**A measure for heterogeneity in spatial language variation**

An entropy-like measurement method for spatial distribution of language items

Schönberg Andreas1 & Alfred Lameli 2

1PhD student at the department Deutscher Sprachatlas, Philipps University of Marburg, Germany

2Professor at and Director of the department Deutscher Sprachatlas, Philipps University of Marburg, Germany

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Abstract**

Dialectometric studies usually ask about the internally consistent groups of dialects within a  
language area (see Goebl 1984). However, when dealing with larger sets of geographically specified language data, the problem arises of identifying those regions that are particularly prone to variation or particularly sensitive to language change. In our project, we follow an approach based on the concept of entropy (e.g., Prokić & Nerbonne 2008) that, in contrast to other studies (Prokić et al. 2009), is not applied to strings of tokens, but geographic distributions.

Our study deals with data from a historical language survey of German dialects at 2500 sites in the regions of Baden (Germany) and Elsass (France). These data are interesting from the perspective that they contain information on different age groups and thus enable analyses on language change. In order to identify areas which are more sensitive to language change than others we use an entropy-like measure for the identification of heterogeneity/uniformity in spatial language distributions and calculate a normalized global index. Further a transformation into a local measure of spatial variation makes it possible to automatically identify individual regions with particularly high language variation (typically the transition zones between areas of linguistic variants). This is used, for example, to predict language change or to test the correlation of spatial variation that occurs for different linguistic phenomena.

**Keywords:** Spatial language variation, entropy, dialectrometry.

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Dialectometric studies usually ask about the internally consistent groups of dialects within a language area (see Goebl 1984). However, when dealing with larger sets of geographically specified language data, the problem arises of identifying those regions that are particularly prone to variation or particularly sensitive to language change. The question then is not so much about stability in an area (typically indicated by the definition of clusters), but about instability. More recent dialectometric studies have introduced a number of solutions to this problem, for example, based on resampling techniques (see, e.g., Wieling & Nerbonne 2015). In our project, we follow an approach based on the concept of entropy (e.g., Prokić & Nerbonne 2008) that, in contrast to other studies (Prokić et al. 2009), is not applied to strings of tokens, but geographic distributions.

The goal of our study is the development of an entropy-based method to highlight and statistically calculate the spatial variation of speech.

Our data, R-scripts, results and R-package can be found in our Github repository: <hyperlink github repo>

**2. Study Area**

Our study takes places in the language area of the “allemanisch” dialect which is located in the south west areas of the historical German Reich (see fig XXX). The area today is located at the southern parts of the border between Germany and France. It consists of much part of the federal state Baden-Würtemberg on the German side and parts of the historical region “Elsass” on the France side. In the south the study area extents to the border of Switzerland. Geographically the area is dominated by the “Upper Rhine Graben” a huge tectonic rift valley which pass through the area from in a north-east to south-west direction. From a natural environmental perspective, the study area shall consist of the “Vogesen” in the south western parts and the “Black Forest” in the East, both divided by the rift valley.


Noch sagen, wieso das Gebiet interessant ist (ggf Linguistischer Hintergrund?)

Fig. 1: Study area Baden and Elsass with Survey places of Maurer.

**3. Data and Methods**

To investigate our hypotheses we will classify the selected language items from our dataset and use an nearest neighbour approach to calculate the heterogeneity/uniformity as well as calculate the coherence index for both every location and in a global state (Fig. 2).


****

Fig. 2: Simplified schematic workflow for data analysis.

**3.1 Data**

To investigate our hypothesis about the spatial distribution of variation we use data from the historical “Maurer survey” from the early 1940s (Quelle Maurer?). This survey consist of 123 different language items with 113 single words and 10 sentences. Further the maurer survey contains information about the age and profession of the informant which allows generation based tempospatial analysis. Furthermore the dataset delivers some additional spatial content like the town to which the informant drives for shopping as well as the birthplaces of the informant an both parents. This spatial data could be used for modelling the spreading of dialectal speech. Our study area contains a total of 2500 survey places. Approaches which are based on nearest neighbor analysis are quietly usable and reliable due to the relatively homogeneous distribution of the places in the area.

