

Remimeo

## ADMINSCALE ON THE PROJECT RON'S eBIB

**Ron's eBib** stands for "Ron's electronic bibliography", abbreviated eBib<sup>1</sup>. It is an integrated library-database, that comprises the total Org Board of a library, which is: Bibliography, procurement, development and listing, loan and administration. In addition it contains special functions for the Scientologists, as well as detection, storage and exchange of study-report, as well as the administration (input, maintenance, and access) of digitized media (with media is meant books, lectures, videos, documents and so on).

This is to fulfill LRHs advice to the Qual Division, per the lecture 7109c05 A TALK ON A BASIC QUAL we should create and maintain a large Library in every Org:

You'll find most Power auditors and C/Ses particularly, and so on, assemble their own packs and their own library and so on. Well, so, great, that's fine. You're not a substitute for that. It's an Org library, and you just get your hands on at least two of every pack on Tech or Policy or anything else **that has ever been issued**<sup>2</sup>, and you put a great big red stripe with a great big stamp on the master copy, which mustn't be removed from the area, and you carefully sign out any spares that you have and you get them recovered. In other words, you have an actual library, not just some books lying around. And if you were really building the thing up, you should have a copy of every tape ever made. Now, that's quite a library, but we were actually, would be in a position up the line to furnish that, but you certainly should have a basic copy of every tape being used in HCI<sup>3</sup>, not just all the tapes in HCI.

And that's a full library. Now you are in the business of knowledge, well, that's where it's concentrated in the Org. Now, the duties of the librarian have not been written up but they are simple enough. They do the standard duties of a librarian. They never let the master copy out. They could have some area there where somebody can sit and read and look up something. They always have a master copy of everything they own and they answer questions.

Now that takes an interesting librarian because he's the technical information centre. Tech Information Centre, so if there's any other technology that you are using such as the lawnmower and so forth, he's got it, too. So all booklets about all equipment in the Org also belong in that library. Now that librarian has got to be enough on the ball to be able to look up this volume or be able to tell the guy 'Look in that volume, and here it is.' Now there's actually technical indexes have been made and we have been trying to get them issued, but they're being issued along with this HCOB series. You'll find that the OEC volumes are extremely good in their indexing.

Information, where can a guy go to see it? Not to be told verbally, where can the guy go to see it? And so on. Well, that is Department 14's library, that's the Knowledge Centre. And so you don't get verbal data lines, or anything of the sort and you also can get this kind of a situation. Some senior in Division 2 tells this fellow immediately to move out all of those CF folders and so forth because they're not using those now, and something, and he says it's in policy they should, and this fellow says 'Doesn't sound very sensible to me, but urr-urr-urr.'

---

1 We would prefer the better name "L. Ron Hubbard Library", but that was already taken by a governmental agency as their front name: The Church of Spiritual Technology – in short CST – makes business under the name "L. Ron Hubbard Library" as it claims to own the copyrights of LRH to control RTC and CoS by this means. This was a criminal fraud, exposed on this valuable website: [www.sc-i-r-s-ology.com](http://www.sc-i-r-s-ology.com). Not only a fraud, it is also a violation of the The First Amendment (Amendment I) to the United States Constitution: the deep state wants to control our religion, essentially a high crime. So we had to avoid this name and use eBib instead.

2 Highlighted by myself. See the comment after this quote.

3 The name of the Academy on Flag: Hubbard College of Improvement. (FSO 65)

He's got to have some place to go where somebody will tell him what policy to look up. He may be quite green, he may not be that well trained, do you follow. What IS policy on this subject? Well, a librarian should be able to inform him.<sup>4</sup>

The collection of every issue, which **"has ever been issued"** is heavily violated in Quals Library of RTC-controlled organizations: in the contrary: there have even been fired Sea Org Missions<sup>5</sup>, who had no other purpose, than to make sure, that "old tech" and books like the Volunteers Ministers Handbooks or pre-2007-issues of the "18 Basic Books" get disposed of in Orgs and Missions and even Field Offices. This "Scientology Book Burnings" are justified as a "Keeping Scientology Working" activity: **"Hammering out of existence incorrect technology."** But the real reason behind these weird activities is to hide the fact, that the tech is changed and altered and faked since half a century. Rons policy to keep every **"Tech or Policy or anything else that has ever been issued"** had the intention, that every alter-is could finally be recognized and corrected.

