

Chronographer - A Location History Visualization Tool

Scott Todd*




Figure 1: Visualizing volcanic eruptions

Abstract

I created a geographic location history visualization tool titled Chronographer that explores virtual reality interaction as a data analysis aid. I used three.js [thr], the device orientation API [Moz], and the Google Cardboard [Goo a] viewer to construct this visualization, which is hosted at <http://scotttodd.github.io/Chronographer/>. I visualized location history data exported from my Google account using Google Takeout [Goo b] as well as volcanic eruption data from NOAA [NOA]. I went through several design iterations and concluded that this style of visualization is interesting but not currently effective as a tool for learning from geographic data sets. I conducted informal user testing partway through the project but did not perform a rigorous user study.

Keywords: Data Visualization, Geographic Data, Virtual Reality

1 Introduction

Geographic location history data sets combine spatial information which demands context with chronological information which benefits greatly from interaction or animation. Existing map-based tools present geographic data in an understandable format but they each rely on a projection that introduces an extra level of processing in order to relate the data to the physical world. Virtual reality has been growing in popularity and technical maturity in recent years and offers the opportunity to connect people to data in ways not

possible before. I wanted to test the utility of virtual reality in helping people understand these data sets.

The representation of the time axis is also important for data analysis. Several techniques have been researched in the past which handle user control over the time axis differently.

Virtual reality devices such as the Oculus Rift and Sony's Project Morpheus are currently in active development. Screen, positional tracking, and rendering technologies have advanced to the point where immersive virtual reality is nearly within reach for consumer-grade projects. The Google Cardboard takes a step in a different direction and leverages current generation smart phones, which are packed with sensors and high resolution screens already, to deliver an affordable virtual reality solution that hobbyists and consumers can easily use.

Virtual reality for web browsers is a recent extension of these new technologies, so support and stability are both limited. The device orientation API is experimental and has several shortcomings, but it may eventually be replaced with official browser support through a technology currently being named WebVR.

1.1 Related Work

1.2 Technical References

"What is Spatial History" by Richard White [White 2010] details efforts to chronicle history through data visualization, making sense of large data sets through visual media, rather than through words alone as traditional history textbooks do. He argues that spatial relations are established through movement - movement of people, goods, and information. He discusses "relational space", where locations are closer at different points during a day due to traffic and other relative, modern factors. He also concludes that visualizations are a means of doing research and generating questions that may have otherwise gone unasked, not a method to communicate findings discovered through other means.

The DimpVis system [Kondo and Collins 2014] explores a novel

*email: todds@rpi.edu

Project Repository: <https://github.com/ScottTodd/Chronographer>

method of interacting with data points on two-dimensional plots with time as a third axis. Where time is normally controlled by a slider, it allows for users to drag points along hint paths to move through time in either direction.

1.3 Further Inspiration

GitHub user @theopolisme created a website that lets you visualize your Google Location History using an interactive heatmap [@theopolisme]. In his visualization, data points from all time values are compressed onto a single map which features zoom and pan controls.

A blog post titled “Virtual Reality brings Big Data visualization to life” by Mike Wheatley [Wheatley] argues that good data visualization tools should consider human visual perception and give insight into the unknown, not the already-understood. It explains how DARPA used the Oculus Rift to visualize three-dimensional network simulations and how engineers at Caltech used virtual worlds such as Second Life and the Unity3D game engine as data visualization platforms.

2 Datasets and Data Collection

I used my personal location history data collected via Google Takeout, which is formatted as a simple JSON file containing an array of [latitude, longitude, timestamp] triples. This data file is 76 MB in size and contains nearly 420,000 data points collected between 2013 and 2014.

I also visualized the history of volcanic eruptions using data from the NOAA Significant Volcanic Eruptions Database. I converted this data from a Microsoft Excel file into the same JSON format that Google Takeout uses. While this data set contains eruptions between the year -4000 and the present, I found that the older data was too sparse and made navigation via the time slider difficult, so I extracted only eruptions between 1800 and the present.

3 Development

3.1 First Ideas

I originally planned to build a two dimensional map interface that would blend a set of data points across time ranges together. At this point, I was inspired by @theopolisme’s Location History Visualizer and had recently completed another map-based visualization using d3.js [D3.]. Because of my familiarity with d3.js and three.js, I hoped to combine the powerful WebGL rendering of three.js with the convenient map projection and rendering of d3.js to visualize my own location history. I had also been searching for a reason to experiment with the Google Cardboard, so I worked that into my plans.

3.2 Initial Implementation

My basic visual design centered around displaying data points above a map and using additive or alpha blending to show general regions where points were focused. As the visualization time changed, data points would fade in and out. I used a traditional slider to control the visualization time, which could be set to play automatically or could be manually controlled via mouse or touch. Next to the slider, I placed the current time and a play/pause button. This initial design can be seen in Figure 2.

