge f(f^{-1}(x))$ or $x \ge y$. This contradicts our assumption, so f^{-1} must be strictly increasing.

If we only require f to be monotone, then f can also be constant, e.g. f(a) = 0 for all $a \in A$. However, for |A| > 1, this f is not bijective.

Task 2

Prove the following statements:

(a) For $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with p < q, then there exists a $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that $p < \xi < q$.

Solution.

(a) Take $\xi = p + \frac{q-p}{\sqrt{2}}$. As shown in the lecture (see 1.39 in the notes), $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. By definition of the square-root, we also know that $\sqrt{2} \ge 0$. Assume that $0 \le \sqrt{2} \le 1$. Then $2 = \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2} \le \sqrt{2} \cdot 1 \le 1 \cdot 1 = 1$, a contradiction. Hence, $\sqrt{2} > 1$.

Since
$$q-p>0$$
, we also have $\frac{q-p}{\sqrt{2}}>0$, so $\xi=p+\frac{q-p}{\sqrt{2}}>p$.

Now since $\sqrt{2} > 1$, it follows that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < 1$, so $\frac{q-p}{\sqrt{2}} < q-p$. Then $\xi = p + \frac{q-p}{\sqrt{2}} < p+q-p = q$.

It remains to show that ξ is irrational. Indeed, since $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we also have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ (otherwise one could just take the reciprocal of the fraction). Since $q-p \in \mathbb{Q}$ (since both p,q are rational), we have $(q-p) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ by Lemma A.2. Then $\xi = p + \frac{q-p}{\sqrt{2}}$ with $p \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\frac{q-p}{\sqrt{2}} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. By Lemma A.1 ξ , is irrational, as desired.

Task 3

Here we want to complete the proof of the Arithmetic and Geometric Inequality. In G2 you proved that if this inequality holds for n, then it also holds for 2n. Now if we show that when the inequality holds for n+1, then it also holds for n, we have completed the induction. Because we can move like this: $2 \to 4 \to 3 \to 6 \to 5 \to \ldots$ So we want to prove that if the Arithmetic and Geometric Inequality holds for n+1, then it also holds for n.

d. For
$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$
, show that $\frac{a_1 + \ldots + a_n}{n} = \frac{a_1 + \ldots + a_n + \frac{a_1 + \ldots + a_n}{n}}{n+1}$.

e. Now using the above equality, prove that if the Arithmetic and Geometric Inequality holds for n + 1, then it also holds for n.

Solution.

d. By distributivity,

$$\frac{a_1 + \ldots + a_n + \frac{a_1 + \ldots + a_n}{n}}{n+1} = \frac{(a_1 + \ldots + a_n) \cdot 1 + (a_1 + \ldots + a_n) \cdot \frac{1}{n}}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{(a_1 + \ldots + a_n) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{(a_1 + \ldots + a_n) \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{(a_1 + \ldots + a_n)(n+1)}{(n+1)n}$$

$$= \frac{a_1 + \ldots + a_n}{n},$$

as desired.

e. Assume we know AM-GM for n+1 variables, i.e.

$$^{n+1}\sqrt{y_1 \cdot y_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{n+1}} \le \frac{y_1 + y_2 + \ldots + y_{n+1}}{n+1}$$

for all $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We want to show the same holds for n variables. Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be arbitrary.

Then we choose $y_1 = x_1, y_2 = x_2, \dots, y_n = x_n, y_{n+1} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$. Using the equation from part d, we observe that

$$\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n}{n} = \frac{x_1 + \ldots + x_n + \frac{x_1 + \ldots + x_n}{n}}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{y_1 + y_2 + \ldots + y_{n+1}}{n+1}$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{\geq} {}^{n+1}\sqrt{y_1 \cdot y_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{n+1}}$$

$$= {}^{n+1}\sqrt{x_1 x_2 \cdot \ldots x_n} \cdot \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

$$= (x_1 x_2 \cdot \ldots x_n)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \cdot \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}},$$

where we used AM-GM for n+1 variables in (*).

We divide both sides by $\left(\frac{x_1+x_2+\ldots+x_n}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ and then raise both sides to the power of $\frac{n+1}{n}$ (since $x\mapsto x^{\frac{n+1}{n}}$ is increasing, this does not change the ordering, see 1.46 in the lecture notes):

$$\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 \dots + x_n}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \ge (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

$$\implies \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} = \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{n+1} \cdot \frac{n+1}{n}}$$

$$\ge (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{\frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{n+1}{n}} = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

$$= \sqrt[n]{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n},$$

as desired.

Task 4

Here we want to continue task 3 from the group work.

d. (Proposition) For $0 \le i, j \le n$, let $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$, prove that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{i,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=j}^{n} a_{i,j}.$$

(You can understand the above equality better if you try to write down the left hand side for some small values of n and then try to construct the summation like the right hand side.)

