InterpreterLib Examples

Uk'taad B'mal
Information and Telecommunications Technology Center
The University of Kansas
2335 Irving Hill Rd, Lawrence, KS 66045

November 18, 2005

Abstract

The purpose of this document is to provide an annoted example using the *InterprterLib* package to define simple interpreters. The document assumes some knowledge of modular interpreter construction and refers to earlier interpreters written by the uktab ad'bmal group. These are available upon request.

1 Introduction

{-# OPTIONS -fglasgow-exts -fno-monomorphism-restriction #-}

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{import} \ Interpreter Lib. Algebras \\ \textbf{import} \ Interpreter Lib. Functors \\ \end{array}$

import InterpreterLib.SubType

 $\mathbf{import}\ \mathit{Monad}$

 ${\bf import}\ Control. Monad. Reader$

2 Example Language

To demonstrate the use of the InterpreterLib definition capabilities, we will define an interpreter for an Integer language that implements some simple mathematical operations.

2.1 The Value Space

We start by defining a type for the interpreter's value space:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{data} \ \textit{Value} \\ = \ \textit{ValNum Int} \\ \mid \textit{ValLambda} \ (\textit{ValueMonad} \rightarrow \textit{ValueMonad}) \\ \\ \textbf{instance} \ \textit{Show} \ \textit{Value} \ \textbf{where} \\ \textit{show} \ (\textit{ValNum} \ x) = \textit{show} \ x \\ \textit{show} \ (\textit{ValLambda} \ \_) = \texttt{"Function} \ \texttt{Value} \texttt{"} \\ \end{array}
```

There are two values in this language, integers and integer functions.

2.2 Defining AST Elements

Next, we define types for each of the language's AST elements. For each AST element we define 5 elements: (i) the *Algebra* data type; (ii) the *Term* data type; (iii) the *Functor* instance; (iv) the *Algebra* instance; and (v) a helper function to create terms. The *Term* data structure and *Functor* instance remain unchanged from previous modular interpreters. The *Term* structure defines a non-recursive type for representing terms while the *Functor* defines a mechanism for folding operations onto the term structure.

The algebra function does not change from previous interpreters. It continues to provide a mapping from a term to a value. The distinction is the *Algebra* type requires three parameters - the carrier set and the term type as before, plus the algebra structure used for evaluation. Note that the algebra is the instance of *Algebra* while the algebra structure provides the definitions used by the algebra.

The apply function defined for all Algebra instances takes the place of ϕ . It extracts what was ϕ from the algebra structure and applies it. Thus, apply alg t applies the interpretation function from alg to the term t. In affect, $\phi = (apply \ alg)$. One important difference should be noted. Frequently, ϕ used parameter matching to pull apart an argument and process its parts. apply is virtually always called with the argument intact.

We will start with the definition for integer constants:

```
\mathbf{data}\ AlgConst\ t = AlgC\ ((ExprConst\ t) \to t) \mathbf{data}\ ExprConst\ e = EConst\ Int \mathbf{deriving}\ (Show, Eq) \mathbf{instance}\ Functor\ ExprConst\ \mathbf{where} map_f\ f\ (EConst\ x) = EConst\ x \mathbf{instance}\ Algebra\ ExprConst\ AlgConst\ a\ \mathbf{where} apply\ (AlgC\ f)\ x@(EConst\ \_) = (f\ x) mkEConst = inn \circ sleft \circ EConst
```

Let's step through the definition for Integer. AlgConst is the algebra structure defining interpretation of constants. It's only parameter is a function that maps ExperConst instances over some carrier set, t to t. This is precisely the signature of ϕ from earlier interpreters. However, instead of using polymorphism to find ϕ , we'll get it directly from the algebra when we invoke the catamorphism.

ExprConst is the datatype associated with constants and is an instance of Functor. ExprConst is an instance of Functor and map_f is defined in the canonical fashion to simply return the constant it is passed.

ExprConst is also an instance of Algebra. Here, the definition is different because apply takes two arguments - an algebra structure and a term - rather than one as it did in earlier implementations. In this case, apply first extracts the interpretation function, f, from the algebra structure. It then makes sure the term argument is the correct type and associates it with x. With the evaluation function and the term available, apply simply calls the evaluation function on the term.

The *mkEConst* function is a helper function that constructs a complete *ExprConstant* term. Defining terms is a real pain with all of the *Sum* and *Fix* cruft floating around. I suspect that these helper functions will need to be rewritten whenever the language changes due to the structure of the *Sum*. There may be a way around this similar to the techniques used in earlier languages.

The addition and multiplication terms are defined similarly:

