## Pledge

I solemnly affirm that I am presenting this journal based on my own experimental work. I have neither copied the observations, calculations, graphs and results from others nor given it to others for copying.

Signature of the student

## **Experiment 3: Law of Malus**

Aim: To verify law of Malus

Apparatus:

(1) Monochromatic source of light

(2) Two polarizers with angular scale from 0-360°

(3) Luxmeter

(4) Metallic tube for mounting polarizer and analyzer

Significance of the experiment: Law of Malus, which relates the intensities transmitted by a polarizer and analyzer, is the basis of several applications such as polarizing sunglasses, visors of the automobiles, seven segment LCDs, polarimeters, optical activity, blue sky, red sunset, Faraday effect, Kerr effect, photoeleasticity etc. Law of Malus is also used in analysis of polarized light

**Theory:** An unpolarized light consists of the vibrations which are isotropically distributed in all  $360^{\circ}$  directions transverse to direction of propagation. Since vibrations exist in all the directions, their net X and Y components are equal i.e. 50%. If such light is passed through a polarizer, the components parallel to optic axis are transmitted and components perpendicular to optic axis are eliminated. Thus when the light is polarized once, its intensity decreases by 50%. Consider a system of two polarizers having an angle  $\theta$  between their optic axes. Let the amplitude and intensity of the light incident on the first polarizer be  $E_o$  and  $I_o$  respectively. When the light passes through first polarizer, its amplitude and intensity reduces. Let these reduced amplitude and intensity be  $E_I$  and  $I_I$  respectively. (We have  $I_1 \cong \frac{I_0}{2}$ ). As the angle between the optic axes of the polarizers is  $\theta$ , the light polarized by the first polarizer  $(E_I)$  is incident on the second polarizer at  $\theta$  itself (refer Fig 3.1). Second polarizer transmits the cosine component of  $E_I$  as it is along its optic axis. If the  $E_2$  and  $I_2$  are the amplitude and intensity of the light transmitted by the second polarizer, then we have

$$E_2 = E_1 cos\theta \Rightarrow I_2 = I_1 cos^2\theta \qquad ...(3.1)$$

Eqn (3.1) signifies that  $I_2$  is the function of  $\theta$  and  $I_1$  is the maximum value of  $I_2$ . Thus, by choosing appropriate notations,



$$l_{\theta} = l_{m} \cos^{2}\theta \qquad (3.2)$$

Eqn (3.1) and (3.2) represent law of Malus. The law states that the intensity transmitted by a pair of polarizers is a cosine square function of the angle between their optic axes.




Figure 3.1: Law of Malus (Schematic)

#### Procedure

- 1. Remove slit as well as lens of a collimator (of the spectrometer) and mount polarizers at both the ends.
- 2. The polarizer towards the light source is called polarizer and that towards the observer is called analyzer.
- 3. Level the collimator tube using spirit level.
- 4. Perform the experiment in dark room so that no other light except that from sodium will enter the detector (Luxmeter)
- 5. Make the luxmeter ON and set it at appropriate range (0-200 Lux)
- 6. Rotate the analyzer through 360° while looking through it. The intensity will maximize three times at  $\theta$  equal to  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $180^{\circ}$  and  $360^{\circ}$ , while intensity will be extinguished at  $\theta$ equal to 90° and 270°.



## **ROUGH WORK:**

## Table (3.1): Observations, Calculations and Results.

i. The least count of the angular scale on the analyzer - 1 deg

ii. The maximum intensity (at  $\theta = 0^0$ ),  $I_m = \dots$  lux

Sr. No.	The reading on the angular scale on the analyzer θ'deg	The angle between polarizer & analyzer \$\theta\$ deg	Intensity through the analyzer (1 <sub>d</sub> ), lux	Relative intensity $\frac{I_{\theta}}{I_{m}}$	cos² θ
1	55	0	10-1m=65	1	1
2	85	30	44	0.676	0.75
3	115	60	13	0.2	0.75
4	145	90	03	0.046	0
5	175	120	17	0.261	0.25
6	205	150	219	0.753	0.75
7	235	180	63	0.969	1
8	265	210	43	0.66	0.75
9	295	240	16	0.246	0.2
10	325	270	02	0.03	0
11		300	14	0.215	0.2
12	355	330	45	0.692	0.7
13	55	360	65	1	1



### **FAIR WORK:**

### Table (3.1): Observations, Calculations and Results.


- i. The least count of the angular scale on the analyzer = 1 deg
- ii. The maximum intensity (at  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ),  $I_m = 6.5$  lux


Sr. No.	The reading on the angular scale on the analyzer $\theta'$ deg	The angle between polarizer & analyzer \$\theta\$ deg	Intensity through the analyzer (Ia), lux	Relative intensity $\frac{I_{\theta}}{I_{m}}$	cos² θ
1	5.5	0	10-1 <sub>m</sub> =4.5	1	1
2	85	30	44	0.676	0.15
3	115	60	13	0.2	0.25
4	145	90	03	0.04	0
5	175	120	17	0.26	0.05
6	205	150	49	0.753	0.75
7	735	180	63	0.969	1
8	265	210	43	0.66	0.75
9	295	240	16	0.246	0.25
10	325	270	02	0.03	. 0
11	355	300	14	0.215	0.25
12	25	330	45	0,692	0.75
13	55	360	65	1	1

7. Adjust the analyzer so that it transmits maximum intensity. This corresponds to θ = 0° condition. Confirm this position by using a Luxmeter. Hold the sensor of the Luxmeter on the analyzer and move the analyzer slightly back and forth and detect the exact maximum intensity position. Note the corresponding angular position of the analyzer. Let this be θ'. As this is maximum intensity condition, it corresponds to θ = 0°. θ' is the angular position of the analyzer and θ is the angle between the optic axes of polarizer and analyzer. θ' and θ need not be same. Also record the maximum intensity shown by the luxmater. This is I<sub>m</sub>



- 8. Now rotate the analyzer by 30° each time and record both  $\theta$ ° and  $\theta$ . Also record the corresponding intensities using the Luxmeter. These intensities are denoted by  $I_{\theta}$ Continue the observations  $till \theta$  reaches 360°. Record all your readings in the observation table 3.1.
- 9. Calculate  $\frac{l_{\theta}}{l_{m}}$  and  $\cos^{2}\theta$  for each  $\theta$
- 10. Plot the graph of  $\frac{l_{\theta}}{l_{m}}$  Vs  $\theta$  for all 13 values of  $\theta$ . It will show cosine square nature.
- 11. Also plot the graph of  $\frac{J_{\theta}}{I_{m}}$  Vs  $\cos^{2}\theta$  only for first four values. It will be a straight line
- 12. Both these graphs signify law of Malus





# My understanding to this exp.

This exp helps us to learn and understand the polarization properties of light, in this exp. light is passed through polarizens, and the intensity of passed light b depends on the sq. of cosine value of the angle blue the two polarizer value.