I used a particle system to represent data points, with each data point having a single particle in the particle system to represent




Figure 2: Initial map of the USA with data point particles. Note the slider at the bottom of the screen

it. These particles would change their display properties based on the difference between the visualization time and their data point’s time through computations in a set of custom vertex and fragment shaders which render billboarded (always camera-facing) particles (see Algorithm 1).

Algorithm 1: Data Point Rendering

Data: *visualizationTime*, *minTime*, *maxTime*, *highlightPercent*, transformation matrices

foreach *particle* **do**

- Data:** *particleTime*, *latitude*, *longitude*
 1. Position in screen space based on *latitude*, *longitude*, and transformation matrices
 2. Compute *visPercent* as the percentage that *visualizationTime* is from *minTime* to *maxTime*
 3. Compute *particlePercent* as the percentage that *particleTime* is from *minTime* to *maxTime*
 4. Compute *percentDifference* and scale by *highlightPercent*
 5. Interpolate HSV color, size, and alpha using this scaled percentage
 6. Draw particle image with computed properties

end

3.3 First Extensions

After producing a working 2D implementation of my basic idea, I experimented with other projections (Figures 3 and 4), eventually aiming for a spherical projection that would have potential as an effective virtual reality view.

Up until this point, I had been combining d3.js and three.js and used an awkward bridge between them to reliably communicate the projection and viewport information needed to render both a map and a particle system on the same screen (see Algorithm 2). This algorithm required constant data processing, so it acted as a bottleneck for my entire application. In order to render my location history, I had to process through the data and discard all but 1 out of every 100 data points. I realized that if I switched entirely to a spherical projection, I could render the map using three.js rather than with d3.js, removing the need to operate through that additional level of abstraction.



Figure 3: *Mercator projection with data point particles*



Figure 4: *Orthographic projection with data point particles*

<p>Algorithm 2: Initial Data Point Positioning</p> <pre> At load time : foreach <i>data point</i> do Create a particle in the particle system, storing latitude and longitude end At run time : foreach <i>frame</i> do foreach <i>particle</i> do 1. Convert from latitude/longitude to screen-space [x, y] using d3.geo.projection 2. Convert from screen-space [x, y] to three.js world-space [x, y, z] using THREE.Projector.unprojectVector 3. Update [x, y, z] vertex position for this particle end Render all particles using the technique in (Algorithm 1) end </pre>




Figure 5: *Sphere and particles rendered entirely with three.js*

3.4 Moving away from d3.js

I completely transitioned away from d3.js and rendered the Earth using a textured sphere (Figure 5). I used a diffuse, specular, and bump map from a free website. The bump map was roughly an elevation map of the world and the specular map was roughly a water coverage map. My new data point positioning algorithm using only three.js is outlined in Algorithm 3. With this new positioning, the bottleneck that used to exist was resolved, so I could now render those original 420,000 data points my location history file at 60 frames per second.

<p>Algorithm 3: Updated Data Point Positioning</p> <p>Data: radius</p> <pre> At load time : foreach <i>data point</i> do 1. Create a particle in the particle system 2. Store latitude and longitude 3. Convert latitude and longitude to object-space [x, y, z] (a) $\phi = \text{latitude}, \theta = \text{longitude}$ (b) $x = \text{radius} * \cos(\phi) * \cos(\theta)$ (c) $y = \text{radius} * \sin(\phi)$ (d) $z = \text{radius} * \cos(\phi) * \sin(\theta)$ end At run time : foreach <i>frame</i> do Render all particles using the technique in (Algorithm 1) end </pre>
--

3.5 Virtual Reality

Virtual reality display and interaction were implemented as a secondary display mode with the help of the device orientation API and stereo rendering effects. The device orientation API exposes alpha, beta, and gamma values representing the orientation of an input device in 3D space through the deviceorientation JavaScript event. The meaning of these values seems to change based on the layout of the device (portrait or landscape in the case of my phone), so I fixed the layout to landscape mode using “screen.orientation.lock()”. I used these angle values to orbit the camera around the central world. In this way, users can look around a virtual world centered in front




Figure 6: Fullscreen virtual reality mode using “StereoEffect”

of their phone.

My Samsung Galaxy S4 phone has several known issues with its magnetometer (interference) and gyroscope (calibration), making integration with the Google Cardboard unreliable. Newer phones suffer less from these issues though, as these technologies become more well established. Additionally, web browsers are known to send laggy and noisy orientation information, which may be fixed in the future with WebVR and better hardware. On my phone, I was limited to a single axis of rotation (North to South) and some movements were plagued with jitter. On other devices, rotating the device to view south the Equator instead shifted to looking back up towards the North pole.