```
\mathbf{data}\ AlgAdd\ t = AlgAdd \{\ add :: (ExprAdd\ t) \to t,\\ sub :: (ExprAdd\ t) \to t\}
\mathbf{data}\ ExprAdd\ e = EAdd\ e\ e\\ |\ ESub\ e\ e\\ \mathbf{deriving}\ (Show, Eq)
\mathbf{instance}\ Functor\ ExprAdd\ \mathbf{where}\\ map_f\ f\ (EAdd\ x\ y) = (EAdd\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y))\\ map_f\ f\ (ESub\ x\ y) = (ESub\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y))
\mathbf{instance}\ Algebra\ ExprAdd\ AlgAdd\ a\ \mathbf{where}\\ apply\ alg\ x@(EAdd\ _\ _\ _) = (add\ alg\ x)\\ apply\ alg\ x@(ESub\ _\ _\ _) = (sub\ alg\ x)
mkEAdd\ x\ y = inn\ \$\ sright\ \$\ sleft\ \$\ EAdd\ x\ y
mkESub\ x\ y = inn\ \$\ sright\ \$\ sleft\ \$\ ESub\ x\ y
\mathbf{data}\ AlgMult\ t = AlgMult \{\ mult\ :: (ExprMult\ t) \to t,\\ divi\ :: (ExprMult\ t) \to t\}
```

```
data ExprMult\ e = EMult\ e\ e
\mid EDiv\ e\ e
\mid EDiv\ e\ e
deriving (Show, Eq)

instance Functor\ ExprMult\ where
map_f\ f\ (EMult\ x\ y) = (EMult\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y))
map_f\ f\ (EDiv\ x\ y) = (EDiv\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y))

instance Algebra\ ExprMult\ AlgMult\ a\ where
apply\ alg\ x@(EMult\ _\ _\ ) = (mult\ alg\ x)
apply\ alg\ x@(EDiv\ _\ _\ ) = (divi\ alg\ x)
mkEMult\ x\ y = inn\ $sright\ $sright\ $sleft\ $EMult\ x\ y$
mkEDiv\ x\ y = inn\ $sright\ $sright\ $sleft\ $EDiv\ x\ y$
```

At this point we have elements of a simple language for arithmetic with no variables or functions. We can add lambdas, applications and variables using techniques similar to those from earlier interpreters:

```
\mathbf{data}\ \mathit{ExprFun}\ t
     = ELambda String TyValue t
     |EApp t t|
     | EVar String
      deriving (Show, Eq)
data AlgFun\ t = AlgFun\{lam :: ExprFun\ t \rightarrow t,
                              app :: ExprFun \ t \rightarrow t,
                              var :: ExprFun \ t \rightarrow t \}
instance Functor ExprFun where
     map_f f (ELambda \ s \ ty \ t) = ELambda \ s \ ty \ (f \ t)
     map_f f (EApp t1 t2) = EApp (f t1) (f t2)
     map_f f (EVar s) = (EVar s)
instance Algebra ExprFun AlgFun a where
     apply \ alg \ x@(EApp \_ \_) = (app \ alg \ x)
     apply \ alg \ x@(ELambda \_ \_ \_) = (lam \ alg \ x)
     apply \ alg \ x@(EVar \_) = (var \ alg \ x)
mkEVar\ x = inn \$ sright \$ sright \$ sright \$ EVar\ x
mkELambda\ x\ ty\ y = inn\ \$\ sright\ \$\ sright\ \$\ ELambda\ x\ ty\ y
mkEApp \ x \ y = inn \$ sright \$ sright \$ sright \$ EApp \ x \ y
```

Note that the same five elements are defined for the collection of lambda terms as for previous language elements.

The lambda implemented here uses a *Reader* monad to maintain variables and their values in the execution environment as lambdas are applied to values. As each application is processes, the variable being replaced is paired with the value specified by the application. This is stored in the environment and used to determine the value of a variable when it is referenced.

2.3 Composing AST Elements

The full language is now defined as the fixed point of the sum of language components. Here we have defined: \$: as an infix form of Sum. However, the semantics is unchanged from previous interpreters. TermType is the sum of term definitions and TermLang is the fixed point of the term definition.

