

**Addendum:** Unreported Results for Ashworth and Clinton's *Does Advertising Exposure Affect Turnout?*

## 1 Omitted Coefficient Estimates for National Sample

Table 1 presents the full set of coefficient estimates for the OLS and the second stage 2SLS estimates reported in Table 2 in the text. Huber-White standard errors are in parentheses.

Table 1: National Cross-Section OLS and Second Stage 2SLS Results.

<b>Sample</b>	<b>OLS</b>	<b>OLS</b>	<b>2SLS</b>	<b>2SLS</b>
	Vote <sub>1</sub> =0	Vote <sub>1</sub> =1	Vote <sub>1</sub> =0	Vote <sub>1</sub> =1
Constant	.187	.702	.228	.705
(Std. Err.)	(.062)	(.033)	(.077)	(.033)
Advertising Exposure	.101	.008	-.225	-.074
	(.039)	(.012)	(.273)	(.052)
Political Interest	.117	.088	.147	.108
	(.063)	(.027)	(.071)	(.030)
Strength of Partisanship	.054	.068	.103	.070
	(.050)	(.018)	(.068)	(.018)
Age	-.002	.002	-.001	.002
	(.001)	(.0004)	(.001)	(.001)
Female	-.003	.013	-.005	.014
	(.033)	(.012)	(.035)	(.013)
Hispanic	.043	-.011	.033	-.016
	(.052)	(.031)	(.059)	(.032)
Black	.121	.003	.170	-.004
	(.076)	(.024)	(.086)	(.024)
Union Member	-.028	.028	-.066	.027
	(.059)	(.016)	(.068)	(.016)
Frequent Church Attendance	.006	.032	.004	.031
	(.038)	(.012)	(.040)	(.012)
Senate Election in State	-.004	.014	-.003	.017
	(.040)	(.016)	(.042)	(.016)
Gubernatorial Election in State	-.049	-.020	-.033	-.017
	(.049)	(.025)	(.052)	(.026)
N	631	1715	631	1715
MSE	.400	.254	.424	.26
R <sup>2</sup>	.036	.054		.031

Table 2 presents the full set of coefficient estimates for the first stage of the 2SLS estimates reported in Table 2 in the text. Huber-White standard errors are in parentheses.

Table 2: National Cross-Section First Stage 2SLS Results.

<b>Sample</b>	<b>2SLS</b> Vote <sub>1</sub> =0	<b>2SLS</b> Vote <sub>1</sub> =1
Constant (Std. Err.)	.063 (.072)	-.097 (.052)
Political Interest	.090 (.065)	.246 (.045)
Strength of Partisanship	.134 (.054)	.222 (.033)
Age	.002 (.001)	.005 (.001)
Female	-.001 (.036)	.014 (.023)
Hispanic	-.021 (.054)	-.047 (.050)
Black	.177 (.071)	-.056 (.048)
Union Member	-.118 (.065)	-.012 (.034)
Frequent Church Attendance	-.009 (.041)	-.007 (.023)
Senate Election in State	.005 (.044)	.072 (.028)
Gubernatorial Election in State	.036 (.060)	.053 (.041)
Reside in Battleground State	.131 (.036)	.253 (.023)
N	633	1717
MSE	.435	.469
R <sup>2</sup>	.057	.118

Table 3 presents the set of coefficient estimates for the probit and bivariate probit estimates reported in Table 4 in the text.

Table 3: National Cross-Section Bivariate Probit Results for Initial Non-Voters.

<b>Dep. Var.</b>	<b>Probit</b> Vote <sub>2</sub>	<b>Probit</b> Vote <sub>2</sub>	<b>Biprobit</b> Advert. Exp.	<b>Biprobit</b> Vote <sub>2</sub>
<b>Sample</b>	Vote <sub>1</sub> =1	Vote <sub>1</sub> =0	Votet <sub>1</sub> =0	Vote <sub>1</sub> =0
Constant (Std. Err.)	-.236 (.204)	-.921 (.227)	-1.30 (.230)	-.732 (.253)
Advertising Exposure	.050 (.100)	.331 (.126)		-.675 (.490)
Political Interest	.681 (.186)	.410 (.220)	.309 (.205)	.467 (.203)
Strength of Partisanship	.515 (.131)	.204 (.173)	.414 (.168)	.347 (.178)
Age	.017 (.004)	-.006 (.005)	.006 (.004)	-.003 (.005)
Female	.097 (.098)	-.010 (.119)	-.009 (.113)	-.018 (.112)
Hispanic	-.060 (.187)	.134 (.171)	-.111 (.181)	.078 (.172)
Black	.020 (.222)	.377 (.219)	.491 (.212)	.494 (.209)
Union Member	.225 (.159)	-.078 (.225)	-.398 (.217)	-.201 (.216)
Frequent Church Attendance	.278 (.102)	.025 (.135)	-.037 (.128)	.018 (.127)
Senate Election in State	.103 (.115)	-.006 (.145)	.024 (.138)	-.005 (.137)
Gubernatorial Election in State	-.163 (.164)	-.203 (.202)	.125 (.185)	-.119 (.206)
Reside in Battleground state			.387 (.112)	
N	1715	631	631	631
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	.110	.034		
$\rho$				.612 (.297)

Table 4 presents the set of coefficient estimates for the bivariate probit estimates for respondents initially likely to vote reported in Table 4 in the text.

Table 4: National Cross-Section Bivariate Probit Results For Initial Voters.

