Solution Sheet 2 – Cross-entropy method

Autumn School Uncertainty Quantification for High-Dimensional Problems

Problem 1 (Cross-entropy method with Gaussian densities)

Consider the cross-entropy (CE) method with a family of *n*-variate Gaussian densities, that are parameterized by their mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and invertible covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_{sym}$. That is, the parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\mu}, \text{vec}(\Sigma))^{\top}$ and

$$g(\boldsymbol{u};\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi\Sigma)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top}\Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right), \quad \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$

Let $\boldsymbol{u}_{j}^{(i)}$, $i=1,\ldots,N$ denote samples of $g(\cdot;\boldsymbol{\theta}_{j})$. Following the lecture notes we define the objective function

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \gamma_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}_j) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{\{G \le \gamma_j\}}(\boldsymbol{u}_j^{(i)}) W(\boldsymbol{u}_j^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_j) \ln g(\boldsymbol{u}_j^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where $W(\boldsymbol{u};\boldsymbol{\theta}_0,\boldsymbol{\theta}_i) = g(\boldsymbol{u};\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)/g(\boldsymbol{u};\boldsymbol{\theta}_i)$. Let

$$H_i^{(i)} := \mathbb{1}_{\{G \le \gamma_i\}}(\boldsymbol{u}_i^{(i)}) W(\boldsymbol{u}_i^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Show that the solution of the optimization problem

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \gamma_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}_j) \to \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}!$$

is given by

$$egin{aligned} m{\mu}_{opt} &= rac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)} m{u}_{j}^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)}}, \ \Sigma_{opt} &= rac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)} (m{u}_{j}^{(i)} - m{\mu}_{opt}) (m{u}_{j}^{(i)} - m{\mu}_{opt})^{ op}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hint. It holds

$$\frac{\partial \det(\Sigma)}{\partial \Sigma} = \det(\Sigma) \Sigma^{-\top}.$$

Solution

Recall that $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\mu}, \text{vec}(\Sigma))^{\top}$. Moreover, it holds

$$\ln g(\boldsymbol{u};\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\det(2\pi\Sigma)).$$

Step 1. The gradient of $\ln g(u; \theta)$ with respect to μ is given by

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \ln g(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$

The necessary optimality condition $\nabla_{\mu} J(\theta; \gamma_j, \theta_j) = \mathbf{0}$ gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)} \Sigma_{opt}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{u}_{j}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{opt}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since Σ_{opt} is independent of i, we can multiply both sides of the above equation from the left by Σ_{opt} and obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)}(m{u}_{j}^{(i)} - m{\mu}_{opt}) = m{0}.$$

This shows the claim

$$m{\mu}_{opt} = rac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)} m{u}_{j}^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)}}.$$

Step 2. The gradient of $\ln g(u; \theta)$ with respect to Σ is given by

$$\nabla_{\Sigma} \ln g(\boldsymbol{u}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\Sigma^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1} (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) (\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} \right). \tag{1}$$

Consider an invertible matrix Y = Y(x), then it holds

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Y}^{-1}}{\partial x} = -\mathbf{Y}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{Y}}{\partial x} \mathbf{Y}^{-1}.$$

From this it follows

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_{k\ell}^{-1}}{\partial \Sigma_{ij}} = -\Sigma_{ki}^{-1} \Sigma_{j\ell}^{-1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{v}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\top} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\top}.$$

Using the hint we obtain

$$\frac{\ln(\det(2\pi\Sigma))}{\partial\Sigma} = \frac{1}{\det(2\pi\Sigma)}\det(2\pi\Sigma)(2\pi\Sigma)^{-\top}2\pi = \Sigma^{-\top}.$$

This shows (1). The necessary optimality condition $\nabla_{\Sigma} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \gamma_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}_j) = 0$ gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_j^{(i)} \left(\Sigma_{opt}^{-1} - \Sigma_{opt}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{u}_j^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{opt}) (\boldsymbol{u}_j^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{opt})^{\top} \Sigma_{opt}^{-1} \right) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since Σ_{opt} is independent of i, we can multiply both sides of the above equation from the left and from the right by Σ_{opt} and obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^N H_j^{(i)} \left(\Sigma_{opt} - (oldsymbol{u}_j^{(i)} - oldsymbol{\mu}_{opt}) (oldsymbol{u}_j^{(i)} - oldsymbol{\mu}_{opt})^ op
ight) = oldsymbol{0}.$$

This shows the claim

$$\Sigma_{opt} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)} (\boldsymbol{u}_{j}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{opt}) (\boldsymbol{u}_{j}^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{opt})^{\top}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{j}^{(i)}}.$$

Problem 2 (Implementation of CE method)

For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ consider the limit-state function $G(\mathbf{u}) = -\min(u_1, u_2) + a$, where a > 0. Suppose that the nominal density f is the bivariate standard normal density. In this case $P_f = \Phi(-a)^2$.

The Matlab file CE_Gaussian_family.m implements the CE method with a family of Gaussian densities parameterized by their mean value $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$.

- a) In which lines do we use the analytical updates for μ_{opt} and Σ_{opt} derived in Problem 1?
- b) Compare the estimate for P_f obtained with standard Monte Carlo and the CE method for different values of a! What do you conclude?

Solution

See the file CE_Gaussian_family.m.