- e. (Main step) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a polynomial of degree n. Show that there is a polynomial $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with degree n such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \ f(x) = g(x \bar{x})$.
- f. (Conclusion) Use the above steps to prove the main statement.

Solution.

d. Both sides are simply the sum of all terms $a_{i,j}$ with $0 \le j \le i \le n$. For formality, we provide a proof by induction.

If n = 0, then both sides simply equate to $a_{0,0} = a_{0,0}$, which is true.

Assume now the identity holds for some n. Let $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $0 \le i, j \le n+1$. Then by the induction hypothesis,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{i,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=j}^{n} a_{i,j} =: S_1.$$

Now we add $S_2 := a_{n+1,0} + a_{n+1,1} + \ldots + a_{n+1,n+1}$ to both sides. Observe that this sum can also be written as

$$S_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_{n+1,j} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_{i,j} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{i,j}.$$

This follows because we restrict i to only be n+1.

Hence,

$$S_1 + S_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{i,j} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{i,j} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{i,j},$$

where we could combine the outer sums because the inner sums are the same expression.

For the other half, we can write S_2 as

$$S_2 = a_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{n+1,j} = a_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+1} a_{i,j}.$$

Analogously to before, we find

$$S_1 + S_2 = a_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=j}^n a_{i,j} + \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+1} a_{i,j}$$
$$= a_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\sum_{i=j}^n a_{i,j} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+1} a_{i,j} \right) = a_{n+1,n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} a_{i,j}.$$

To combine this into one sum, simply write $a_{n+1,n+1} = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+1} a_{n+1,j} = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+1} \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} a_{i,j}$.

Again, this works because the only summand is i = j = n + 1. Continuing the above chain of equalities, we find that

$$S_1 + S_2 = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+1} \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} a_{i,j} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} a_{i,j} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} a_{i,j}.$$

Similarly to above, we could combine the outer sums because the inner sums were the same expression. Finally, we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{i,j} = S_1 + S_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} a_{i,j},$$

which is the desired statement for n+1. Hence, we are done by induction.

e. This simply follows from shifting the variable and expanding all brackets. Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_n x^n$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $0 \le i \le n$ and $a_n \ne 0$. Using the binomial formula,

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i ((x - \bar{x}) + \bar{x})^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} {i \choose j} (x - \bar{x})^j \bar{x}^{i-j}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} {i \choose j} a_i \bar{x}^{i-j} (x - \bar{x})^j.$$

Define $a_{i,j} := a_i \binom{i}{j} \bar{x}^{i-j} (x - \bar{x})^j \in \mathbb{R}$ (or if we don't pick x first, then $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}[x]$) for $0 \le i, j \le n$. If j > i, the binomial coefficient is either not defined or can be regarded as zero. Also, the exponent i - j might be a problem if $\bar{x} = 0$, but those pairs (i, j) are not relevant, as j ranges between 0 and i. Then by part d, we can rearrange to

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{i,j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=j}^{n} a_{i,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=j}^{n} \left(a_i \binom{i}{j} \bar{x}^{i-j} \right) (x - \bar{x})^j$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (x - \bar{x})^i b_i,$$

where $b_i := \sum_{j=0}^i a_i {i \choose j} \bar{x}^{i-j}$ are constants not dependent on x (and thus not dependent on $x-\bar{x}$). Thus, $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i$ is a polynomial of degree at most n. From above, we also see that $f(x) = g(x-\bar{x})$.

It remains to show that g has degree exactly n. In above expansion, we see that $x - \bar{x}$ appears with exponent j. For j = n, since i ranges between j and n, the only summand is i = j = n. Hence, the coefficient of x^n in g(x) is simply $a_n \binom{n}{n} \bar{x}^0 = a_n \binom{n}{n} = a_n \neq 0$. This coefficient is non-zero, since otherwise f would have degree < n.

f. We know $f(x) = g(x - \bar{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i (x - \bar{x})^i$ for some constants b_i by part e. Plugging in $x = \bar{x}$, we see that $0 = f(\bar{x}) = g(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i \cdot 0^i = b_0$, so $b_0 = 0$. However, then

$$f(x) = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i (x - \bar{x})^i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i (x - \bar{x})^i$$
$$= (x - \bar{x}) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_{i+1} (x - \bar{x})^i.$$

Here, we took out a factor of $x - \bar{x}$ from the sum and shifted indices. Define the polynomial $h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_{i+1} x^i$ of degree (exactly) n-1 (since $b_n \neq 0$). Hence, $f(x) = (x - \bar{x})h(x)$ for a polynomial h of degree n-1, as desired.