# Variational Monte Carlo on bosonic systems

Winther-Larsen, Sebastian Gregorius<sup>1</sup> and Schøyen, Øyvind Sigmundson<sup>1</sup>

\*University of Oslo\*
(Dated: February 6, 2018)

Something very abstract and clever should go here.

### I. INTRODUCTION

We will in this project study the Variational Monte Carlo (VMC) method, and use it to evaluate the ground state energy of a trapped, hard sphere Bose gas.

#### II. THEORY

To model the trapped bosonic gas particles we use the potential

$$V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 r^2 & \text{(S),} \\ \frac{1}{2}m[\omega^2(x^2 + y^2) + \omega_z^2 z^2] & \text{(E),} \end{cases}$$
(1)

where we can choose between a spherical (S) or an elliptical (E) harmonic trap. The two-body Hamiltonian of the system is given by

$$H = \sum_{i}^{N} h(\mathbf{r}_i) + \sum_{i < j}^{N} w(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j),$$
 (2)

where the single particle one body operator, h, is given by

$$h(\mathbf{r}_i) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}_i), \qquad (3)$$

(we assume equal mass) and the two-body interaction operator, w, is

$$w(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = \begin{cases} \infty & |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j| \le a, \\ 0 & |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j| > a, \end{cases}$$
(4)

where a is the hard sphere of the particle. The trial wavefunction,  $|\Psi_T\rangle$ , we will be looking at is given by

$$\Psi_T(\mathbf{r}) = \Phi_T(\mathbf{r}) \prod_{j < k}^N f(a, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_k)$$
 (5)

$$= \left(\prod_{i}^{N} g(\alpha, \beta, \mathbf{r}_{i})\right) \prod_{j < k}^{N} f(a, \mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{k}), \qquad (6)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are variational parameters and

$$\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, \alpha, \beta). \tag{7}$$

Here q are the single particle wavefunctions given by

$$g(\alpha, \beta, \mathbf{r}_i) = \exp\left[-\alpha(x_i^2 + y_i^2 + \beta z_i^2)\right] \equiv \phi(\mathbf{r}_i),$$
 (8)

and  $|\Phi_T\rangle$  the *Slater permanent* consisting of the *N* first single particle wavefunctions, and the correlation wavefunction, f, given by

$$f(a, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_k) = \begin{cases} 0 & |\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k| \le a, \\ \left(1 - \frac{a}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|}\right) & |\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k| > a. \end{cases}$$
(9)

## A. Local energy

As the many-body wavefunction creates a very large configuration space, where much of the wavefunction is small, we use the Metropolis algorithm in order to move towards regions in configuration space with "sensible" values. We define the *local energy*,  $E_L$ **r**, by

$$E_L(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{H\Psi_T(\mathbf{r})}{\Psi_T(\mathbf{r})}.$$
 (10)

If  $|\Psi_T\rangle$  is an exact eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian,  $E_L$  will be constant. The closer  $|\Psi_T\rangle$  is to the exact wave function, the less variation in  $E_L$  as a function of  ${\bf r}$  we get. One of the most computationally intensive parts of the VMC algorithm will be to compute  $E_L$ . We therefore find an analytical expression for  $E_L$  in terms of the trial wavefunction.

### 1. Non-interacting harmonic oscillator

We start by finding an analytical expression for the local energy in a system where we set a=0 and  $\beta=1$ , i.e., a system of non-interacting harmonic oscillators.

$$\Psi_T(\mathbf{r}) = \Phi_T(\mathbf{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp[-\alpha |\mathbf{r}_i|^2], \quad (11)$$

where  $|\mathbf{r}_i| = r_i$ . As a = 0 the interaction term,  $w(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$ , vanishes and the Hamiltonian is given by (in the spherical case)

$$H = \sum_{i}^{N} h(\mathbf{r}_{i}) = \sum_{i}^{N} \left( -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \nabla_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^{2} r_{i}^{2} \right).$$
 (12)