## GOALS

To create and maintain a world wide "Scientology Qual Library", which is a real "Tech Information Centre", including all books, lectures, Tech or Policy or anything else that has ever been issued by any Scientology organization or individual. To give any interested person access to this library it has to be accessible online by the world wide web 24 hours, 365 days every year. We call this project eBib (Ron's electronic Bibliography).

As everyone may contribute documents or files to this collection (without a central censorship, but we might need a way to keep out sabotage<sup>6</sup>), the collection already consists of over a million files and would only create a big confusion to the users, if we would not use the tech per LRH to solve this. See his Logics and in the footnotes, how to apply these Logics to our problem:

Logic 8. A datum can be evaluated only by a datum of comparable magnitude.<sup>7</sup>

Logic 9. A datum is as valuable as it has been evaluated.<sup>8</sup>

Logic 10. The value of a datum is established by the amount of alignment (relationship) it imparts to other data.<sup>9</sup>

Logic 11. The value of a datum or field of data can be established by its degree of assistance in survival or its inhibition to survival.<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> The same quote was used as the content of the HCO PL 3 March 1982 THE QUAL LIBRARY

<sup>5</sup> This is a report from Dallas from 2014: "The Idle Org of Dallas is about to go babbling over the hill. THE RED VOLUMES Remember those. New ones coming. "Written the way LRH intended." NO THEY DON'T HAVE NEW ONES YET. The old ones have to go. So (S.O. Garrison Staff) is gathering all the old ones to put them out for recycle. No no, that doesn't mean give them to other readers, that means burn them up. He even went into the Senior C/S's office and took his. The C/S came out demanding his red vols so he would have something to work with. S.O. showed him the big pile. THE SENIOR C/S has to dig through that pile and find his."

<sup>6</sup> See [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Denial-of-service\\_attacks](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Denial-of-service_attacks)

<sup>7</sup> So we need every original issue and all their revisions for each work, as these will be the "data of comparable magnitude" and then one can evaluate the validity of the given documents.

<sup>8</sup> For each work and for each issue every user can add his comments: his evaluations of this document, whether he finds it workable, by LRH or sees it as a fake. These comments will be signed by the author, so that the readers can also evaluate these comments: i.e. give them 1 to 5 stars as a review or feedback, whether he sees this comment as valuable or not. You find a similar in Amazons book reviews: there you as a reader of a book can not only write reviews, but the visitors can rate your review as helpful or not so helpful for their decision to buy the book.

<sup>9</sup> The users will have in our software the possibility to link two documents or works or issues and comment this link. In this wise you can i.e. tell other users, that PROFESSIONAL COURSE BOOKLET No 1 is based on the LRH-Lecture 3<sup>rd</sup> March 1952 SCIENTOLOGY: MILESTONE ONE. And one can mark any lecture as part of one or more Lecture Series and so overcome the confusion which was introduced, as the church sells now Congresses under another name as they have been original issued and often also with a different numbering of the single lectures, as they also like to suppress some lectures, which are seen as confidential today.

<sup>10</sup> Here we welcome your comments for each document, whether the auditing process described in this works for you or not. Because finally we study the LRH-tech only to apply it for the betterment of our dynamics. And if some tech does not work, we should find out about that and communicate it to each other, so that we separate the wheat from

Logic 12. The value of a datum or a field of data is modified by the viewpoint of the observer.<sup>11</sup>

Logic 13. Problems are resolved by compartmenting them into areas of similar magnitude and data, comparing them to data already known or partially known, and resolving each area.<sup>12</sup> Data which cannot be known immediately may be resolved by addressing what is known and using its solution to resolve the remainder.

Logic 14. Factors introduced into a problem or solution which do not derive from natural law but only from authoritarian command aberrate that problem or solution.<sup>13</sup>

Logic 15. The introduction of an arbitrary into a problem or solution invites the further introduction of arbitraries into problems and solutions.<sup>14</sup>

Logic 16. An abstract postulate must be compared to the universe to which it applies and brought into the category of things which can be sensed, measured or experienced in that universe before such postulate can be considered workable.<sup>15</sup>

Logic 17. Those fields which most depend upon authoritative opinion for their data least contain known natural law.

Logic 18. A postulate is as valuable as it is workable.