```
\label{eq:type} \textbf{type} \ \textit{TermType} = (\textit{ExprConst}: \$: (\textit{ExprAdd}: \$: (\textit{ExprMult}: \$: \textit{ExprFun}))) \label{eq:type} \ \textit{TermLang} = \textit{Fix} \ \textit{TermType}
```

3 Language Semantics

We've now set up types for defining interpreters over this simple language, but we've not defined a specific semantics for the language. This is done by defining an algebra structure that provides *apply* for each term AST and summing the result to form an algebra for the complete language.

We start by defining types and functions for manipulating the environment. ValueMonad is the monad used to maintain the environment as values are calculated for terms. Env is the environment an is defined as a single element record containing a list String, Value pairs associating values with variables. lookup Val and add Val are helper functions for looking up and adding variable values to the environment.

```
type ValueMonad = Reader\ Env\ Value
data\ Env = Env\{variables :: [(String,\ Value)]\}
lookup\ Val\ name\ env = lookup\ name\ (variables\ env)
add\ Val\ b\ env = Env\{variables = b : (variables\ env)\}
```

 $^{^1}Fix$ and : \$: are both defined in module Functor.

3.1 Semantic Elements

Now we define helper functions that specify how each term type is evaluated. One function is defined for each AST construct. These definitions could easily be directly embedded in algebra structures and not defined separately. However, the algebra structure definition is greatly simplified by using this approach. They will each be inserted into an algebra structure prior to their use.

```
phiConst (EConst x) = return (ValNum x)
vPlus (ValNum \ x) (ValNum \ y) = ValNum \ (x + y)
vSub (ValNum x) (ValNum y) = ValNum (x - y)
phiAdd (EAdd x1 x2) = liftM2 vPlus x1 x2
phiSub (ESub x1 x2) = liftM2 vSub x1 x2
vMult (ValNum x) (ValNum y) = ValNum (x * y)
vDiv (ValNum x) (ValNum y) = ValNum ((div) x y)
phiMult\ (EMult\ x1\ x2) = liftM2\ vMult\ x1\ x2
phiDiv (EDiv x1 x2) = liftM2 vDiv x1 x2
phiLambda (ELambda s \_ t) =
     \mathbf{do} \{ \mathit{env} \leftarrow \mathit{ask} \}
         ; return $ ValLambda (\lambda v \rightarrow (\mathbf{do} \{ v' \leftarrow v \})
                                               ; (local (const (addVal (s, v') env)) t)
phiApp (EApp x1 x2) =
     do \{x1' \leftarrow x1\}
         ; case x1' of
          (ValLambda f) \rightarrow (f x2)
          \_ 
ightarrow \mathit{error} "Cannot apply non-lambda value"
phiVar\ (EVar\ s) = \mathbf{do}\ \{v \leftarrow asks\ (lookup\ Val\ s)
                          ; case v of
                            (Just\ x) \rightarrow return\ x
                            Nothing \rightarrow error "Variable not found"
```

Note the use of liftM2 to evaluate x1 and x2 prior to applying the actual evaluation function. In effect, x1 and x2 are evaluated in a **do** construct, then the specified function applied and the result packaged back into the monad using return. The definition of liftM2 is in the Control.Monad package, but is repeated at the end of this file for documentation purposes.

3.2 Composign Semantic Elements

The full term algebra is formed by creating algebra structures for each term from the definitions above and summing those definitions together. AlgC, AlgAdd, AlgMult and AlgFun take a function and build an algebra structure around it. This is what the data type definitions earlier are for. @+@ is an infix Sum operation for algebra structures. This works the same way as the term sum to combine algebra structures into a single structure.²

The evalFun function composes runReader and cata to define evaluation. The heart of this function is the polytypic fold, or catamorphism. (cata termAlg) instantiates the cata function with the evaluation algebra. When applied to a term, it will produce a ValueMonad that is then evaluated by runReader.

```
evalFun = runReader \circ (cata\ termAlg)
```

An initial value for the environment must be provided to *evalFun* for the reader to evaluate completely. To evaluate *term1* starting with an empty environment, execute the following:

```
(evalFun\ term1)\ Env\{variables = []\}
```

4 A Second Interpreter

Now let's have some fun and define a different evaluation function for this language. If all is well, we should be able to define a new algebra structure, use the same sum and evaluate the language over a different carrier set. For this experiment, we'll use the simple odd/even carrier set.

First define the odd/even data type and some helper functions. Probably could use instances and continue to use + and *, but that's more than we really want to do here.

```
data OE = Odd \mid Even deriving (Show, Eq)
```

²I believe the order structure of this sum and the term sum must be the same. Specifically, *AlgCost* and *ExprConst* are both first; *AlgAdd* and *ExprAdd* are both second; and so forth. I have not tested this assumption, but it would make very good sense to do it this way.

