

ECE 506 Optimization Theory

Problem 1 ____ /10

Problem 2 ____ /25

Problem 3 ____ /10

Problem 4 ____ /20

Problem 5 ____ /10

Problem 6 ____ /25

Good Luck!

Problem 1 (10 points)

1(a)(**8 points**) For two-dimensional problems, we can generate all possible sign combinations of the Hessian eigenvalues by considering:

$$f_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 \quad (1)$$

$$f_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - x_2^2 \quad (2)$$

$$f_3(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^2 + x_2^2 \quad (3)$$

$$f_4(x_1, x_2) = -x_1^2 - x_2^2 \quad (4)$$

For each function:

- Classify the stationary point for which $\nabla f_i = 0$ as a minimum point, a maximum point, or a saddle point.
- Sketch the contours around each stationary point.

1(b)(**2 points**) Based on your answer in 1(a), can you identify which two functions exhibit the same type of stationary point?

Problem 2 (25 points)

We begin by restating theorem 3.2 from your text.

Consider any iteration of the form:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k, \quad (5)$$

where α_k satisfies the Wolfe conditions:

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) \leq f(x_k) + c_1 \alpha_k \nabla f_k^T p_k, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k)^T p_k \geq c_2 \nabla f_k^T p_k, \quad 0 < c_1 < c_2 < 1. \quad (7)$$

Suppose that f is bounded below in \mathbb{R}^n and that f is continuously differentiable in an open set N containing:

$$L = \{x : f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}, \quad (8)$$

where x_0 is the starting point of the iteration. Assume also that the gradient ∇f is Lipschitz continuous on N . Then

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \cos^2 \theta_k \|\nabla f_k\|^2 < \infty \quad (9)$$

2(a)(**5 points**) Use theorem 3.2 to show that the steepest descent algorithm will always converge.

2(b)(**8 points**) Provide a numerical example where the Wolfe conditions are satisfied. For full credit, you must provide the formula for the function and evaluate the Wolfe conditions at an admissible value of α_k , and you must also specify c_1, c_2 .

2(c)(**6 points**) Suppose that you have developed a new algorithm for computing candidate directions p_k . Indicate how you would provide a new line-search algorithm that converges **at-least as many iterations** as steepest-descent or the Newton's method.

2(d)(**6 points**) Indicate how you would establish that your new algorithm proposed in 2(c) is **at-least as fast** as the steepest-descent or the Newton's method. In other words you must specifically show how you would assess your algorithm's

- accuracy,
- robustness, and
- efficiency.

Problem 3 (10 points)

Given a Hessian matrix H , outline an algorithm that can be used to:

- establish when H is positive definite, and
- modify H so that it becomes positive definite.

Problem 4 (20 points).

Suppose that f is twice differentiable and that the Hessian $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is Lipschitz continuous in a neighborhood of the solution x^* , at which the second order sufficient conditions for a strict minimum are satisfied. Show that the Newton algorithm:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + p_k \tag{10}$$

$$p_k^N = -\nabla^2 f_k^{-1} \nabla f_k \tag{11}$$

converges quadratically, assuming that the starting point is sufficiently close to x^* .

Problem 5 (10 points)

```
0.1 for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \text{MaxIterations}$  do
0.2   Compute  $p_k$ 
0.3   Compute  $\alpha_k$  so that the Wolfe, strong Wolfe, or Goldstein conditions are
      satisfied.
0.4    $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$ 
0.5 end
```

Algorithm 1: General Framework for Line-Search Algorithms

5(a)(6 points) Give the formulas for computing p_k using

- the steepest descent method, and
- the exact Newton's method.

5(b)(4 points) Explain why we can always expect to find α_k that satisfies the Wolfe conditions.

Problem 6 (25 points)

```
1.1 Given  $\bar{\Delta} > 0$ ,  $\Delta_0 \in (0, \bar{\Delta})$  and  $\eta \in [0, 1/4]$ .
1.2 for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{MaxIterations}$  do
1.3   Obtain  $p_k$  by approximately solving:
1.4      $\min_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} m_k(p) = f_k + \nabla f_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T B_k p$  such that:  $\|p\| \leq \Delta_k$ 
1.5   Evaluate the reduction ratio:
1.6      $\rho_k = \frac{f(x_k) - f(x_k + p_k)}{m_k(0) - m_k(p_k)}$ 
1.7   if  $\rho_k < \frac{1}{4}$  then
1.8      $\Delta_{k+1} = \frac{1}{4} \Delta_k$ ;
1.9   else
1.10    if  $\rho_k > \frac{3}{4}$  and  $\|p_k\| = \Delta_k$  then
1.11       $\Delta_{k+1} = \min(2\Delta_k, \bar{\Delta})$ 
1.12    else
1.13       $\Delta_{k+1} = \Delta_k$ 
1.14    end
1.15  end
1.16  if  $\rho_k > \eta$  then
1.17     $x_{k+1} = x_k + p_k$ 
1.18  else
1.19     $x_{k+1} = x_k$ 
1.20  end
1.21 end
```

Algorithm 2: General Framework for Trust-Region Algorithms

6(a)(6 points) Based on the trust-region algorithm, please explain:

- How is the trust region size increased?
- How is the trust region size decreased?
- When is a new p_k direction taken?

6(b)(13 points) Suppose that an efficient algorithm is available to you that can estimate any one eigenvalue or eigenvector of a given matrix. Indicate how you to use this algorithm to improve upon the Cauchy point given as:

$$p_k^c = \tau_k p_k^s \quad (12)$$

where:

$$p_k^s = \frac{-\Delta_k}{\|\nabla f_k\|} \nabla f_k \quad (13)$$

$$\tau_k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nabla f_k^T B_k \nabla f_k \leq 0 \\ \min \left(\frac{\|\nabla f_k\|^3}{\Delta_k \nabla f_k^T B_k \nabla f_k}, 1 \right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

6(c)(6 points) Explain how your algorithm will work on

$$g(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + ax_2^2, \quad (15)$$

for the cases when (i) $a \gg 1$, (ii) $a \ll 1$, and $a = 1$.