The hardest part of finding the local energy is computing the kinetic term. Starting with the gradient of the wavefunction in the non-interacting case, we get

$$\nabla_k \Psi_T(\mathbf{r}) = -2\alpha \mathbf{r}_k \Psi_T(\mathbf{r}). \tag{13}$$

The Laplacian yields

$$\nabla_k^2 \Psi_T(\mathbf{r}) = \left(-2d\alpha + 4\alpha^2 r_k^2\right) \Psi_T(\mathbf{r}),\tag{14}$$

where d is the dimensionality of the problem determined by  $\mathbf{r}_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . This yields the analytical expression for the local energy in the non-interacting case to be

$$E_L(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i}^{N} \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ -2d\alpha + 4\alpha^2 r_i^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 r_i^2 \right). \tag{15}$$

In natural units, with  $\hbar = c = m = 1$ , this reduces to

$$E_L(\mathbf{r}) = \alpha dN + \left(\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - 2\alpha^2\right) \sum_{i}^{N} r_i^2.$$
 (16)

It is worth noting that for  $\omega = 1$  we will find a stable value for the local energy when  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . This happens as the entire sum over all the random walkers disappears.

The gradient of the Jastrow factor is given by

Moving to the full system allowing  $\beta$  to vary and setting  $a \neq 0$  we write the trial wavefunction as

$$\langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle = \langle \mathbf{r} | \Phi_T \rangle J(\mathbf{r}),$$
 (17)

where  $|\Phi_T\rangle$  is the same Slater permanent as in Equation 6 and  $J(\mathbf{r})$  is the Jastrow factor given by

$$J(\mathbf{r}) = \exp\left(\sum_{j$$

where  $r_{jk} = |\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_k|$  and

$$u(r_{jk}) = \ln[f(a, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_k)]. \tag{19}$$

We wish to find an analytical expression for the local energy. Beginning with the gradient we get

$$\nabla_k \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle = \nabla_k \left[ \langle \mathbf{r} | \Phi_T \rangle J(\mathbf{r}) \right]$$
 (20)

$$= \left[ \nabla_k \langle \mathbf{r} | \Phi_T \rangle \right] J(\mathbf{r}) + \langle \mathbf{r} | \Phi_T \rangle \nabla_k J(\mathbf{r}). \quad (21)$$

The gradient of the Slater permanent gives

$$\nabla_k \langle \mathbf{r} | \Phi_T \rangle = \nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k) \prod_{i \neq k}^N \phi(\mathbf{r}_i)$$
 (22)

$$= \frac{\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} \langle \mathbf{r} | \Phi_T \rangle. \tag{23}$$

$$\nabla_k J(\mathbf{r}) = J(\mathbf{r}) \nabla_k \sum_{m < n}^N u(r_{mn})$$
(24)

$$=J(\mathbf{r})\left(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1}\nabla_k u(r_{mk}) + \sum_{n=k+1}^{N}\nabla_k u(r_{kn})\right)$$
(25)

$$=J(\mathbf{r})\sum_{m\neq k}^{N}\nabla_{k}u(r_{km}),\tag{26}$$

as  $r_{ij} = r_{ji}$ . We are thus left with

$$\nabla_k \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle = \frac{\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle + \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k u(r_{km})$$
(27)

$$= \left(\frac{\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} + \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k u(r_{km})\right) \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle.$$
 (28)

We can now find the Laplacian of the trial wavefunction. This gives

$$\nabla_k^2 \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle = \nabla_k \nabla_k \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle = \nabla_k \left( \frac{\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} + \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k u(r_{km}) \right) \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle$$
(29)

$$= \left(\frac{\nabla_k^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} + \left[\nabla \frac{1}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}\right] \nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k) + \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k^2 u(r_{km})\right) \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle$$
(30)

$$+ \left( \frac{\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} + \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k u(r_{km}) \right) \nabla_k \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle$$
(31)

$$= \left(\frac{\nabla_k^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} - \left[\frac{\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}\right]^2 + \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k^2 u(r_{km})\right) \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle$$
(32)

$$+ \left(\frac{\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} + \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k u(r_{km})\right)^2 \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle. \tag{33}$$