```
oePlus :: OE \rightarrow OE \rightarrow OE
oePlus Odd Odd = Even
oePlus Odd Even = Odd
oePlus Even Odd = Odd
oePlus Even Even = Even
oeTimes :: OE \rightarrow OE \rightarrow OE
oeTimes Odd Odd = Odd
oeTimes Odd Even = Even
oeTimes Even Odd = Even
oeTimes Even Odd = Even
oeTimes Even Even = Even
```

Second, define the evaluation functions that we'll use with apply. For these definitions, we'll define alpha, the abstraction function for constant values, and define the various evaluation functions using alpha when necessary. This will allow us to check soundness later. Note that we have to be careful about the name space and use unique names here.

Now define evaluation functions for each element of the AST:

```
phi1Const\ (EConst\ x) = return\ (alpha\ x)
```

```
aPlus (AbsValNum \ x) (AbsValNum \ y) = AbsValNum (oePlus \ x \ y)
phi1Add (EAdd x1 x2) = liftM2 aPlus x1 x2
phi1Sub (ESub x1 x2) = liftM2 aPlus x1 x2
aTimes\ (AbsValNum\ x)\ (AbsValNum\ y) = AbsValNum\ (oeTimes\ x\ y)
phi1Mult\ (EMult\ x1\ x2) = liftM2\ aTimes\ x1\ x2
phi1Div (EDiv x1 x2) = liftM2 \ aTimes x1 x2
phi1Lambda (ELambda s = t) =
     \mathbf{do} \{ \mathit{env} \leftarrow \mathit{ask} \}
         ; return $ AbsValLambda (\lambda v \rightarrow (\mathbf{do} \{ v' \leftarrow v \})
                                                    ; (local\ (const\ (addAbsVal\ (s,v')\ env))\ t)
phi1App (EApp x1 x2) =
     do \{x1' \leftarrow x1\}
         ; case x1' of
          (AbsValLambda\ f) \rightarrow (f\ x2)
          \_ 
ightarrow error "Cannot apply non-lambda value"
phi1Var(EVar\ s) = \mathbf{do}\ \{v \leftarrow asks\ (lookupAbsVal\ s)
                            ; case v of
                             (Just\ x) \rightarrow return\ x
                             Nothing \rightarrow error "Variable not found"
```

Finally, create the algebra structures for each term and sum them together to create the term algebra. The helper function *evalPar* is identical to *evalFun* defined previously except it uses the abstract interpreter.

```
term1Alg = (AlgC \ phi1Const)
@ + @ (AlgAdd \ phi1Add \ phi1Sub)
@ + @ (AlgMult \ phi1Mult \ phi1Div)
@ + @ (AlgFun \ phi1Lambda \ phi1App \ phi1Var)
evalPar = runReader \circ (cata \ term1Alg)
```

We're done. Now we can use the same terms as before to test the new abstract interpreter.

5 Type Checking as Interpretation

Now let's have even more fun. Using the same algebraic structure, we can define a type checker for our tiny language by once again defining a new value space and associated ϕ functions. We'll leave it to the reader to determine what's going on here.

```
data TyValue
    = TyInt
    \mid \mathit{TyValue}: ->: \mathit{TyValue}
     deriving (Eq, Show)
data \Gamma = \Gamma\{\gamma :: [(String, TyValue)]\}
lookup Ty \ name \ gam = lookup \ name \ (\gamma \ gam)
addBinding\ b\ gam = \Gamma\{\gamma = b : (\gamma\ gam)\}
type TyMonad = Reader \Gamma TyValue
tyConst (EConst x) = return TyInt
tPlus\ TyInt\ TyInt=\ TyInt
tPlus(\_:->:\_)\_=error "Cannot add function value"
tPlus_{-}(-:->:-)=error "Cannot add function value"
tSub TyInt TyInt = TyInt
tSub\ (\_:->:\_)\ \_=\mathit{error} "Cannot subtract function value"
tSub_{-}(-:->:-) = error "Cannot subtract function value"
tyAdd (EAdd x1 x2) = liftM2 tPlus x1 x2
tySub (ESub x1 x2) = liftM2 tSub x1 x2
tMult\ TyInt\ TyInt = TyInt
tMult (\_: ->: \_) \_ = error "Cannot multiply function value"
tMult_{-}(-:->:-)=error "Cannot multiply function value"
tDiv\ TyInt\ TyInt = TyInt
tDiv (\_: ->: \_) \_ = error "Cannot divide function value"
tDiv_{-}(-:->:-)=error "Cannot divide function value"
tyMult\ (EMult\ x1\ x2) = liftM2\ tMult\ x1\ x2
tyDiv (EDiv x1 x2) = liftM2 tDiv x1 x2
tyLambda (ELambda \ s \ ty \ t) =
    \mathbf{do} \{ g \leftarrow ask \}
        ; t' \leftarrow (local (const (addBinding (s, TyInt) g)) t)
        ; return (ty: ->: t')
```

