Exercises for Section 11.3

Ex 1.

$$[1] = \{1\}$$

$$[2] = [3] = \{2, 3\}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
2 \\
2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
3 \\
3
\end{bmatrix} = \{2, 3\} \\
[4] = \begin{bmatrix}
5 \\
3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
6 \\
3
\end{bmatrix} = \{4, 5, 6\}$$

Ex 2.

$$R = \{(a,a), (b,b), (c,c), (d,d), (e,e), (a,d), (d,a), (b,c), (c,b), (e,d), (d,e), (a,e), (e,a)\}.$$

Ex 3.

$$R = \{(a,a), (b,b), (c,c), (d,d), (e,e), (a,d), (d,a), (b,c), (c,b)\}.$$

Ex 4.

R has one equivalence class: $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$.

The two equivalence relations on A are $\{(a,a),(b,b)\}$ and $\{(a,a),(a,b),(b,a),(b,b)\}$.

Ex 6.

The five different equivalence relations on A are:

$$\{(a,a),(b,b),(c,c)\}$$

$$\{(a,a),(b,b),(c,c),(a,b),(b,a)\}$$

$$\{(a,a),(b,b),(c,c),(b,c),(b,c)\}$$

$$\{(a,a),(b,b),(c,c),(a,c),(c,a)\}$$

$$\{(a,a),(b,b),(c,c),(a,b),(b,a),(b,c),(c,b),(a,c),(c,a)\}$$

Ex 7.

Lemma 1: Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. x and y have the same parity if and only if 3x - 5y is even.

Proof.

First we show that if x and y have the same parity, then 3x - 5y is even. We consider two cases.

Case 1. Suppose x and y are even.

Then x = 2a and y = 2b for some integers a, b. Thus 3x - 5y = 3(2a) - 5(2b) = 2(3a - 5b) is even. Case 2. Suppose x and y are odd.

Then
$$x = 2a + 1$$
 and $y = 2b + 1$ for some integers a, b . Thus $3x - 5y = 3(2a + 1) - 5(2b + 1) = 6a + 3 - 10b - 5 = 6a - 10b - 2 = 2(3a - 5b - 1)$ is even.

Secondly we show that if 3x - 5y is even, then x and y have the same parity. We use the contrapositive technique with two cases.

Case 1. Suppose x is even and y is odd.

Then x = 2a and y = 2b + 1 for some integers a, b. Thus 3x - 5y = 3(2a) - 5(2b + 1) = 6a - 10b - 5 = 2(3a - 5b - 2) - 1 is odd.

Case 2. Suppose x is odd and y is even.

Then x = 2a + 1 and y = 2b for some integers a, b. Thus 3x - 5y = 3(2a + 1) - 5(2b) = 6a + 3 - 10b = 2(3a - 5b + 1) + 1 is odd.

Therefore x and y have the same parity if and only if 3x - 5y is even.

Proposition: If $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : 2 | (3x - 5y) \}$, then R is an equivalence relation.

Proof.

The reflexive, symmetric, and transitive properties of R follow from lemma 1.

R has two equivalence classes, the set of odd and the set of even integers.

Ex 8. Proposition: If $R = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : x^2 + y^2 \text{ is even} \}$, then R is an equivalence relation.

Proof.

First we show that R is reflexive. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose a is even, so a = 2b for some integer b. Then $a^2 + a^2 = 4b^2 + 4b^2 = 2(4b^2)$ is even. Likewise, suppose a is odd, then a = 2b + 1 for some integer b. So $a^2 + a^2 = (2b + 1)^2 + (2b + 1)^2 = 2(4b^2 + 4b + 1)$ is even. In either case we have that $a \in R$.

Secondly we show that R is symmetric. Suppose $(x, y) \in R$. Then $x^2 + y^2 = 2a$ for some integer a. By the commutative property of addittion, it follows that $x^2 + y^2 = y^2 + x^2 = 2a$. Thus $(y, x) \in R$.

Finally we show that R is transitive. Suppose $(x,y),(y,z)\in R$. So $x^2+y^2=2a$ and $y^2+z^2=2b$ for some integers a,b. After subtracting the latter equation from the former, we get $x^2+y^2-(y^2+z^2)=2a-2b \leftrightarrow x^2-z^2=2(a-b)$. Thus x^2-z^2 is even which implies that x^2+z^2 is even. Therefore $(x,z)\in R$.

R has two equivalence classes, the set of odd and the set of even integers.

Ex 9. Proposition: Suppose $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : 4 | (x + 3y) \}$, then R is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} .

Proof.

First we show that R is reflexive. Suppose $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then a+3a=4a which is divisable by 4. Thus $(a,a) \in R$.

Secondly we show that R is symmetric. Suppose $(x,y) \in R$, so x+3y=4a for some integer a. Multiply by 3, we get $3x+9y=12a \leftrightarrow 3x+y=12-8y=4(3-2y)$ which is divisable by 4. Thus $(y,x) \in R$.

Finally we show that R is transitive. Suppose $(x, y), (y, z) \in R$. Then x+3y=4a and y+3z=4b for some integers a, b. Add the latter equation to the former, we get $x+3y+(y+3z)=4a+4b \leftrightarrow x+3z=4(a+b-y)$. Thus $(x, z) \in R$.