We now divide by the trial wavefunction. This simplifies the calculations and is more similar to the expression for the local energy.

$$\frac{\nabla_k^2 \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle} = \frac{\nabla_k^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} - \left[ \frac{\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} \right]^2 + \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k^2 u(r_{km}) + \left( \frac{\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} + \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k u(r_{km}) \right)^2$$
(34)

$$= \frac{\nabla_k^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} + \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k^2 u(r_{km}) + \frac{2\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k)}{\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)} \sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k u(r_{km}) + \left(\sum_{m \neq k}^N \nabla_k u(r_{km})\right)^2$$
(35)

To go from here we have to find the gradient and the Laplacian of the single particle functions,  $\phi(\mathbf{r}_k)$ , and the interaction functions  $u(r_{km})$ . For the single particle functions we use Cartesian coordinates when finding the derivatives whereas we for the interaction functions will use spherical coordinates and do a variable substitution. Beginning with the gradient of the single particle functions we get

$$\nabla_k \phi(\mathbf{r}_k) = \nabla_k \exp\left[-\alpha(x_k^2 + y_k^2 + \beta z_k^2)\right]$$
 (36)

$$= -2\alpha(x_k \mathbf{e}_i + y_k \mathbf{e}_j + \beta z_k \mathbf{e}_k)\phi(\mathbf{r}_k), \qquad (37)$$

note that the subscripts on the unit vectors  $\mathbf{e}_i$  are not the same as the subscripts used for its components. The Laplacian yields

$$\nabla_k^2 \phi(\mathbf{r}_k) = -2\alpha (2+\beta) \phi(\mathbf{r}_k) + 4\alpha^2 (x_k^2 + y_k^2 + \beta^2 z_k^2) \phi(\mathbf{r}_k).$$
(38)

In order to derive the interaction functions we have to do a variable substitution. We replace the derivative of the radial component for particle k by

$$\partial_{r_k} = \frac{\partial r_{km}}{\partial r_k} \, \partial_{r_{km}}. \tag{39}$$

The derivative of the distance  $r_{km}$  is given by

$$r_{km} = |\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_m| \implies \frac{\partial r_{km}}{\partial r_k} = \frac{r_k - r_m}{|\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_m|},$$
 (40)

where in spherical coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_k = r_k \mathbf{e}_r$ . The gradient of  $u(r_{km})$  thus simplifies to only include the radial contribution.

$$\nabla_k u(r_{km}) = \mathbf{e}_r \partial_{r_k} u(r_{km}) \tag{41}$$

$$= \mathbf{e}_r \, \frac{\partial r_{km}}{\partial r_k} \, \partial_{r_{km}} u(r_{km}) \tag{42}$$

$$= \mathbf{e}_r \frac{r_k - r_m}{r_{km}} \partial_{r_{km}} u(r_{km}). \tag{43}$$

Using this expression we find the Laplacian to be

$$\nabla_k^2 u(r_{km}) = \frac{1}{r_{km}} \partial_{r_{km}} u(r_{km}) + \frac{(r_k - r_m)^2}{r_{km}^3} \partial_{r_{km}} u(r_{km}) + \frac{r_k - r_m}{r_{km}} \frac{\partial r_{km}}{\partial r_k} \partial_{r_{km}}^2 u(r_{km})$$
(44)

$$= \frac{1}{r_{km}} \partial_{r_{km}} u(r_{km}) + \frac{r_{km}^2}{r_{km}^3} \partial_{r_{km}} u(r_{km}) + \frac{(r_k - r_m)^2}{r_{km}^2} \partial_{r_{km}}^2 u(r_{km})$$
(45)

$$=\frac{2}{r_{km}}\partial_{r_{km}}u(r_{km})+\partial_{r_{km}}^2u(r_{km}). \tag{46}$$