Dep. Var.	Advert. Exp.	Vote <sub>2</sub>
Sample	Vote <sub>1</sub> =1	Vote <sub>1</sub> =1
Constant (Std. Err.)	-1.63 (.150)	-.203 (.209)
Advertising Exposure		-.394 (.341)
Political Interest	.679 (.125)	.772 (.189)
Strength of Partisanship	.066 (.091)	.514 (.136)
Age	.012 (.002)	.019 (.004)
Female	.040 (.064)	.098 (.097)
Hispanic	-.132 (.142)	-.082 (.191)
Black	-.163 (.134)	-.013 (.217)
Union Member	-.034 (.095)	.215 (.159)
Frequent Church Attendance	-.017 (.065)	.263 (.104)
Senate Election in State	.203 (.078)	.114 (.114)
Gubernatorial Election in State	.146 (.113)	-.143 (.159)
Reside in Battleground state	.682 (.065)	
N	1715	1715
$\rho$		.282 (.203)

## 2 Omitted Coefficient Estimates for New Jersey Sample

To assess the impact of advertising in New Jersey, we use the full set of Knowledge Networks panelists residing in New Jersey.<sup>1</sup> During the 2000 election there were a total of 2,479 respondents recruited from the state of New Jersey – of whom only 967 were administered a political profile survey to collect their initial (pre-campaign) vote intention. Furthermore, only a small (but random) subset of 439 respondents were assigned and completed one of the 11 Knowledge Network surveys administered during the last months of the 2000 election campaign (to variously sized random samples of the panel) that asked appropriate questions.<sup>2</sup> For each respondent we classify whether they reside in the Philadelphia or New York media market according to the 2000 *Television and Cable Factbook* and county of residence.

Table 5 presents the summary statistics for the New Jersey sample using the measures discussed previously.

Table 5: New Jersey Sample Characteristics

Variable	Mean - NY	Mean - PHL	Mean Diff. <i>p</i> -value	Values
(Recoded) Pre-Campaign Vote Intention	.704	.698	.910	{0, 1}
(Recoded) Post-Campaign Vote Intention	.758	.747	.839	{0, 1}
Advertising Exposure Indicator	.476	.667	.000	{0, 1}
Political Interest	.580	.604	.479	{0, .33, .66, 1}
Strength of Party Identification	.583	.574	.768	{0, .33, .66, 1}
Age	46.8	47.1	.853	(18, 99)
Female Indicator	.502	.505	.957	{0, 1}
Hispanic Indicator	.086	.019	.001	{0, 1}
Black Indicator	.087	.112	.465	{0, 1}
Union Indicator	.188	.150	.355	{0, 1}
Church Indicator	.411	.441	.613	{0, 1}
Sample Size	328	111		

The following tables replicate the national analysis using the New Jersey sample.

<sup>1</sup>Although the National Annenberg Election study also interviews respondents in New Jersey, several features make the data uninformative with respect to the questions of interest. First, there is no ability to condition on prior vote intention. Second, the self-reported measure of campaign exposure is only asked in rolling cross-sections administered between April 4th and July 7th – much earlier than the measure we use and prior to the highest concentration of advertising. Third, only 184 residents of New Jersey were interviewed in this period.

<sup>2</sup>The field periods of the surveys we use, as well as the size of their assignment and survey number include: a survey of 3495 from 8/9 to 9/9 (SNO 2189), a survey of 3,093 from 9/22 to 10/4 (SNO 2391), a survey of 1,500 for 9/29 to 10/21 (SNO 2421), a survey of 2,686 for 10/30 to 11/7 (SNO 2557), a survey of 2,850 for 10/9 to 11/7 (SNO 2419), a survey of 2,850 for 10/9 to 11/7 (SNO 2422), a survey of 2,849 for 10/9 to 11/7 (SNO 2423), a survey of 1,498 for 10/30 to 11/6 (SNO 2554), a survey of 2,499 for 10/30 to 11/6 (SNO 2600), and a survey of 2,499 for 10/30 to 11/6 (SNO 2601).

Table 6: New Jersey Sample Wald Estimator

	<b>OLS</b>	<b>Wald estimates</b>
Full Sample	.134 (.044)	-.059 (.292)
$vote_1 = 0$	.099 (.093)	.100 (.822)
$vote_1 = 1$	.043 (.034)	-.079 (.171)

Table 7: New Jersey OLS and 2SLS Results for Initial Non-Voters.

<b>Dep. Var.</b>	<b>OLS</b> Vote <sub>2</sub>	<b>OLS</b> Vote <sub>2</sub>	<b>2SLS</b> Advert. Exp.	<b>Vote<sub>2</sub></b>
<b>Sample</b>	Vote <sub>1</sub> =1	Vote <sub>1</sub> =0	Vote <sub>1</sub> =0	Vote <sub>1</sub> =0
Constant (Std. Err.)	.720 (.084)	-.280 (.128)	.277 (.160)	-.170 (.271)
Advertising Exposure	.026 (.032)	.110 (.090)		-.210 (.707)
Strength of Partisanship	.119 (.056)	.460 (.150)	-.137 (.152)	.390 (.210)
Age	.003 (.001)	.007 (.003)	.004 (.003)	.008 (.004)
Reside in PHL media market			.101 (.108)	
N	247	104	116	104
MSE	.250	.429	.488	.457
R <sup>2</sup>	.044	.154	.024	.040

Table 8: New Jersey 2SLS Results for Initial Voters.

<b>Dep. Var.</b>	Advert. Exp.	Vote <sub>2</sub>
<b>Sample</b>	Vote <sub>1</sub> =1	Vote <sub>1</sub> =1
Constant (Std. Err.)	.138 (.125)	.731 (.084)
Advertising Exposure		-.035 (.153)
Strength of Partisanship	.053 (.102)	.120 (.056)
Age	.007 (.002)	.003 (.002)
Reside in PHL media market	.261 (.061)	
N	274	247
MSE	.476	.251
R <sup>2</sup>	.093	.031