```
tyApp (EApp x1 x2) =
     do \{x1' \leftarrow x1\}
         ; x2' \leftarrow x2
         ; case x1' of
          (t1:->:t2) \rightarrow \mathbf{if} \ (t1 \equiv x2')
                              then (return t2)
                              else (error "Input parameter of wrong type")
         _ \rightarrow error "Cannot apply non-lambda value" }
tyVar(EVar\ s) = \mathbf{do}\ \{v \leftarrow asks\ (lookupTy\ s)
                           ; case v of
                           (Just\ x) \rightarrow return\ x
                           Nothing \rightarrow error "Variable not found"
tyAlg = (AlgC \ tyConst)
             @ + @(AlgAdd \ tyAdd \ tySub)
             @ + @(AlgMult \ tyMult \ tyDiv)
             @ + @(AlgFun \ tyLambda \ tyApp \ tyVar)
typeof = runReader \circ (cata \ tyAlg)
```

That's it. The type checker in just over a page. Not bad at all.

6 A Moment of Soundness

One more interesting thing before we quit is evaluating soundness of the abstract interpretation. Specifically, does applying the abstraction function after interpretation result in the same value as abstract interpretation? If so, then $\alpha(\phi_c(m)) = \phi_a(m)$ where ϕ_c is concrete interpretation and ϕ_a is abstract interpretation.

```
soundTest\ c\ a\ alpha\ x = \mathbf{do}\ \{x' \leftarrow cata\ c\ x\\ ; x'' \leftarrow cata\ a\ x\\ ; return\ (x'' \equiv (alpha\ x'))\\ \} sound\ x = \mathbf{case}\ v\ \mathbf{of}\\ (\mathit{ValNum}\ a) \rightarrow \mathbf{case}\ av\ \mathbf{of}\\ c@(\mathit{AbsValNum}\ b) \rightarrow \mathit{alpha}\ a \equiv c\\ \_ \rightarrow \mathit{False}\\ (\mathit{ValLambda}\ \_) \rightarrow \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{av}\ \mathbf{of}
```

```
(AbsValLambda\_) \rightarrow error \texttt{"Cannot compare functions"} \\ \_ \rightarrow False \\ \textbf{where } v = (evalFun \ x \ Env\{variables = []\}); \\ av = (evalPar \ x \ AbsEnv\{absVariables = []\})
```

7 Testing Functions

The remaining definitions are helper functions for creating terms and calling *cata* to perform evaluation. All are worth looking at to see the structure of terms and to see the use of monads during evaluation.

```
sright = S \circ Right
sleft = S \circ Left
term1 = mkEConst 1
term2 = mkEAdd \ term1 \ term1
term3 = mkEMult\ term2\ term2
term4 = mkESub \ term1 \ term1
term5 = mkEDiv term1 term1
term6 = mkEVar "x"
term 7 = mkELambda "x" TyInt term 6
term8 = mkEApp term7 term1
term9 = mkELambda "x" TyInt (mkEAdd term6 term6)
term10 = mkEApp \ term9 \ term1
term11 = mkELambda "x" TyInt (mkELambda "y" TyInt (mkEAdd term1 term1))
term12 = mkEApp (mkEApp term11 term1) term1
emptyG = \Gamma\{\gamma = [\,]\}
emptyE = Env\{variables = []\}
emptyAE = AbsEnv\{absVariables = []\}
```

I always forget the definitions of *liftM* and *liftM2*, so I'll include them here in a specification block for reference.

```
-- Defined in Control.Monad liftM2 f m_1 m_2 = \mathbf{do} \ x1 \leftarrow m_1 x2 \leftarrow m_2 return (f x1 x2) liftM f m_1 = \mathbf{do} \ x \leftarrow m return (f x)
```

This does not work, so uncomment at your own risk. It's supposed to mimic SubSum from LangUtils, but insists on a fully instantiated type instead of a type constructor.

```
to TmLang :: (SubType \ f \ TermType) \Rightarrow f \ TermLang \rightarrow TermLang \\ to TmLang = \uparrow
```