Logic 19. The workability of a postulate is established by the degree to which it explains existing phenomena already known, by the degree that it predicts new phenomena which when looked for will be found to exist, and by the degree that it does not require that phenomena which do not exist in fact be called into existence for its explanation. THE LOGICS were written by L. Ron Hubbard, published in 1951.

**Ron's eBib** will help Scientology to free itself of incorrect data and forgery of the Tech, Admin & Ethic and misuse of them, in that it helps to establish KSW #1 to #10:

Getting the correct technology applied consists of:

One: Having the correct technology.<sup>16</sup>

Two: Knowing the technology.<sup>17</sup>

---

the chaff.

- 11 And the observer here is every member of the eBib project. Every honest researcher is welcome to join in and become a member of this research. So everyone can also contribute and give his insights to the documents so that other can read this and answer on that with his comments. The users might use real names or an alias as they like and they will have a profile with basic information like contact info, training level, location and such, so that the members can communicate among each other. Also the contributions to the eBib project will be visible, at least as a status like "newbie member", "professional", "oldtimer" or "power contributor", based on his stats: number of his comments or uploads of documents and the ratings of his contributions by other members.
- 12 Here a reference might be queried in a comment by linking to another reference it might contradict. Of course also these queries can be commented upon by other members. But all such discussions always are found when you lookup one or the other reference, so they show up, when you want to know everything about a reference.
- 13 That was the former solution: In the Church of Scientology one has to accept the authoritarian decision by RTC, whether something is valid tech/policy or not. It is totally ok, to validate opinion leaders and orient oneself at the qualified judgement of highly trained auditors or supervisors. But to subordinate oneself to an authority, which is based on a monopoly ("we control the copyrights") and not on training is a more than questionable approach to find the truth.
- 14 As long as we accept the fact, that others than LRH can write HCOBs and HCOPL and not indicate them as such, we also have to accept, that the workable tech is destroyed and Scientology ends up in a danger of long duration, which finally means Non-Ex (death of Scientology).
- 15 If we have no means to find out, whether one or the other tech was created by LRH, whether we have an original LRH-document in our hands or a fake one, than we have at least the possibility to check the workability of the given tech and communicate that among us. This will sort out the wheat from the chaff.
- 16 This will be solved by making all 1+ Million files available to everyone with internet access who is interested to become a member of this project. And by easy means to access these many documents without advanced computer skills.
- 17 This is up to you, with the help of your nearest course room and course supervisor.

- Three: Knowing it is correct.<sup>18</sup>
- Four: Teaching correctly the correct technology.<sup>19</sup>
- Five: Applying the technology.<sup>20</sup>
- Six: Seeing that the technology is correctly applied.<sup>21</sup>
- Seven: Hammering out of existence incorrect technology.<sup>22</sup>
- Eight: Knocking out incorrect applications.<sup>23</sup>
- Nine: Closing the door on any possibility of incorrect technology.<sup>24</sup>
- Ten: Closing the door on incorrect application<sup>25</sup>.

eBib helps to separate the chaff from the wheat so that Scientology can be rehabilitated in its purest form. This separation is not made on an authoritarian basis. There will not be any "Senior C/S Int" or RTC/CST, who will be the watch dog over the tech. LRH had never written anything about a Senior C/S Int or a Watch Dog Committee or RTC or such bullshit. Instead KSW is a group activity, as "when in doubt: communicate!" Our worldwide cooperation and communication will enable us to overcome all fakes and treachery.

### PURPOSES

- A computer-based<sup>26</sup> bibliography<sup>27</sup>-database of all works<sup>28</sup> of Ron in order to put a stable working base for students and researchers, with what Ron exactly wrote and when and about which subject. This is essentially the table WORKS<sup>29</sup>, added are to that the names of SERIES (one WORK can belong to several different SERIES, as i.e. SHSBC and Study Tapes) and the keyword-administration and cross-references.
- A list of all editions to every work (administered in the table "ISSUES"), in that each translation, each revision, each digitalized mime.type<sup>30</sup> can be entered. "WORKS"