**3.2 Data preprocessing**

**Geographic coordinates and distances**

The geometric information of the spatial position for each survey places is originally stored in the WGS 84 format (Longitude and Latitude). For our nearest neighbor approach we will use simple planar distance calculations. Therefore we will project the survey data to the UTM system with the ERTS98 ellipsoid. For our study area of south west Germany, the UTM Zone 32 leads to optimal results and allow the planar calculation of spatial distances,

**Classification of language items**

The language items in the maurer survey data typically consist of dozens of unique strings. Using the original strings to visualize spatial distribution of variation would lead to little meaningful results and relatively useless for our cause. Despite the normal variation of language items the dataset has much more unique strings due to leading or tailing additives like article, genus or even different use of Unicode/special symbols. To process those strings we will use regular expressions to classify desired phenomenon in a semi-automated approach. Therefore the distribution and amount of unique strings for the selected language items are investigated for any phenomenon of interest. In the following the phenomenon of interest is classified by assigning the class value based on the matches to the regular expression which describe the respective classes for the strings. For our study we will use some selected language items and respectively phenomens (see table X). By the use of this classification we can generate maps for the spatial distribution of langue items.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Language item | Pattern (regEx) | Classes |
| „hunde“ | "nd|nt","ng|n.g","nn|n$" | "nd" , "ng" , "nn" |
| „heute“ | „x“,“y“,“z“ | „x“,“y“,“z“ |
| „brunnen“ | „x“,“y“,“z“ | „x“,“y“,“z“ |
| „weitere“ | „x“,“y“,“z“ | „x“,“y“,“z“ |

Table X: selected language items and phenomenon classification

Eventuell eigene unterkapitel mit den Phänomenen und Begründung warum interessant?

**Generation subsetting**

In addition to the general language item classification and distribution maps we are able to generate subsets for each langue item by using the age data for the informant. This allows the comparison of the distribution of language item classes and their respective differences over time. This allows the comparison of the distribution of language item classes not just in a spatial way but although over time (Satz alternative). To perform though a tempo spatial analysis we will group the places by the age of the respective informant in three classes.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Generation | Range Age from | Range Age to |
| „young“ | Min (XY) | 20 |
| „middle“ | 21 | 50 |
| „old“ | 51 | Max (XY) |

Table X: Generations and selected Ranges of age.

**3.3 Neares neigbor approach**

For our goal to compute an entropy-like index of homogeneity/uniformity we will use a nearest neighbor approach based on the “spatstat” R-package developed by Baddeley et al 2015). First an observation window is computed for the study area including all survey places in a two dimensional plane. By using this observation window a point pattern is computed which represents all survey places and allows the calculation of distances due to the planar space. In the next step a selected amount of nearest neighbors is calculated for each survey point within the point pattern which returns a table with the specific survey point IDs of the neighbors for each survey point.

Further the language item class for each neighbor is compared to the survey point the neighbor is assigned to. The neighbors are marked as equal (using a “1”) if the language item class matches with the central point and on the other hand marked as unequal (using a “0”) despite which class as long as it is unequal.

Next the amount of neighbors which are matching in terms of the language item class with the central point are summed up. At this state each survey place consist of a value representing the amount of equal language item class in the neighborhood depending on the selected amount of nearest neighbors.

This value is further normalized based on the amount of nearest neigbors which comput? What and idex.

**4. Results**

To investigate our hypothesis we classified XX langue items in total and computed the equivalent amount of language distribution maps as well as coherence maps.

**4.1 Influence of Nearest Neighbor amount**

Irgendwo muss noch erwähnt werden in Methods, dass wir die influrence testen wollen?

To estimate the influence of the selected amount of Nearest Neighbor in general the coherence index function was tested with a sequence of 2 to 10 Nearest neighbors. For a more objective testing this sequence was applied for every classified language item. In the result we can show that the number of nearest neighbors does not have a significant influence according to the general global coherence index value (see figure X).


Eine Grafik je testlauf oder alle in einem (je nahc dem was aussieht).

Figure X: Resulting gobal coherence index value based on different nearest neighbor maounts used in the function.

Visual comparison of NN amount

While there is nearly no effect on the global coherence index value the amount of nearest neighbor used for the index computation has a decent effect on the spatial picture if visualized (see fig XX).


****

Figure X: Smoothing effect based on higher NN amount (exemplarischer text) NN 2,5,10

The use of higher amounts of nearest neigbors leads to a smoothing effect for the index values and the respective distribution. If using two nearest neighbors which represents the minimum value the possible resulting unique values are limited to three: all neighbors are equal, half neighbors are equal, no neighbor is equal. This leads to relatively sharp crossings. Using more nearest neighbors would constantly increase the amount of possible index values within the same range of values which leads to a more gradient-like smoothing.

Hier eine reihe von min zu max um den „smoothing effect zu zeigen“

Hier weiterer Text, sobald ergebnise vorliegen  
Reicht hier ein Beispiel zB Hunde mit 2,5,10 NN oder auch mehrere Language items für den Test?

Weitere ergebnisse wie aufbauen?

Entweder erst global nur werte um einschätzung ohne bild zu zeigen.

Dann Classifizerung und daneben COH und beschreiben.



Dann Generationene anwenung

ODER

Langue item nach einander und jewails Classifizerung und COH zeigen und global, local beschreiben, ggf Generation nur ebei einem ausgesuchten? (das passt besser zur logik in methods auch die Zeile einzeln nacheinander)

**4.2 Coherence Index Global Value**

The global value for our coherence index can be used to detect language items which may have interesting result even without a visualization. This is probably helpful for huge datasets. For our selected language items the global index value varies from XX to XX. While XX   
erklären, was Index wert bedeutet. (Ggf Tabelle der ergebnisse?)