I made use of a stereo rendering effect for three.js which does a screen-space transformation of each frame before sending it to the framebuffer, splitting it into a stereo image (one copy of the image per eye, see Figure 6). There is an “Oculus Rift Effect” for three.js which also applies lens distortion and chromatic aberration to the image, but I was unable to run that effect on my phone.

User interfaces in virtual reality are different from their traditional counterparts, due to the level of engagement and differing input mechanisms. Because of this, I increased the size of the date display and hid the time slider, instead opting to autoplay through the time and loop around at the end. When exiting from virtual reality mode, the standard controls return and the visualization time is paused until further input is received.

4 User Feedback

I collected informal user feedback from classmates in Interactive Visualization and from friends at RPI. Generally, users understood the purpose of the visualization but had difficulty picking out and focusing on details. In particular, it was confusing how many points were highlighted at the same time for the location history data set.

Many users recommended incorporating map data, labels, or at least higher resolution textures. While I wanted to connect to a map service, I felt that this was out of scope for this project given the time provided. I was able to find higher resolution textures and did not include a zoom option for the virtual reality mode.

Initially, I faded the alpha value of particle images as they moved away from the visualization time, which made it difficult to see trends in the data. In response to this, I implemented HSV color and size interpolation.

A few users suggested hiding the Earth, making it transparent, or somehow making data points visible through it. This was particularly relevant to the volcanic eruptions dataset, since eruptions




Figure 7: “Follow Line” effect in use

could be occurring on the opposite side of the world and those points would not be visible.

4.1 Follow Line

To aid detail focus and point discovery, I drew a spline between the latest data points in order. I called this a “Follow Line” (see Figure 7). As the visualization time passed by a data point, that point was added to the line. This served to connect points across time visually and could show when points moved to the other side of the Earth.

This may have helped with the location history dataset, since those points followed a clear chronological ordering, but the spline merely connected points directly, so it could clip through the world. I am confident that I could force the spline to follow a smooth path above the surface of the Earth, but that will be left for future work.

After implementing this, I realized a critical difference between the location history data and the volcanic eruptions data - volcanic eruptions are not temporally related (in the sense that an eruption in one year should be connected to an eruption in the next) while location history positions are. Because of this, I disabled the “Follow Line” on the volcanic eruption dataset and eventually dropped it from the location history dataset as well.

5 Limitations and Future Work

There are several outstanding issues with the device orientation API, current hardware, and my implementation on top of these technologies. As WebVR becomes further developed, I would like to transition over to that.

I would also like to integrate map data from a provider like Google Maps or OpenStreetMap, since my current implementation handles zooming very poorly and provides little cultural context to the raw geography present in the Earth textures used.

I did not test on an Oculus Rift or any virtual reality device other than the Google Cardboard, so that is an obvious path for future work to ensure compatibility. Perhaps the larger display and more precise motion tracking on the Oculus Rift would solve several of my issues there.

Now that I have a working virtual reality globe capable of displaying geographic time series data, I feel that I should re-evaluate the usefulness of this interface and investigate if there are other interactions relating to this setup which would aid in data analysis. Future visualization design could either center on overview information and trends or on specific detail focus. I think that virtual reality will eventually be great for specific detail focus and exploration, but trend viewing might be easier to reach at the moment.

6 Conclusions

I have built an interactive virtual reality visualization of geographic location history data and applied this visualization to both my personal location history data as well as volcanic eruption history data.

While the interface and interaction were both interesting, details were difficult to notice and several technical limitations held the project back. Shifting from using both d3.js and three.js to using only three.js was a great decision and solved a number of implementation challenges. There is hope that WebVR will smooth out the remaining device orientation issues.

References

- D3: Data-driven documents. <http://d3js.org/>.
- Cardboard: DIY VR for all. <https://developers.google.com/cardboard/>.
- Google takeout. <https://google.com/takeout>.
- KONDO, B., AND COLLINS, C. 2014. Dimpvis: Exploring time-varying information visualizations by direct manipulation. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 99, PrePrints, 1.
- Detecting device orientation. https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/Detecting_device_orientation.
- Volcano data and information. <http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/hazard/volcano.shtml>.
- @THEOPOLISME. location-history-visualizer. <http://theopolis.me/location-history-visualizer/>.
- three.js: A javascript 3d library. <http://threejs.org/>.
- WHEATLEY, M. Virtual reality brings big data visualization to life. <http://siliconangle.com/blog/2014/05/29/virtual-reality-brings-big-data-visualization-to-life/>.
- WHITE, R. 2010. What is spatial history? Stanford University, The Spatial History Project.