We now take the derivate with respect to  $r_{km}$  of the interaction functions  $u(r_{km})$  to find the closed form expressions. We get

Using this we are now able to write the closed form expression of the Laplacian of the trial wavefunction.

$$\partial_{r_{km}} u(r_{km}) = \partial_{r_{km}} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{a}{r_{km}} \right) \tag{47}$$

$$= \partial_{r_{km}} \ln \left( \frac{1}{r_{km}} (r_{km} - a) \right) \tag{48}$$

$$= \partial_{r_{km}} \left[ \ln(r_{km} - a) - \ln(r_{km}) \right]$$
 (49)

$$= \frac{1}{r_{km} - a} - \frac{1}{r_{km}}$$

$$= \frac{a}{r_{km}(r_{km} - a)}$$
(50)

$$=\frac{a}{r_{km}(r_{km}-a)}\tag{51}$$

$$\partial_{r_{km}}^{2} u(r_{km}) = a \left( \partial_{r_{km}} \frac{1}{r_{km}} \right) \partial_{r_{km}} \frac{1}{r_{km} - a} + a \frac{1}{r_{km}} \left( \partial_{r_{km}} \frac{1}{r_{km} - a} \right)$$

$$(52)$$

$$= -\frac{a}{r_{km}^2(r_{km} - a)} - \frac{a}{r_{km}(r_{km} - a)^2}$$
 (53)

$$= -\frac{2a(r_{km} - 1)}{r_{km}^2(r_{km} - a)^2}. (54)$$

$$\frac{\nabla_k^2 \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle} = -2\alpha (2+\beta) + 4\alpha^2 (x_k^2 + y_k^2 + \beta^2 z_k^2) + 2a \sum_{m \neq k}^N \left[ \frac{1}{r_{km}^2 (r_{km} - a)} - \frac{r_{km} - 1}{r_{km}^2 (r_{km} - a)^2} \right] - 4\alpha (x_k \mathbf{e}_i + y_k \mathbf{e}_j + \beta z_k \mathbf{e}_k) \cdot a \sum_{m \neq k}^N \frac{\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_m}{r_{km}^2 (r_{km} - a)} + \left[ a \sum_{m \neq k}^N \frac{\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_m}{r_{km}^2 (r_{km} - a)} \right]^2.$$
(55)

To ease the load on the CPU we try to restrict the number of times we evaluate the sums. For brevity we introduce the following functions

$$\xi_k(\mathbf{r}, a) = \sum_{m \neq k}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{r_{km}^2 (r_{km} - a)} - \frac{r_{km} - 1}{r_{km}^2 (r_{km} - a)^2} \right]$$
(56)

$$=\sum_{m\neq k}^{N} \frac{1-a}{r_{km}^{2}(r_{km}-a)^{2}},$$
(57)

$$\zeta_k(\mathbf{r}, a) = \sum_{m \neq k}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_m}{r_{km}^2 (r_{km} - a)}.$$
 (58)

We can then rewrite the expression for the Laplacian to

$$\frac{\nabla_k^2 \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle} = -2\alpha (2 + \beta) + 4\alpha^2 (x_k^2 + y_k^2 + \beta^2 z_k^2) + 2a\xi_k(\mathbf{r}, a) 
- 4a\alpha (x_k \mathbf{e}_i + y_k \mathbf{e}_j + \beta z_k \mathbf{e}_k) \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}_k(\mathbf{r}, a) + a^2 \boldsymbol{\zeta}_k(\mathbf{r}, a)^2.$$
(59)

Both  $\xi_k$  and  $\zeta_k$  can be evaluated in a common loop. Using this expression for the Laplacian we can find the local energy from

$$E_L(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{r} | H | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle} = \sum_{k}^{N} \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla_k^2 \langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{r} | \Psi_T \rangle} + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) + \sum_{i < j}^{N} w(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j).$$
(60)