****

**5. Diskussion**

Auf Hypothese eingehen und über ergebnisse verifizieren H1 (oder eher in conclusion?)

Our first hypothesis was that we are able to develop an entropy-like spatial index value which highlights the spatial variation crossing for selected languge items. Based in the reusölts we can confirm this.

Zu Global Index

Wie ist der global wert zu interprätoieren? Die rechnung des Wertes und das ergbeiss ist ja auch im local maß gültig, daher hier eher generelle diskussion über das mass und die rnage an sich?

Funktioniert das auch?

The global index value should give a first and simple overview if an language item is of a higher interest. This is usefull for huge datasets with dozens of language items. Values around the maximum range (1) indicate a homogeneous distribution and may be of lesser interest because this indicates less to even no change in variation.

0 erwartungswert gleich verteilt

Was ist dann minus wert?

Ergbenis ist IMMER ein Gradient, slebts wenn die Grenze Messerscharf ist wird ein Gradient erzeugt, der ggf einen falsche Eindruck des räumlichen Bildes erzeugt.  
Gradient darf nicht interpretiert werden. (Micheal) (aber das liegt ja an den NN anzahlen)

The developed function always computes a gradient-like result due to the nearest neighbor approach. Even if there is a very sharp crossing between two variants of language item classes a gradient would be computed. Thus sharp crossings cannot be differentiated to more smoother crossing over several langue items for equal distances. It is recommended to avoid the interpretation of this gradient in terms of the sharpness or smoothness of the crossing. The intensity of this gradient-like effect depends on the amount of nearest neigbors due to the change of possible index values with the same value range. Using the minimum of two nearest neigbors will result in exact three index values and the resulting map would set a high focus on areas which differ from the sourroundings. This may be useful to detect islands of variation in generally homogeneous areas. With increasing numbers of nearest neigbors the amount of possible index values will increase and return much more smoother crossings. This is helpful for the detection of areas with variation in a cluster-like way. Areas with variation in close distances would be smoothed to clusters which would be differentiated from souraounding homogenious areas.

Beispiel Grafik einbauen?

(ganz scharfe grenze, serh heterogene grenzen, danbene die coh ergebnisse)

Coherenz Karte zeigt NICHT um welche Variation es sich handelt -> Informationsverlust  
Man kann nicht unterscheiden zwischen Übergang a zu b zu c oder a zu b zu a  
Coherenz zeigt WO Variation stattfindet, nicht WELCHE Variation

The coherence index is a derivate of the originally spatial language distribution map. In the process of calculating the coherence index by the nearest neighbor approach the information about the class of language items is lost. Therefore the output map can no longer be used for interpretation of the distribution for the specific class of language item but the distribution of variation in general. Crossings from language item class a to b and c will no longer be differentiated to crossings of a to b and again to a.

Vorteil coh index map vs standart verteilungskarte

Zb bei generation, da fehlende werte durch NN gesmooth werden.

**6. Conclusion**

Conclusion Texfeld

**References:**

**Dummy, D.M. (2019):** Titel*.* In: Journal. Volume: X, Pages: X-X

**Dummy, D.M. (2019):** Titel*.* In: Journal. Volume: X, Pages: X-X

**(Baddeley et all 2015)**

**Baddeley, A., Rubak, E. & R. Turner (2015):** Spatial Point Patterns: Methodology and Applications with R. London: Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, 2015. URL <https://www.routledge.com/Spatial-Point-Patterns-Methodology-and-Applications-with-R/Baddeley-Rubak-Turner/9781482210200/>

**Dummy, D.M. & M.D. Dummy (2019):** Titel very long lonnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnng*.* In: Journal. Volume: X, Pages: X-X

**Dummy, D.M. & M.D. Dummy (2019):** Titel very long lonnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnng*.* In: Journal. Volume: X, Pages: X-X

**Dummy, D.M. & M.D. Dummy (2019):** Titel very long lonnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnng*.* In: Journal. Volume: X, Pages: X-X

**Dummy, D.M. & M.D. Dummy (2019):** Titel very long lonnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnng*.* In: Journal. Volume: X, Pages: X-X

**Dummy, D.M. & M.D. Dummy (2019):** Titel very long lonnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnng*.* In: Journal. Volume: X, Pages: X-X

Adrian Baddeley, Ege Rubak, Rolf Turner (2015). Spatial Point Patterns: Methodology and  
Applications with R. London: Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, 2015. URL

https://www.routledge.com/Spatial-Point-Patterns-Methodology-and-Applications-with-R/Baddeley-Rubak-Turner/9781482210200/