Equivalence classes:

 $[0] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : (x,0) \in R\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : 4|x\} = \{..., -8, -4, 0, 4, 8, ...\}.$

 $[1] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : (x,1) \in R\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\} = \{..., -7, -3, 1, 5, 9, ...\}.$

 $[2] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : (x,2) \in R\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\} = \{..., -2, 2, 6, 10, ...\}.$

 $[3] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : (x,3) \in R\} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}\} = \{..., -5, -1, 3, 7, 11, ...\}.$

Ex 10. Proposition: Suppose R and S are two equivalence relations on a set A. $R \cap S$ is also an equivalence relation.

Proof.

First we show that $R \cap S$ is reflexive. Because R and S are equivalence relations, it follows that $(a, a) \in R$ and $(a, a) \in S$ for all $a \in A$. Thus $(a, a) \in R \cap S$.

Then we show that $R \cap S$ is symmetric. Suppose $(a,b) \in R \cap S$. Then $(a,b) \in R$ and $(a,b) \in S$, by definition of set intersection. Because R and S are equivalence relations, it follows that $(b,a) \in R$ and $(b,a) \in S$. Thus $(b,a) \in R \cap S$.

Finally we show that $R \cap S$ is transitive. Suppose $(a,b),(b,c) \in R \cap S$. Then $(a,b),(b,c) \in R$ and $(a,b),(b,c) \in S$, by definition of set intersection. Because R and S are equivalence relations, it follows that $(a,c) \in R$ and $(a,c) \in S$. Thus $(a,c) \in R \cap S$.

Ex 11. Disproof: Consider the equivalence relation $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ on \mathbb{Z} . Then R has one equivalence class, namely $[0] = \mathbb{Z}$.

Ex 12. Disproof: Let $R = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (1,2), (2,1)\}$ and $S = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (2,3), (3,2)\}$ be equivalence relations on $A = \{1,2,3\}$. Then $R \cup S = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (1,2), (2,1), (2,3), (3,2)\}$ is not an equivalence relation on A because $R \cup S$ is not transitive. It is not transitive because $(1,2), (2,3) \in R \cup S$, but $(1,3) \notin R \cup S$.

Ex 13. There are $\frac{|A|}{m}$ equivalence classes of size m. There are m^2 pairs corresponding to each class. Thus $|R| = \frac{|A|}{m} \cdot m^2 = m|A|$.

Ex 14. Proposition: S is an equivalence relation on A.

Proof.

Because R is reflexive, it follows that $(x, x) \in R$ for all $x \in A$. So $(x, x) \in S$, by definition of S. Thus S is reflexive.

We show that S is symmetric. Suppose $(x,y) \in S$. That means that there exists n such that

$$(x, x_1), (x_1, x_2), ..., (x_{n-1}, x_n), (x_n, y) \in R$$

Because R is symmetric, it is must also be that the case that

$$(x_1, x), (x_2, x_1), ..., (x_n, x_{n-1}), (y, x_n) \in R$$

Thus $(y, x) \in S$ and consequently S is symmetric.

We show that S is transitive. Suppose $(x,y) \in S$ and $(y,z) \in S$. Then, by definition S, there exists $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(x, x_1), (x_1, x_2), ..., (x_{n_1-1}, x_{n_1}), (x_{n_1}, y) \in R$$

 $(y, y_1), (y_1, y_2), ..., (y_{n_2-1}, y_{n_2}), (y_{n_2}, z) \in R$

In other words, there is a path from x to z in R. So there exists

$$(x, x_1), (x_1, x_2), ..., (x_{n_1-1}, x_{n_1}), (x_{n_1}, y), (y, y_1), (y_1, y_2), ..., (y_{n_2-1}, y_{n_2}), (y_{n_2}, z) \in R$$

Thus $(x, z) \in S$ and consequently S is transitive.

Note that we have skipped showing $R \subseteq S$ and that S is the unique smallest equivalence relation on A containing R.

Ex 15. An equivalence relation R on set A with 4 equivalence classes is a graph with 4 connected components. The number of different equivalence relations S on A such that $R \subseteq S$ is then the number of ways to merge these 4 connected components. This is equivalent to the Bell number; the number of ways to partition a finite set. Thus the answer is $B_4 = 15$ where B_4 denotes the 4-th bell number. Below we enumerate the 15 different partitions.

Let $CC = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ denote the set of connected components of R. Then the 15 partitions are:

- 1. $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$
- $2. \{\{1,2\},\{3\},\{4\}\}$
- 3. $\{\{1,3\},\{2\},\{4\}\}$
- 4. $\{\{1,4\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$

- 5. $\{\{2,3\},\{1\},\{4\}\}$
- 6. $\{\{2,4\},\{1\},\{3\}\}$
- 7. $\{\{3,4\},\{1\},\{2\}\}$
- 8. $\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\}\}$
- 9. $\{\{1,3\},\{2,4\}\}$
- 10. $\{\{1,4\},\{2,3\}\}$
- 11. $\{\{1\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}$
- 12. $\{\{2\},\{1,3,4\}\}$
- 13. {{3}, {1, 2, 4}}
- 14. {{4}, {1, 2, 3}}
- 15. $\{\{1,2,3,4\}\}$

Ex 16. Proposition: Relation = defined on page 213 is transitive.

Proof. (Direct.) Suppose $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$ and $\frac{c}{d} = \frac{e}{f}$.

So we have that ad = bc and cf = de. Substituting the former into the latter yields $\left(\frac{ad}{b}\right)f = de \leftrightarrow af = be \leftrightarrow \frac{a}{b} = \frac{e}{f}$. Thus the relation = is transitive.