- 
- 18 This you will find out, when you apply the data. If that fails, you either have to find your Mus and misapplication or it might be false data: usually by alter-is of original LRH-data. But eBib will help to sort this out.
- 19 Again: this is the task of your course supervisor. But eBib will support him in this and also you as a student, as you will find not only the material to study but also comments by others who studied it and commented upon this issue.
- 20 This is again up to you. But now you have a location where you can publish your results on the application: exactly to the specific reference, on which your application is based.
- 21 This is up to you, to realize, which offered tech or "fake-tech" works or not. And please let us know, what you found out: write your comment under the issue you had applied.
- 22 If people do as suggested in these footnotes and use eBib for this, this will finally isolate incorrect technology. It is up to the better trained and experienced supervisors to evaluate the comments of the students, whether their failures are due to misapplications or whether we found something we might call "fake-tech" or "fake-policy".
- 23 eBib will be helpful here as well.
- 24 Improved communication about the tech and fake-tech will result exactly in this: **Closing the door on any possibility of incorrect technology**
- 25 This is up to you and me and everyone to look out for the misunderstandings of our colleges and correct their misapplication of tech and policy. The cramming officer might help you in this.
- 26 The database and a lot of data already exists, it was designed in MS Access, but today is only in use by the author himself. I am looking for interested parties, who like to contribute to this project as a cooperation.
- 27 **Bibliography**<sup>[1]</sup> (from Greek: βιβλιογραφία, *bibliographia*; lit. *book writing*) in its most general sense is the study and description of books. It can be divided into **enumerative**, or systematic, bibliography, which results in an overview of publications in a particular category, and **analytical**, or critical, bibliography, which studies the production of books.
- 28 In fine art, a **work of art** (or **artwork** or **work**) is a creation, such as a song, book, print, sculpture or a painting, that has been made in order to be a thing of beauty in itself or a symbolic statement of meaning, rather than having a practical function. Since modernism, the field of fine art has expanded to include photography (and fine art photography in particular), film (and art film in particular), performance art, conceptual art, and video art. What is perceived as a work of art differs between cultures and eras and by the meaning of the term "art" itself. Up until the 1970s, for example, art critics and the general public tended to exclude applied arts from works of art. Here we mean any works of LRH: his books, bulletins, policies, lectures etc.
- 29 This table WORKS now contains more than 13.000 records. Not only BY LRH but also other authors of the Church of Scientology and Freezezone like CBR and even books of critics and other secondary literature. Every lecture, every PAB, Bulletin and Policy is an entry in this table.
- 30 i.e. text, html, doc, pdf, mp3, avi etc, see [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Media\\_type#mime.types](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Media_type#mime.types) and also [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_file\\_formats](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_file_formats)

and "ISSUES <sup>31</sup>" together contain the bibliography of each published issue of the works.

- A software system for the administration of digitalized documents<sup>32</sup>, so that these can be easily accessed by using the bibliography-data<sup>33</sup>. They will be opened with its correct software (like LibreOffice, Acrobat Reader, MP3-Player etc).
- A communications system like in Forums or Blogs so that all interested scientologists can comment on each issue and can exchange their ideas on every work and every edition. This helps to organize the research regarding standard-Tech and to forward therewith KSW.

## POLICY

eBib will not be created, maintained and owned by an individual, but by the Hubbard Association of Scientologists International, it is a group effort and needs your support too.

eBib will be so valuable, as it supports the members of the project in their effort to keep Scientology working.

Membership in the project is open to everyone who wants to contribute to and use it.

We will have different levels of membership: a free membership, a contributor, a sponsor and a power user.

## PLANS

We start in Non-Ex. We will show, what we have achieved until now and then everyone might answer these questions:

1. "What regarding an international Scientology Library is needed and wanted?"
2. "Are you interested to participate in this eBib project? If yes: what are your skills and resources you could contribute?"

Your input by email to [postmaster\(at\)hasi.org.uk](mailto:postmaster(at)hasi.org.uk) is welcome.

After we got enough input and interest, we will publish the first pilot version of eBib based on a webserver to give you some mass to the idea. All answering people will be informed how to get access to that. So you could become part of the first-day-users. Please contact us today if interested!

Andreas Gross  
for the  
Free Scientologists

Copyright-Notice: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 Andreas Gross 2017 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

---

<sup>31</sup> Nearly 20.000 records are in this table. Some works refer to more than 100 issues (like the DMSMH). Others don't even refer to one single issue, as I have no access to anything regarding this work. Even if I get some bibliographical information about an issue, I enter one record here, whether I have a copy of it or not.

<sup>32</sup> i.e. scans of books or HCOBs or even in Textfile-format like Word, Adobe... digitalised files.

<sup>33</sup> You enter date, title, author or key words and then the system will find the document, the book or file for you.